

Impact of Health Security, Public Health and Epidemiology Policies on Public Health Outcomes

Fahad Faleh Fahad Alqahtani¹, Abdulrahman Hassn A Alqarni ², Badr Abdullah Alghamdi³, Abdullah Hassan Al-Qarni⁴, Salem Abdualmohsen Salem Almatrafi⁵, Ibrahim Hayazea Mohammed Albariqi⁶.

1-5* Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

6* Ministry of health - Ministry of Health branch in Asir region -Saudi Arabia

Corresponding Author: Fahad Faleh Fahad Alqahtani.

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the intersection of health security policies and public health outcomes, emphasizing their importance in safeguarding populations from health crises such as pandemics, infectious diseases, and bioterrorism. It examines the theoretical underpinnings of health security, including public health and epidemiological perspectives, and evaluates their practical applications in global and national contexts. The study highlights the critical role of health security policies in reducing disease transmission, enhancing healthcare system resilience, and promoting public health equity. Challenges such as funding gaps, governance issues, and health inequities are discussed, along with recommendations to improve policy implementation. This research underscores the need for international collaboration, equitable resource distribution, and integration of technology to strengthen health security and achieve sustainable public health outcomes.

Keywords: Health security policies, public health outcomes, epidemiology, healthcare system resilience, pandemics, disease prevention, public health equity, global collaboration, resource distribution, health governance.

1. Introduction

Health security policies play a pivotal role in safeguarding the well-being of populations by addressing threats that can undermine public health. These policies encompass a set of measures, strategies, and frameworks implemented by governments and international organizations to prevent, detect, and respond to health crises, ensuring stability and resilience in healthcare systems. Health security aims to manage risks such as pandemics, infectious diseases, bioterrorism, and other health emergencies that can have far-reaching impacts on societies and economies (1). The concept of health security has evolved over time, influenced by historical events and emerging global challenges. Epidemics like the Spanish Flu of 1918, the SARS outbreak in 2003, and the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 have underscored the importance of coordinated health security measures. These events highlighted the need for countries to strengthen their preparedness and response mechanisms to mitigate the spread of diseases and protect public health. As a result, health security policies have become increasingly integrated into national and global health agendas, with organizations like the World Health Organization (WHO) providing guidelines, resources, and coordination during crises (2).

The scope of health security policies is broad, encompassing preventive and reactive strategies. Preventive measures focus on early detection through surveillance systems, vaccination programs, and community education, while reactive policies involve managing outbreaks, deploying healthcare resources, and ensuring rapid responses to contain health threats (3). Additionally, health security policies emphasize international cooperation, recognizing that diseases do not respect borders. Global frameworks, such as the International Health Regulations (IHR), establish protocols for countries to report and address public health emergencies, promoting collective responsibility and accountability. At the national level, health security policies often involve collaboration between healthcare institutions, government agencies, and private stakeholders. These policies address various components of public health security, including healthcare infrastructure, access to medical supplies, and the training of healthcare professionals to manage crises effectively. Governments also invest in research and technology to develop innovative solutions for disease prevention, treatment, and data-driven decision-making (4).

Theoretical framework

The theoretical framework serves as the foundation for understanding the relationship between health security policies and public health outcomes. It provides the conceptual structure needed to analyze how policies are formulated, implemented, and evaluated within the context of public health systems. By integrating relevant theories, models, and concepts, this

framework establishes a basis for examining the impact of health security policies on preventing and managing health crises while ensuring equitable access to healthcare resources (5). At its core, the theoretical framework relies on public health and policy integration theories, which emphasize the role of governance, resource allocation, and evidence-based decision-making in promoting health security (5). One key theory is the **Health Systems Framework**, which defines the health system as an interconnected structure consisting of governance, service delivery, financing, health workforce, medicines and technologies, and health information systems. This framework is critical to understanding how health security policies affect the overall functioning of healthcare systems, particularly in responding to emergencies like pandemics or natural disasters. Strengthening these components ensures that public health systems are equipped to detect, prevent, and respond to health threats effectively (6).

Another relevant concept within the theoretical framework is **Resilience Theory**, which highlights the ability of health systems to adapt and recover during health crises. Health resilience depends on proactive preparedness, flexibility, and innovation in policy implementation. Countries with resilient health systems are more capable of minimizing disruptions during crises and maintaining the delivery of essential healthcare services. This theory underscores the need for policies to include emergency preparedness measures, resource mobilization strategies, and sustainable investments in public health infrastructure to ensure long-term stability (7).

The **Epidemiological Transition Theory** further complements the theoretical framework by examining the shift in disease patterns over time, particularly as societies evolve economically and demographically. As nations move through stages of development, the burden of disease often transitions from infectious diseases to chronic, non-communicable diseases. Health security policies must adapt to address this dynamic shift while maintaining the capacity to respond to emerging infectious diseases. This theory demonstrates the importance of integrating disease prevention strategies, public health education, and surveillance systems within health security frameworks to manage both communicable and non-communicable diseases effectively (8).

Additionally, the **Systems Thinking Approach** is an integral part of the theoretical framework, as it provides a holistic perspective for analyzing the interconnectedness of health policies, public health systems, and societal outcomes. Systems thinking encourages policymakers to consider the broader implications of health security measures, such as their impact on economic development, social equity, and population behavior. By viewing public health challenges as part of a larger system, this approach ensures that health security policies are comprehensive, sustainable, and adaptable to changing circumstances (9).

The theoretical framework also incorporates **Global Health Governance Theory**, which emphasizes the role of international organizations, such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), in coordinating responses to global health threats. This theory highlights the need for collaboration between nations, as health security is a shared global responsibility. Policies such as the International Health Regulations (IHR) serve as a model for how countries can work together to prevent, detect, and respond to public health emergencies while adhering to international guidelines (10).

The Role of Health Security Policies During Pandemics

Health security policies play a fundamental role during pandemics by providing a structured and coordinated response to mitigate the spread of diseases, protect public health, and reduce the socioeconomic impacts of global health crises. These policies encompass preventive, responsive, and recovery measures that guide governments, healthcare institutions, and international organizations in addressing pandemics effectively. Their role becomes especially critical in ensuring a balance between containing outbreaks and maintaining societal stability.

One of the most significant roles of health security policies during pandemics is **prevention and early detection**. Policies focused on surveillance systems, laboratory testing capacities, and data sharing mechanisms enable health authorities to detect disease outbreaks in their early stages. Early detection is vital in preventing the escalation of localized infections into global pandemics. For example, policies mandating continuous monitoring of infectious diseases and establishing communication networks for real-time data sharing allowed some nations to identify and respond to the COVID-19 outbreak earlier than others. Robust health surveillance systems, supported by technologies such as artificial intelligence and data analytics, strengthen the ability to track transmission patterns and allocate resources to high-risk areas (11).

Another critical role is **emergency preparedness and planning**. Health security policies emphasize the need for pre-

established contingency plans to respond quickly to pandemics. Preparedness measures include stockpiling medical supplies, such as personal protective equipment (PPE) and vaccines, and training healthcare workers to manage large-scale health crises. Countries with advanced preparedness plans, such as Singapore and South Korea during the COVID-19 pandemic, were able to implement swift containment measures, including lockdowns, contact tracing, and isolation protocols. These actions significantly slowed the spread of the virus and reduced pressure on healthcare systems (7).

Health security policies also ensure the implementation of **public health interventions**, which are essential for controlling transmission during pandemics. These interventions include quarantine measures, social distancing, travel restrictions, and the closure of non-essential businesses and schools. Governments rely on health security policies to guide the enactment of these interventions based on scientific evidence and risk assessments. For example, the use of strict lockdown measures during the early phases of the COVID-19 pandemic helped countries like China and New Zealand achieve significant control over the virus's spread. While such measures are often controversial, they form a critical part of pandemic response strategies (12).

In addition, **vaccine development and distribution** are integral components of health security policies during pandemics. Policies that prioritize investment in research and development (R&D) facilitate the rapid creation of vaccines and treatments. During the COVID-19 pandemic, initiatives like Operation Warp Speed in the United States and global efforts coordinated by the World Health Organization (WHO) accelerated vaccine development timelines, which typically span several years. Health security policies also play a crucial role in ensuring equitable access to vaccines. Programs such as COVAX, led by the WHO, aimed to provide vaccines to low-income countries, demonstrating the importance of global cooperation to address health inequities during pandemics (13).

Furthermore, health security policies address the need for **health system resilience** to manage the overwhelming demand for healthcare services during pandemics. These policies guide governments in allocating resources, increasing hospital capacities, and supporting frontline healthcare workers. Ensuring that healthcare systems remain functional and accessible during crises is vital for reducing mortality rates and maintaining public trust. For example, health security policies in Italy and Spain were adapted mid-pandemic to increase intensive care units (ICUs) and medical staff, helping the healthcare systems cope with surges in patient numbers (14).

Another critical role of health security policies during pandemics is to **mitigate socioeconomic impacts**. Pandemics often disrupt economies, livelihoods, and societal functions, leading to widespread financial insecurity and unemployment. Health security policies include economic support measures, such as stimulus packages, unemployment benefits, and financial assistance for businesses. For instance, during COVID-19, governments in the United States, Canada, and the European Union implemented extensive fiscal policies to support individuals and businesses affected by lockdowns and economic disruptions. These measures helped reduce the negative economic impacts and foster recovery once the public health situation stabilized (15).

Moreover, **communication and transparency** are central components of health security policies during pandemics. Effective communication strategies ensure that the public receives accurate and timely information about preventive measures, infection rates, and government interventions. Health authorities and governments rely on clear messaging to build public trust, combat misinformation, and encourage adherence to health guidelines. Countries that maintained transparency and engaged in regular communication, such as New Zealand, successfully gained public cooperation and minimized the spread of COVID-19. Policies emphasizing risk communication strategies are essential for addressing public fears and reducing panic during pandemics (16).

Health security policies emphasize **international cooperation** as pandemics are inherently global challenges. Countries must work together to share information, resources, and expertise. International frameworks, such as the International Health Regulations (IHR) and the Global Health Security Agenda (GHS), provide mechanisms for countries to collaborate in containing outbreaks and addressing health emergencies. Partnerships between governments, international organizations, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) facilitate coordinated responses to pandemics, ensuring that vulnerable populations receive adequate support (17).

Impact of Health Security Policies on Public Health Outcomes

Health security policies have a profound and measurable impact on public health outcomes by strengthening healthcare systems, reducing disease transmission, improving population well-being, and fostering global preparedness for health crises.

These policies are designed to protect individuals and communities from health threats, mitigate risks, and create a robust framework to address both acute and chronic health challenges. The success of health security policies in achieving positive public health outcomes largely depends on their implementation, adaptability, and alignment with population needs.

One of the most direct impacts of health security policies is the **reduction in the spread of infectious diseases**. Policies such as mandatory vaccination programs, disease surveillance systems, and infection control measures significantly reduce transmission rates and mortality associated with epidemics and pandemics. For example, the widespread implementation of vaccination policies for diseases like polio, measles, and influenza has drastically reduced infection rates worldwide. During the COVID-19 pandemic, policies promoting mask mandates, social distancing, and hand hygiene successfully curtailed the spread of the virus in many regions. By focusing on preventive measures, health security policies limit disease outbreaks and protect vulnerable populations, such as children, the elderly, and individuals with weakened immune systems (12).

Additionally, health security policies lead to **improved health system preparedness and capacity**, which positively affects public health outcomes. Preparedness policies ensure that healthcare infrastructure is equipped to handle public health emergencies, such as pandemics or natural disasters. This includes increasing the availability of hospital beds, enhancing medical supply chains, and training healthcare personnel. A well-prepared health system is better positioned to manage surges in patient demand, reducing mortality rates and ensuring timely treatment. For instance, countries like Germany and South Korea, which had strong health preparedness policies, demonstrated lower fatality rates during the COVID-19 pandemic due to their ability to quickly mobilize resources and healthcare services (13).

Moreover, health security policies contribute to **early detection and response to health threats**, which is vital in minimizing their impact on public health. Surveillance systems, early warning frameworks, and rapid response protocols enable health authorities to identify outbreaks at an early stage and take immediate action. The Global Polio Eradication Initiative (GPEI), for example, utilizes surveillance networks to detect polio cases and deploy vaccination teams in affected areas, contributing to significant progress toward eradicating the disease. Similarly, early detection mechanisms for diseases like Ebola and Zika have enabled governments and international organizations to contain outbreaks before they become widespread. Such policies save lives and reduce the economic and social burden of health emergencies (18).

Health security policies also play a key role in **reducing health inequalities** by promoting access to healthcare services for underserved and marginalized populations. Policies that focus on equitable distribution of resources, such as vaccines, medications, and medical care, ensure that public health interventions reach all segments of society. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, initiatives like the COVAX program aimed to provide vaccines to low-income countries, addressing disparities in vaccine access. By prioritizing health equity, these policies contribute to improved public health outcomes, particularly in vulnerable communities where access to healthcare is limited (19).

Furthermore, the implementation of health security policies has a significant impact on **chronic disease prevention and management**. Policies targeting non-communicable diseases (NCDs), such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer, include health education programs, lifestyle interventions, and preventive screenings. These measures reduce the prevalence of chronic illnesses and improve population health outcomes in the long term. For instance, public health campaigns promoting healthy eating, physical activity, and smoking cessation have successfully decreased the incidence of NCDs in several countries. By addressing both communicable and non-communicable diseases, health security policies foster healthier and more resilient societies (20).

In addition to health improvements, these policies contribute to **economic stability and reduced healthcare costs**. Preventing large-scale disease outbreaks through health security measures significantly reduces the financial burden on healthcare systems and economies. For example, investing in vaccination programs is far less costly than managing the consequences of a widespread epidemic. By reducing disease incidence and associated treatment costs, health security policies allow governments to allocate resources to other critical sectors, such as education and infrastructure, further enhancing societal development (21).

The impact of health security policies is also evident in **enhanced public trust and confidence in healthcare systems**. When governments prioritize transparency, clear communication, and effective implementation of health policies, citizens are more likely to comply with public health interventions. During the COVID-19 pandemic, countries that maintained trust through regular updates, transparency, and evidence-based policies saw higher adherence to health guidelines and better health outcomes. Public trust is crucial in ensuring the success of health security measures, as compliance with vaccination

programs, hygiene practices, and health advisories directly influences public health outcomes (22).

Health security policies foster **global collaboration and knowledge sharing**, which further improves public health outcomes on a larger scale. International initiatives, such as the Global Health Security Agenda (GHSA) and World Health Organization (WHO)-led programs, encourage countries to share data, expertise, and resources to combat health threats collectively. This collaboration has been instrumental in managing global pandemics, eradicating diseases like smallpox, and improving preparedness for emerging health challenges. The shared lessons and experiences from different countries strengthen global health systems and create a more coordinated response to future crises (23).

The Role of Epidemiology in Advancing Health Security

Epidemiology, the scientific study of the distribution and determinants of health-related events in populations, plays a vital role in advancing health security. By providing data-driven insights and evidence-based strategies, epidemiology informs the development, implementation, and evaluation of health security policies aimed at preventing and mitigating public health threats. Its role is indispensable in understanding disease patterns, predicting outbreaks, and guiding interventions to protect populations from emerging and re-emerging health risks.

One of the primary contributions of epidemiology to health security is its ability to enable **early detection and surveillance** of infectious diseases. Through robust surveillance systems, epidemiologists track the occurrence and spread of diseases, identifying potential outbreaks before they escalate into larger public health emergencies. For instance, during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic, epidemiological data were crucial in recognizing the rapid transmission of the virus, prompting governments and health organizations to implement containment measures. Modern advancements, such as syndromic surveillance and digital epidemiology, further enhance this capability by leveraging real-time data from multiple sources, including social media and health records, to detect unusual health trends (24).

Epidemiology also contributes significantly to **risk assessment and predictive modeling**, which are critical components of health security planning. By analyzing historical data and identifying risk factors, epidemiologists estimate the likelihood and impact of disease outbreaks. Predictive models, such as those used during the Ebola outbreak and COVID-19, help policymakers allocate resources effectively, prepare healthcare systems, and implement targeted interventions. These models also assist in understanding the potential impact of health security measures, such as vaccination campaigns and quarantine protocols, on reducing disease transmission and mortality rates (25).

Another critical role of epidemiology in health security is its involvement in **designing and evaluating public health interventions**. Epidemiologists use their expertise to develop strategies that reduce the burden of diseases and improve health outcomes. For example, during vaccination campaigns, epidemiological studies determine priority populations, estimate vaccine efficacy, and assess coverage levels. These insights are instrumental in achieving immunization goals and preventing the resurgence of vaccine-preventable diseases. Epidemiological methods are also employed to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions, ensuring that health security policies are evidence-based and adaptable to changing circumstances (26).

In the context of health emergencies, epidemiology provides the foundation for **contact tracing and outbreak investigations**. These processes are essential for identifying sources of infections, understanding transmission dynamics, and implementing targeted control measures. During the COVID-19 pandemic, contact tracing efforts guided by epidemiological principles were crucial in breaking chains of transmission and preventing further spread of the virus. Epidemiologists also played a key role in investigating outbreaks in specific settings, such as long-term care facilities, schools, and workplaces, enabling tailored interventions to protect vulnerable populations (27).

Epidemiology further advances health security through its focus on **equity and population health**. By analyzing health disparities and social determinants of health, epidemiologists identify populations at higher risk of adverse outcomes during public health emergencies. This information informs policies aimed at reducing health inequities and ensuring that vulnerable groups receive adequate protection. For example, during the rollout of COVID-19 vaccines, epidemiological data highlighted the disproportionate impact of the virus on low-income communities and racial minorities, prompting targeted efforts to improve vaccine accessibility in these populations (28).

In addition to its applications in infectious diseases, epidemiology supports health security by addressing **non-communicable diseases (NCDs)** and other emerging threats. Epidemiological research provides insights into the risk factors, prevalence, and impact of NCDs, enabling the development of prevention and management strategies. As chronic diseases

become increasingly prevalent worldwide, their integration into health security frameworks ensures that healthcare systems remain resilient during concurrent health emergencies. For instance, managing NCDs effectively during the COVID-19 pandemic was essential in reducing complications among infected individuals (29).

Moreover, epidemiology facilitates **international collaboration and data sharing**, which are essential for global health security. By establishing standardized methodologies and protocols, epidemiologists contribute to the comparability of data across countries, enhancing the ability to monitor and respond to global health threats. Initiatives such as the Global Outbreak Alert and Response Network (GOARN) rely on epidemiological expertise to coordinate international efforts during outbreaks, fostering cooperation and resource sharing among nations (30).

The integration of **technological advancements and digital tools** into epidemiology has transformed its role in health security. Geographic Information Systems (GIS), artificial intelligence, and machine learning enable more accurate mapping and analysis of disease patterns. These tools enhance the precision and speed of epidemiological assessments, making it possible to identify hotspots, predict disease trends, and implement interventions with greater efficiency. For example, during the Zika virus outbreak, GIS technology was used to map mosquito habitats and guide vector control efforts, significantly reducing disease transmission in affected areas (31).

Health Security and Public Health Equity

Health security and public health equity are deeply interconnected concepts that play a crucial role in achieving sustainable and inclusive health outcomes for populations. While health security focuses on protecting communities from health threats through preventive and responsive measures, public health equity ensures that these measures are implemented in a way that provides fair and just access to resources, opportunities, and healthcare services for all individuals, regardless of their socioeconomic status, geographic location, or demographic characteristics. Addressing public health equity within the framework of health security policies is essential to ensure that no population is left vulnerable during public health emergencies (32).

One of the primary ways health securities intersect with public health equity is through **access to healthcare services and resources**. Effective health security policies aim to protect populations from infectious diseases, pandemics, and other health crises. However, disparities in healthcare access can lead to unequal outcomes, with marginalized and underserved populations bearing a disproportionate burden of disease and mortality. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, vaccine access was initially limited in many low- and middle-income countries, highlighting global inequities in resource distribution. Addressing these disparities requires international collaboration and policy frameworks, such as the COVAX initiative, which aim to provide equitable vaccine access to all nations (33).

Another critical aspect of this intersection is the role of **social determinants of health** in shaping public health equity. Factors such as income, education, housing, and employment significantly influence individuals' ability to access healthcare and adhere to public health measures. Health security policies must consider these determinants to ensure that interventions are accessible and effective for all populations. For instance, during lockdowns and quarantine measures, low-income individuals and families often faced greater economic hardships, making it challenging to follow public health guidelines. Providing financial support, food security programs, and targeted assistance to these groups can help bridge the equity gap in health security (34).

Public health equity also involves addressing **geographic disparities** in health security measures. Rural and remote areas often lack adequate healthcare infrastructure, making them more vulnerable during public health emergencies. Strengthening healthcare systems in these regions is critical for ensuring equitable access to health security interventions, such as vaccination programs, medical supplies, and emergency care. Mobile health clinics, telemedicine services, and community health worker initiatives are effective strategies for reaching underserved areas and enhancing equity in health security (35).

The integration of **culturally sensitive approaches** in health security policies is another essential component of promoting public health equity. Diverse populations may have different beliefs, practices, and levels of trust in healthcare systems, which can affect their response to public health interventions. Policies that incorporate cultural competence and engage with local communities can improve acceptance and adherence to health measures. For example, during the Ebola outbreak in West Africa, involving local leaders and adapting communication strategies to align with cultural norms helped overcome resistance to public health interventions, such as safe burial practices and quarantine measures (36).

Health security and public health equity are further linked through the need for **inclusive policy design and decision-**

making. Marginalized populations, including racial and ethnic minorities, refugees, and individuals with disabilities, often face systemic barriers that limit their participation in shaping health policies. Ensuring that these groups are represented in decision-making processes can lead to more inclusive and equitable health security measures. Community engagement and stakeholder consultations are effective approaches for identifying the unique needs of diverse populations and tailoring interventions accordingly (37).

The concept of **universal health coverage (UHC)** also underscores the importance of integrating public health equity into health security policies. UHC ensures that all individuals have access to essential healthcare services without facing financial hardship. By prioritizing UHC within health security frameworks, governments can build more resilient and equitable healthcare systems capable of responding to health emergencies while addressing the underlying health inequities that make certain populations more vulnerable (38).

Moreover, health security policies must address the issue of **resource allocation and prioritization** during crises. Scarce resources, such as hospital beds, ventilators, and vaccines, often need to be distributed rapidly during public health emergencies. Transparent and equitable allocation mechanisms, guided by ethical principles and epidemiological evidence, are essential to prevent discrimination and ensure fairness. During the COVID-19 pandemic, many countries developed frameworks to prioritize high-risk groups, such as healthcare workers, the elderly, and individuals with pre-existing conditions, ensuring that limited resources were used to protect the most vulnerable (39).

Conclusion

Health security policies are essential in addressing global health challenges and protecting populations from a wide range of threats, including pandemics, infectious diseases, and bioterrorism. These policies play a crucial role in reducing disease transmission, enhancing healthcare preparedness, and fostering public health equity by ensuring access to essential resources and services. However, the effectiveness of health security measures is often hindered by challenges such as insufficient funding, weak governance, and disparities in healthcare access. This research emphasizes the importance of integrating public health and epidemiological frameworks into health security policies to improve their implementation and impact. By addressing health inequities, leveraging technological advancements, and promoting international collaboration, policymakers can build more resilient and equitable health systems. Ensuring that health security measures are inclusive and responsive to the needs of all populations is critical to achieving sustainable public health outcomes. Health security policies must be continuously adapted and strengthened to address evolving health challenges. Governments, international organizations, and stakeholders must work together to prioritize health security and equity, ensuring that populations are protected and healthcare systems are prepared for future crises. Through comprehensive and inclusive approaches, health security can be a cornerstone of global public health efforts.

References

1. Malik SM, Barlow A, Johnson B. Reconceptualising health security in post-COVID-19 world. *BMJ global health*. 2021 Jul 1;6(7):e006520.
2. Agyepong I, Spicer N, Ooms G, Jahn A, Bärnighausen T, Beiersmann C, Amoakoh HB, Fink G, Guo Y, Hennig L, Habtemariam MK. Lancet Commission on synergies between universal health coverage, health security, and health promotion. *The Lancet*. 2023 Jun 10;401(10392):1964-2012.
3. Nifakos S, Chandramouli K, Nikolaou CK, Papachristou P, Koch S, Panaousis E, Bonacina S. Influence of human factors on cyber security within healthcare organisations: A systematic review. *Sensors*. 2021 Jul 28;21(15):5119.
4. Amann M, Kiesewetter G, Schöpp W, Klimont Z, Winiwarter W, Cofala J, Rafaj P, Höglund-Isaksson L, Gomez-Sabriana A, Heyes C, Purohit P. Reducing global air pollution: the scope for further policy interventions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*. 2020 Oct 30;378(2183):20190331.
5. Sorensen G, Dennerlein JT, Peters SE, Sabbath EL, Kelly EL, Wagner GR. The future of research on work, safety, health and wellbeing: A guiding conceptual framework. *Social science & medicine*. 2021 Jan 1;269:113593.
6. Al-Dmour H, Salman A, Abuhashesh M, Al-Dmour R. Influence of social media platforms on public health protection against the COVID-19 pandemic via the mediating effects of public health awareness and behavioral changes: integrated model. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 2020 Aug 19;22(8):e19996.
7. Lal A, Erondur NA, Heymann DL, Gitahi G, Yates R. Fragmented health systems in COVID-19: rectifying the misalignment between global health security and universal health coverage. *The Lancet*. 2021 Jan 2;397(10268):61-7.

8. Biddle L, Wahedi K, Bozorgmehr K. Health system resilience: a literature review of empirical research. *Health policy and planning*. 2020 Oct;35(8):1084-109.
9. Stoeva P. Dimensions of health security—a conceptual analysis. *Global challenges*. 2020 Oct;4(10):1700003.
10. Sturmberg JP, Tsasis P, Hoemeke L. COVID-19—an opportunity to redesign health policy thinking. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*. 2020 Jul 20;11(4):409.
11. Coccia M. Pandemic prevention: lessons from COVID-19. *Encyclopedia*. 2021 May 31;1(2):36.
12. Burkle Jr FM. Declining public health protections within autocratic regimes: impact on global public health security, infectious disease outbreaks, epidemics, and pandemics. *Prehospital and disaster medicine*. 2020 Jun;35(3):237-46.
13. Hotez PJ, Batista C, Amor YB, Ergonul O, Figueroa JP, Gilbert S, Gursel M, Hassanain M, Kang G, Kaslow DC, Kim JH. Global public health security and justice for vaccines and therapeutics in the COVID-19 pandemic. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2021 Sep 1;39.
14. Sundararaman T, Muraleedharan VR, Ranjan A. Pandemic resilience and health systems preparedness: lessons from COVID-19 for the twenty-first century. *Journal of Social and Economic Development*. 2021 Sep;23(Suppl 2):290-300.
15. Rocha R, Atun R, Massuda A, Rache B, Spinola P, Nunes L, Lago M, Castro MC. Effect of socioeconomic inequalities and vulnerabilities on health-system preparedness and response to COVID-19 in Brazil: a comprehensive analysis. *The Lancet Global Health*. 2021 Jun 1;9(6):e782-92.
16. Lee Y, Li JY. The role of communication transparency and organizational trust in publics' perceptions, attitudes and social distancing behaviour: A case study of the COVID-19 outbreak. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*. 2021 Dec;29(4):368-84.
17. Abbey EJ, Khalifa BA, Oduwale MO, Ayeh SK, Nudotor RD, Salia EL, Lasisi O, Bennett S, Yusuf HE, Agwu AL, Karakousis PC. The Global Health Security Index is not predictive of coronavirus pandemic responses among Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development countries. *PloS one*. 2020 Oct 7;15(10):e0239398.
18. Søvold LE, Naslund JA, Kousoulis AA, Saxena S, Qoronfleh MW, Grobler C, Münter L. Prioritizing the mental health and well-being of healthcare workers: an urgent global public health priority. *Frontiers in public health*. 2021 May 7;9:679397.
19. Simpson J, Albani V, Bell Z, Bambra C, Brown H. Effects of social security policy reforms on mental health and inequalities: a systematic review of observational studies in high-income countries. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2021 Mar 1;272:113717.
20. Hacker KA, Briss PA, Richardson L, Wright J, Petersen R. Peer reviewed: COVID-19 and chronic disease: the impact now and in the future. *Preventing chronic disease*. 2021;18.
21. Dobrovolska O, Ortmanns W, Dotsenko T, Lustenko V, Savchenko D. Health Security and Cybersecurity: Analysis of Interdependencies. *Health Economics and Management Review*. 2024 Jul 4;5(2):84-103.
22. Gille F, Smith S, Mays N. What is public trust in the healthcare system? A new conceptual framework developed from qualitative data in England. *Social Theory & Health*. 2021 Mar;19(1):1-20.
23. Vuong QH, Le TT, La VP, Nguyen HT, Ho MT, Van Khuc Q, Nguyen MH. Covid-19 vaccines production and societal immunization under the serendipity-mindsponge-3D knowledge management theory and conceptual framework. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*. 2022 Dec;9(1).
24. Alyami JM, Algree MH, Al-Khamsan HS, Alswar MH, Alswar MA, Al Yami AS, Alghamdi AM, Sayed AO, Albarrag FK, Hakami JA, Al Naemi HQ. Epidemiology And Surveillance: Strengthening Public Health Systems With Nursing Expertise. *Journal of Namibian Studies: History Politics Culture*. 2023 Oct 15;36:260-9.
25. Ijeh S, Okolo CA, Arowoogun JO, Adeniyi AO, Omotayo O. Predictive modeling for disease outbreaks: a review of data sources and accuracy. *International Medical Science Research Journal*. 2024 Apr 9;4(4):406-19.
26. World Health Organization. Essential public health functions, health systems and health security: developing conceptual clarity and a WHO roadmap for action.
27. Jiang T, Zhang Y, Zhang M, Yu T, Chen Y, Lu C, Zhang J, Li Z, Gao J, Zhou S. A survey on contact tracing: the latest advancements and challenges. *ACM Transactions on Spatial Algorithms and Systems (TSAS)*. 2022 Feb 12;8(2):1-35.
28. Jack Jr L. Non–Peer-Reviewed: Advancing Health Equity, Eliminating Health Disparities, and Improving Population Health. *Preventing Chronic Disease*. 2021;18.

29. Kostova D, Richter P, Van Vliet G, Mahar M, Moolenaar RL. The role of noncommunicable diseases in the pursuit of global health security. *Health security*. 2021 Jun 1;19(3):288-301.
30. Igwama GT, Olaboye JA, Maha CC, Ajegbile MD, Abdul S. Big data analytics for epidemic forecasting: Policy Frameworks and technical approaches. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*. 2024;6(7):1449-60.
31. Wang Q, Su M, Zhang M, Li R. Integrating digital technologies and public health to fight Covid-19 pandemic: key technologies, applications, challenges and outlook of digital healthcare. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021 Jun 4;18(11):6053.
32. Benjamin GC. Ensuring health equity during the COVID-19 pandemic: the role of public health infrastructure. *Revista Panamericana de Salud Pública*. 2020 Jun 15;44:e70.
33. World Health Organization. *Health Systems for Health Security*.
34. Plamondon KM, Bottorff JL, Caxaj CS, Graham ID. The integration of evidence from the Commission on Social Determinants of Health in the field of health equity: a scoping review. *Critical Public Health*. 2020 Aug 7;30(4):415-28.
35. Shadmi E, Chen Y, Dourado I, Faran-Perach I, Furler J, Hangoma P, Hanvoravongchai P, Obando C, Petrosyan V, Rao KD, Ruano AL. Health equity and COVID-19: global perspectives. *International journal for equity in health*. 2020 Dec;19:1-6.
36. Peterson A, Charles V, Yeung D, Coyle K. The health equity framework: a science-and justice-based model for public health researchers and practitioners. *Health promotion practice*. 2021 Nov;22(6):741-6.
37. Baum F, Townsend B, Fisher M, Browne-Yung K, Freeman T, Ziersch A, Harris P, Friel S. Creating political will for action on health equity: practical lessons for public health policy actors. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*. 2020 Dec 5;11(7):947.
38. Debie A, Nigusie A, Gedle D, Khatri RB, Assefa Y. Building a resilient health system for universal health coverage and health security: a systematic review. *Global Health Research and Policy*. 2024 Jan 4;9(1):2.
39. Lal A, Abdalla SM, Chattu VK, Erondy NA, Lee TL, Singh S, Abou-Taleb H, Morales JV, Phelan A. Pandemic preparedness and response: exploring the role of universal health coverage within the global health security architecture. *The Lancet Global Health*. 2022 Nov 1;10(11):e1675-83.