JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL CRISIS AND RISK COMMUNICATION RESEARCH
ISSN: 2576-0017
2023, VOL 6, NO S12

Public Health Screening for Colon Cancer by Family Physicians and Nurses in Primary Healthcare Centers

Shoug Owaid Sahtal Alanazi[1], Abeer Ibrahim Alanazi[1], Anwar Matar Holail Alenazi[2], Deema Abdullah Maharj Alruwaili[3], Reem Abdullah Maharj Alruwaili[4], Asma Helyl Shtywi Alhzmi[4], Rahf Mohammed L Alenezi[3], Ashwaq Mohammed L Alanazi[5], Manal Mohammed L Alenezi[6], Amer Mohammed L Alanazi[7]

1. Nursing Specialist, North Medical Tower, Arar, Saudi Arabia
2. Nursing technician, North Medical Tower, Arar, Saudi Arabia
3. Nursing specialist, Maternity and Children's Hospital in Arar, Saudi Arabia
4. Nursing technician, Prince Abdullah bin Abdulaziz bin Musaed Specialized Dental Center, Arar, Saudi Arabia
5. Laboratory Specialist, Maternity and children hospital Arar, Saudi Arabia
6. Family Medicine Specialist, Rabwa primary health center, Arar, Saudi Arabia
7. Family Medicine consultant, Almansoriah primary health center, Arar, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

Screening for colon cancer is a critical component of nursing practice, as it plays a vital role in early detection and prevention of the disease. Nurses are often on the front lines of patient care and education, making them key advocates for promoting regular screening, especially in populations at higher risk due to age, family history, or lifestyle factors. By engaging patients in discussions about the importance of screening, nurses can help alleviate fears, clarify misinformation, and encourage adherence to recommended guidelines. This proactive approach not only enhances patient outcomes but also fosters a culture of preventive healthcare within the community. Furthermore, nurses are instrumental in implementing screening initiatives and ensuring that patients receive timely follow-up care. They can facilitate access to screenings through effective patient navigation, offering resources, and coordinating with other healthcare professionals. By tracking screening rates and advocating for policy changes related to colorectal cancer awareness and access to screenings, nurses contribute significantly to public health efforts. Their role not only aids in individual patient care but also supports broader initiatives aimed at reducing the incidence and mortality rates associated with colon cancer.

KEYWORDS: Colon cancer, screening, nursing practice, early detection, prevention, patient education, healthcare access, preventative care, public health, patient advocacy.

1. Introduction

Colon cancer, also known as colorectal cancer, has emerged as a significant public health issue in both developed and developing nations. It stands as one of the leading causes of cancer-related morbidity and mortality worldwide. In the United States alone, the American Cancer Society reported an estimated 149,500 new cases of colorectal cancer in 2021, making it the third most common cancer diagnosed in men and women. The multifactorial nature of colon cancer's etiology ranges from genetic predispositions, lifestyle choices, and environmental factors. However, a pivotal aspect in tackling this deadly disease is the timely identification of high-risk populations through effective screening protocols. Screening for colon cancer plays a crucial role in reducing the incidence and mortality associated with the disease. Research has demonstrated that early detection through regular screening significantly increases survival rates. The rationale behind screening rests on the premise that early-stage colorectal cancer is often asymptomatic or presents with less severe symptoms, making it an ideal target for intervention [1].

The implementation of evidence-based screening guidelines, such as those established by the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), recommends that individuals begin screening at age 45 for average-risk adults and earlier for those with a family history of colorectal cancer or other risk factors. These guidelines establish a framework for identifying at-risk populations, but they also impose a responsibility on healthcare professionals, particularly nurses, to ensure that these recommendations are effectively communicated and executed [2].

Nurses constitute an integral part of the healthcare team and are frequently on the front lines of patient interaction. Their role extends beyond traditional care duties to encompass patient education and advocacy, crucial elements in the fight against colon cancer. This advocacy includes identifying patients who are eligible for screening, educating them about the importance of such screenings, and addressing any barriers they may face in accessing these services. These barriers can be multifaceted, including financial constraints, lack of awareness, or misconceptions about the screening process. Nurses are uniquely positioned to provide culturally competent care, allowing them to tailor information and educational interventions to meet the diverse needs of the population they serve. Their pivotal role can lead to increased screening rates, contributing to earlier diagnoses and, consequently, higher survival rates [3].

Moreover, the integration of technology in nursing practice has the potential to further enhance screening efforts. Digital health tools such as patient portals, telehealth conferencing, and reminder systems can be employed to facilitate communication regarding screening. These tools can help mitigate some of the barriers to screening, such as transportation issues, by allowing for remote consultations and follow-ups. Nurses can harness these technologies to ensure that patients remain engaged in their care and are kept informed about screening protocols and personalized recommendations [4].

In addition to patient-centered care, nurses play a crucial role in navigating the logistical aspects of screening implementation within healthcare systems. They can aid in the development and optimization of screening programs by participating in

quality improvement initiatives that monitor the effectiveness of screening protocols. This oversight may include tracking screening rates, managing follow-up care for abnormal results, and ensuring compliance with established guidelines. By engaging in these quality control measures, nurses can help identify gaps in service delivery and advocate for necessary changes to improve colorectal cancer screening outcomes [5].

Furthermore, continuous professional development is essential for nurses to remain informed about the latest guidelines and research findings in the field of colorectal cancer screening. Regular training and education can enhance their knowledge and skills regarding risk assessment, screening modalities, and communication strategies with patients. This breadth of knowledge empowers nurses to act as effective liaisons between patients and their healthcare providers, ultimately fostering a more proactive approach to health management.

Despite the importance of screening, there remains a disparity in access and adherence to colorectal cancer screening among certain populations, particularly vulnerable groups. Socioeconomic factors, cultural differences, and variations in healthcare access can lead to significant discrepancies in screening rates. Nurses should be aware of these disparities and work towards implementing targeted interventions that can improve screening access for marginalized communities. This may involve community outreach programs, partnerships with local organizations, and the implementation of culturally sensitive educational materials that resonate with diverse populations [6].

Colon Cancer Prevention:

Cancer remains one of the leading causes of mortality worldwide, highlighting the urgent need for effective preventive strategies. While various healthcare professionals play critical roles in addressing this multifaceted disease, nurses are uniquely positioned to contribute significantly to cancer prevention. Their extensive training, holistic approach to patient care, and continuous interaction with patients and their families allow them to implement numerous preventative measures [6].

One of the primary roles of nurses in cancer prevention is education. Nurses serve as educators for patients and the general public, promoting awareness about the risk factors and symptoms associated with cancer. Through workshops, community outreach programs, and one-on-one consultations, nurses convey critical information regarding the importance of regular screenings, self-examinations, and awareness of bodily changes [7].

Nurses often deliver information on specific cancer prevention measures based on the individual's age, gender, and family history. For instance, they might educate women on the importance of mammograms for early detection of breast cancer or inform men about the significance of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) testing. Furthermore, nurses educate patients about the importance of vaccinations, such as the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine, which significantly reduces the risk of cervical cancer. By disseminating this knowledge, nurses empower individuals to take proactive steps toward reducing their cancer risk [8].

Another crucial role nurses play in cancer prevention is facilitating early detection

and screening. Through routine health assessments and screenings, nurses help identify individuals at high risk for specific types of cancer. Their skills in conducting physical assessments, obtaining patient histories, and performing screenings enable them to detect abnormalities that may warrant further evaluation [8].

Moreover, nurses are often the first point of contact for patients in healthcare settings. As they administer tests and screenings, nurses can also educate patients about the importance of following guidelines for regular screenings. This proactive approach is vital because many types of cancer, such as colorectal and cervical cancer, have higher survival rates when detected early [9].

Nurses contribute to cancer prevention by encouraging lifestyle modifications among patients. They provide guidance on nutrition, physical activity, weight management, and tobacco cessation, all of which play an essential role in reducing cancer risk. As health educators, nurses can develop tailored intervention strategies based on the unique needs and circumstances of each patient [9].

For example, nurses may provide nutritional counseling to cancer patients and survivors, helping them make informed dietary choices that may help reduce recurrence. Additionally, by promoting a healthy lifestyle, nurses can aid in educating individuals about the association between obesity and certain cancers, thus fostering healthier habits among at-risk populations [10].

Beyond physical health, nurses play a pivotal role in providing emotional support to patients and their families. Cancer diagnosis and treatment can lead to significant psychological distress, which can affect patients' overall well-being and willingness to participate in preventive measures. Nurses are trained to offer compassionate care, actively listen to patients' concerns, and provide reassurance.

By establishing trusting relationships with patients, nurses can engage in meaningful conversations about fears and misconceptions related to cancer. This emotional support is crucial for motivating patients to adhere to preventive measures, attend screenings, and pursue healthier lifestyles. Furthermore, nurses can identify patients who may require additional mental health resources and facilitate referrals to appropriate services [11].

Advocacy is a powerful aspect of cancer prevention, and nurses play an important role in promoting policies and practices that support cancer protection at the community and national levels. Their frontline experiences allow them to identify gaps in care and advocate for enhanced cancer prevention programs within their healthcare systems and communities [12].

Nurses often engage in cancer awareness campaigns, participate in health fairs, and collaborate with organizations dedicated to cancer prevention. Through collective effort, they advocate for policies that increase access to cancer screenings, vaccinations, and educational resources, particularly in underserved populations. By addressing disparities in cancer prevention, nurses can contribute to reducing the burden of cancer among vulnerable communities [13].

The role of nurses in cancer prevention extends to research and continuous

improvement of preventive strategies. Nurses are increasingly involved in research studies that seek to understand cancer risk factors, effective interventions, and patient outcomes. By participating in clinical trials and evidence-based research, nurses contribute to the development of cutting-edge approaches to cancer prevention [14].

Moreover, as nurses engage in data collection and analysis, they can help identify trends and effectiveness in current preventive measures. This information can inform policy decisions, educational programs, and clinical practices aimed at reducing cancer incidence. The nursing profession's involvement in research underscores a commitment to ongoing learning and adaptation in the face of evolving cancer challenges [14].

Risk Factors Associated with Colon Cancer:

Colon cancer, also known as colorectal cancer, is a malignant growth arising from the inner lining of the colon or rectum. It is a significant global health issue, being one of the most commonly diagnosed forms of cancer and a leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), colorectal cancer accounts for nearly 10% of all cancer cases globally. Understanding the risk factors associated with colon cancer is paramount for effective prevention, early detection, and management of the disease [15].

Genetics play an instrumental role in the development of colon cancer. Inherited genetic mutations account for about 5-10% of all colorectal cancer cases. The most notable genetic syndromes associated with an increased risk include Lynch syndrome, also known as hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC), and familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP). Individuals with Lynch syndrome have a higher likelihood of developing colon or rectal cancer at a younger age. In FAP, hundreds to thousands of polyps can form in the colon, with a near-certain risk of developing cancer if not managed through prophylactic surgeries. Identifying genetic predispositions through family histories and genetic testing can help in understanding one’s risk and facilitate early screening measures [16].

One of the most significant demographic risk factors for colon cancer is age. The risk increases significantly after the age of 50, leading to the recommendation for routine screening to begin around this age. The cumulative risk of developing colorectal cancer rises with age due to prolonged exposure to risk factors over time. Additionally, studies have shown that men are at a slightly higher risk compared to women, possibly attributed to hormonal differences and differing prevalence of certain risk factors [17].

Lifestyle Factors

Lifestyle choices play a critical role in modulating an individual’s risk for developing colon cancer. Several factors have been linked to increased risk:

1. Diet: The type of diet consumed has profound implications for colon cancer risk. Diets high in red and processed meats are associated with a higher risk of colorectal cancer. Conversely, diets rich in fruits, vegetables, and whole grains can reduce risk. The fiber content in these foods is believed to aid in the production of beneficial short-chain fatty acids that may decrease cancer risk [18].

2. Physical Activity: Sedentary lifestyles are associated with an elevated risk of colon cancer. Regular physical activity can significantly decrease the likelihood of developing the disease by improving digestive health and promoting a healthy body weight.

3. Obesity: Obesity is a well-established risk factor for various cancers, including colon cancer. Excess body weight contributes to inflammation and hormonal changes that can promote cancer development [18].

4. Alcohol Consumption: Heavy alcohol consumption has also been linked to an increased risk of colon cancer. Moderation is key, as moderate alcohol intake is generally considered to have fewer negative health effects, while excessive consumption is particularly deleterious.

5. Smoking: Tobacco use is not only associated with lung cancer but also increases the risk of colorectal cancer. The carcinogens in tobacco can affect the colonic mucosa and promote tumorigenesis [18].

Medical Conditions and Procedures

Certain medical conditions and procedures can elevate the risk of developing colon cancer. Individuals with a history of inflammatory bowel diseases such as Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis have an increased risk of colon cancer due to the chronic inflammation of the intestinal lining. Personal history of polyps in the colon, particularly adenomatous polyps, is also significant; these growths, while largely asymptomatic, have the potential to become cancerous over time [19].

Moreover, a family history of colorectal cancer or genetic syndromes that increase susceptibility can heighten risk. Routine surveillance colonoscopies are recommended for individuals with these risk factors to detect and remove polyps before they progress to cancer.

Socioeconomic status can also influence risk. Individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds may have less access to healthcare, including counseling on lifestyle changes, preventive screenings, and treatment options. This disparity can lead to late-stage diagnoses when the cancer is more difficult to treat. Moreover, educational levels can affect health literacy, influencing an individual's ability to make informed health choices concerning diet, physical activity, and other lifestyle factors [19].

Regular screening is a critical component of colon cancer prevention and early detection. Colonoscopies and other screening methods can identify precancerous polyps and allow for their removal, significantly reducing the risk of developing cancer. Various organizations recommend starting screenings at the age of 45 for average-risk individuals due to rising incidence rates in younger populations. For those with increased risk factors, earlier and more frequent screenings may be warranted [20].

Evidence-Based Guidelines for Screening:

Colon cancer, or colorectal cancer (CRC), remains one of the leading causes of cancer-related morbidity and mortality worldwide. However, advancements in screening techniques and early detection strategies have significantly reduced

incidence and mortality rates. Evidence-based guidelines for colon cancer screening have been developed by various authoritative organizations to optimize patient outcomes while managing healthcare resources effectively [21].

Before delving into the guidelines, it's imperative to understand colon cancer itself. Colon cancer originates in the colon or rectum, often as a result of the transformation of benign polyps into malignant tumors. Risk factors include age, family history of colorectal cancer, hereditary syndromes (such as Lynch syndrome or familial adenomatous polyposis), lifestyle factors (such as diet, physical inactivity, alcohol consumption, and smoking), and certain medical conditions (like inflammatory bowel disease) [22].

Colon cancer is unique among cancers because it typically progresses slowly, allowing for early intervention through systematic screening. Early detection through screening can significantly reduce the mortality associated with the disease. According to the American Cancer Society (ACS), screening can detect cancer at an early stage when it is most treatable, and it can also prevent cancer by detecting and removing precancerous polyps [23].

Evidence-Based Guidelines for Screening

Multiple respected organizations have established evidence-based guidelines for the screening of colon cancer. These include the American Cancer Society (ACS), the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), and the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG). Each organization's guidelines are based on a thorough review of the available evidence, including clinical trials, observational studies, and systematic reviews [24].

1. Age to Begin Screening: Most guidelines recommend that average-risk individuals begin regular screening at age 45. This is a shift from prior recommendations that suggested starting at age 50. The change is primarily driven by increasing rates of colon cancer among younger adults in the U.S. and other developed countries.

2. Types of Screening Tests: Various screening tests are available, including:

o Colonoscopy: A procedure that allows for direct visualization of the colon and the removal of polyps. It is currently the gold standard for screening and should be performed every 10 years [25].

o Stool-Based Tests: These include the Fecal Immunochemical Test (FIT) and false-positive and false-negative rates vary. These are typically recommended annually [25].

o Sigmoidoscopy: A flexible tube is inserted into the rectum to examine the lower part of the colon. This can be done every five years, though it may be less effective at detecting cancers in the right side of the colon [26].

3. Frequency of Screening: The frequency of screening may depend on the type of test used, the findings at the previous screening (e.g., presence of polyps), and the patient's risk factors. Individuals with a higher risk for colon cancer, such as those with a family history or certain genetic conditions, may require more frequent

screening, often starting at a younger age [27].

4. Continuation of Screening: Screening should continue until the age of 75 for those who are in good health and have a life expectancy of over 10 years. For individuals aged 76 to 85, the decision to continue screening should be based on overall health, prior screening history, and patient preferences [27].

5. Discontinuation of Screening: For those aged 85 and older, guidelines generally recommend discontinuing screening unless there are exceptional circumstances, as risks may outweigh the benefits [27].

Rationale and Evidence Supporting Guidelines

The guidelines for colon cancer screening are based on robust clinical evidence demonstrating that screening significantly reduces mortality rates. Randomized controlled trials have shown that regular screening reduces the risk of dying from colon cancer by 68%. In addition, numerous observational studies have provided data demonstrating that patients who undergo screening are less likely to be diagnosed with advanced-stage cancers [28].

Evidence also suggests that screening led to the detection of precancerous lesions at a higher rate, allowing for prompt intervention and removal of these lesions before they can evolve into cancer. For example, studies indicate that colonoscopy can reduce the incidence of colon cancer by up to 68% compared to unscreened populations [29].

Additionally, health equity is a growing concern in colon cancer screening. Disparities exist in access to screening and subsequent survival rates among different racial and ethnic groups. Evidence-based guidelines aim to encourage increased screening access and outreach to vulnerable populations to reduce disparities [30].

Patient Education and Communication Strategies:

Colon cancer, also known as colorectal cancer, is one of the most prevalent forms of cancer worldwide, ranking as the third most commonly diagnosed cancer and the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths in many countries. The complexity of this disease necessitates effective patient education and robust communication strategies to enhance patient outcomes, empower individuals in their healthcare journey, and foster collaborative relationships between patients and healthcare providers [31].

Colon cancer occurs when abnormal cells in the colon or rectum grow uncontrollably, forming tumors that can invade surrounding tissues and organs. Risk factors include age, family history, genetic predispositions, diet, lifestyle, and certain medical conditions such as inflammatory bowel disease. Symptoms may include changes in bowel habits, abdominal discomfort, and rectal bleeding, but early-stage colon cancer often presents no symptoms, making regular screening crucial [31].

Screening methods, such as colonoscopy, have proven effective in detecting colon cancer at an early and more treatable stage. Guidelines recommend that individuals at average risk begin screening at age 45, while those with higher risk factors may require earlier or more frequent screenings. Despite the clear benefits of early

detection, disparities in screening rates persist due to socioeconomic factors, health literacy, and cultural beliefs, underscoring the need for comprehensive patient education initiatives [32].

Patient education plays a pivotal role in the management of colon cancer. By enabling patients to understand their diagnosis, treatment options, and potential outcomes, effective education enhances their ability to make informed decisions about their care. Furthermore, it can reduce anxiety, promote adherence to treatment plans, and improve overall quality of life [33].

Key components of effective patient education include:

1. Understanding the Disease: Patients should be informed about what colon cancer is, its risk factors, and the importance of early detection. Education should provide clear information about how cancer develops, the stages of colon cancer, and the potential for metastasis [34].

2. Treatment Options: Patients must receive thorough explanations of available treatments, including surgery, chemotherapy, radiation, and targeted therapies. Understanding the expected outcomes, potential side effects, and the rationale behind specific treatment plans is essential for patients to engage actively in their care.

3. Post-Treatment Care: Education should extend beyond treatment to include guidance on follow-up care, surveillance, and lifestyle modifications aimed at reducing recurrence risk. Information about nutrition, exercise, and psychological support can help enhance long-term health.

4. Support Resources: Patients should be made aware of resources available to them, such as support groups, counseling services, and educational materials from trustworthy organizations [34].

Communication Strategies for Effective Patient Engagement

Effective communication is the backbone of successful patient education. It fosters a collaborative relationship between patients and healthcare providers, ensuring that patients feel valued, understood, and engaged in their healthcare journey. Several communication strategies can enhance patient education in the context of colon cancer:

1. Clear and Simple Language: Health professionals should avoid medical jargon and use language that is accessible and understandable for patients. The use of visuals, such as diagrams and charts, can further aid comprehension [35].

2. Tailoring Information: Different patients have varying levels of understanding and preferences for receiving information. Communication should be tailored to individual learning styles. Some may prefer written materials, while others might benefit from verbal discussions or visual aids [35].

3. Active Listening: Healthcare providers should practice active listening to ensure they understand patients' concerns, questions, and preferences. This two-way communication fosters a sense of partnership and helps identify barriers to understanding.

4. Encouraging Questions: Creating an environment where patients feel comfortable asking questions is essential. Encouraging patients to express their concerns can help clarify misunderstandings and enhance their knowledge and confidence in managing their condition [36].

5. Utilizing Technology: Digital health tools, such as telehealth services, patient portals, and mobile applications, can enhance communication and provide patients with timely access to information and resources [36].

6. Involving Family Members: Engaging family members in patient education can strengthen support systems for individuals diagnosed with colon cancer. Family members may help reinforce what the patient learns and provide emotional support.

7. Follow-Up Communication: Continuous communication through follow-up appointments and check-ins ensures that patients remain informed and supported throughout their treatment journey. This ongoing dialogue helps address emerging questions or concerns and reinforces the commitment of healthcare providers to patient-centered care [36].

Barriers to Screening and Nurse Interventions:

Colon cancer, also referred to as colorectal cancer (CRC), represents a significant public health challenge and remains one of the leading causes of cancer-related morbidity and mortality worldwide. Given the direct correlation between early detection and improved outcomes, colon cancer screening is a critical component of preventative healthcare. However, multiple barriers hinder individuals from participating in recommended screening programs [37].

Colon cancer screening aims to detect precancerous polyps or cancer in its early stages when the likelihood of successful treatment is highest. Various screening modalities exist, including fecal immunochemical tests (FIT), sigmoidoscopy, colonoscopy, and CT colonography. The American Cancer Society recommends that adults aged 45 and older undergo regular screening, especially those who are at heightened risk due to family history, genetic predispositions, or pre-existing inflammatory bowel diseases [38].

Despite these recommendations, screening rates remain suboptimal in many populations. The World Health Organization has recognized that increasing adherence to screening programs is essential for reducing the burden of colon cancer and calls for concerted efforts to address the barriers influencing individuals' decisions to engage in preventative measures [38].

Barriers to Colon Cancer Screening

1. Socioeconomic Factors

One of the most significant barriers to colon cancer screening is socioeconomic status, which can affect individuals' access to healthcare services. Individuals with lower incomes may lack insurance or have inadequate health coverage, making screening financially prohibitive. Additionally, high deductibles and copayments can deter patients from seeking necessary care. Research indicates that lower-income populations and those without adequate insurance coverage are less likely to undergo

recommended screenings than their more affluent counterparts.

2. Geographical Barriers

Geographic location plays a critical role in access to healthcare services. Rural populations often face challenges related to distance from healthcare facilities that offer screening services. Limited availability of specialized colon cancer screening programs, particularly in underserved areas, can lead to a significant disparity. The lack of transportation further exacerbates this issue, limiting individuals' ability to reach healthcare providers. [39].

3. Cultural and Linguistic Factors

Cultural beliefs and perceptions about colon cancer can significantly impact screening behaviors. In some cultures, discussing bowel health is perceived as taboo, leading to stigma associated with screening tests. Misconceptions regarding the pain or invasiveness of procedures like colonoscopy may deter individuals from participating. Furthermore, language barriers can limit access to information about screening guidelines, resulting in lower levels of awareness and understanding among non-English speaking populations [40].

4. Health Literacy

Health literacy—the ability to understand and make informed health decisions—significantly influences an individual's propensity to engage in colorectal cancer screenings. Many individuals may lack sufficient knowledge about the importance of screening, eligible options, and the procedures themselves. This lack of understanding can lead to misconceptions that prevent individuals from seeking timely care [41].

5. Fear and Anxiety

Fear of diagnosis, potential pain from screening procedures, and anxiety about the implications of findings can create cognitive and emotional barriers that prevent individuals from undertaking screening. Research shows that fear is one of the primary deterrents, causing many individuals to delay or avoid preventive health measures [42].

6. Provider-Related Factors

Healthcare provider recommendations have been shown to significantly influence screening rates. A lack of communication about the importance of screening, insufficient patient education, and a failure to provide clear guidance can negatively impact patients' decisions. Moreover, providers may not consistently screen or discuss screening options, particularly in lower-income or minority populations, leading to disparities in care [43].

Nursing Interventions to Promote Colon Cancer Screening

Nurses play an essential role in addressing the barriers to colon cancer screening through various interventions aimed at improving knowledge, enhancing access, and providing emotional support. Effective nursing interventions include:

1. Education and Awareness

One of the primary duties of nurses is to educate patients about the importance of colorectal cancer screening. Education can take various forms, including one-on-one counseling, informational brochures, and community workshops. Nurses can tailor educational content to meet the needs of diverse populations, addressing cultural concerns, myths, and misconceptions about screening. By emphasizing the importance of early detection and the benefits of screening, nurses can help demystify the process, encouraging patients to participate [44].

2. Facilitating Access to Screening

Nurses can work to remove geographical and socioeconomic barriers by advocating for increased access to healthcare services. This can involve liaising with community organizations to establish mobile screening programs or implementing telehealth services that allow patients to consult with healthcare professionals remotely. Additionally, nurses can assist patients in navigating health insurance processes, helping them understand their coverage and addressing any financial concerns related to screening [45].

3. Culturally Competent Care

Providing culturally competent care is crucial to addressing the unique needs of diverse populations. Nurses must understand and respect cultural beliefs surrounding health and illness. This may involve employing interpreters or offering resources in multiple languages. By fostering a trusting relationship with patients and respecting their cultural values, nurses can motivate individuals to engage in screenings they might otherwise avoid [46].

4. Personalized Encouragement

Nurses often have the opportunity to build long-term relationships with patients, allowing for personalized encouragement regarding screening. Regular follow-up appointments provide nurses the chance to ask about screening status and address any lingering fears or concerns. By ensuring that patients feel supported and understood, nurses can actively advocate for their participation in screening programs [47].

5. Screening Reminders

Implementing reminder systems can also improve screening rates. Sending patients automated reminders via phone, text, or email can serve as a gentle nudge to schedule their screening. These reminders can include important information about the screening process, emphasizing the steps they need to take. Timely reminders can reduce the likelihood of patients forgetting or postponing their appointments [48].

6. Collaboration with Healthcare Providers

Nursing professionals should take an active role in collaborating with other healthcare providers to ensure comprehensive care. This can include implementing interdisciplinary approaches to discuss screening guidelines during team meetings and providing case management for patients at higher risk for colon cancer. By

promoting a unified message from the healthcare team, nurses can strengthen the case for routine screenings [49].

Collaboration with Healthcare Teams:

In the rapidly evolving world of healthcare, the need for effective collaboration among healthcare teams is more pertinent than ever. As medical knowledge expands and healthcare systems expand their capabilities, the traditional model of individual practitioner-based care has transitioned to a more integrated and collaborative approach. This shift recognizes that complex patient needs often require diverse expertise and skills and that interdisciplinary teamwork can better address these complexities, ultimately resulting in improved patient outcomes and healthcare quality [49].

The Importance of Collaboration in Healthcare

Collaboration in healthcare is essential for several reasons. First and foremost, patients often present with multifaceted health issues that span various specialties. For instance, a patient with diabetes may also have cardiovascular problems, require mental health support, and face nutritional challenges. A collaborative healthcare team—comprising physicians, nurses, social workers, dietitians, and others—can holistically address these intersecting concerns, ensuring that all aspects of a patient's health are considered [50].

Another significant benefit of collaboration is the enhancement of communication among healthcare providers. Miscommunication can lead to medication errors, redundant testing, and ultimately, adverse patient outcomes. By working together, healthcare professionals can share insights, clarify treatment plans, and regularly update one another on patient progress, leading to greater consistency in care. Open lines of communication within teams also foster a culture of safety where individuals feel empowered to express concerns and propose solutions without fear of retribution [51].

Moreover, collaborative healthcare teams can offer more comprehensive education and support for patients. Health literacy is crucial for enabling patients to navigate their care effectively, manage their health conditions, and engage in preventive measures. A multi-disciplinary team can provide tailored education, addressing different aspects of a patient's health in a more cohesive manner. For instance, a diabetic patient might receive nutritional education from a dietitian, medication management tips from a pharmacist, and emotional support from a mental health professional—all while their primary care physician coordinates the care [51].

Models of Collaboration

Healthcare collaboration can take various forms, and several models have been developed to facilitate effective teamwork. The Interprofessional Education (IPE) model promotes the joint learning of students from different health professions, emphasizing the importance of teamwork in their future careers. By preparing healthcare providers to work collaboratively before they even enter the workforce, IPE fosters mutual respect and understanding of the unique roles and contributions of each discipline [52].

Another prevalent model is the Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH), which is designed to enhance the organization of care around the patient. In the PCMH model, a primary care provider acts as the central point of care, coordinating with various specialists and healthcare professionals. This structure not only improves patient access to care but also streamlines the process of managing chronic diseases through active collaboration among team members [52].

The Collaborative Care Model is yet another effective approach. This model integrates behavioral health into primary care settings, ensuring that mental health and physical health are addressed simultaneously. In this structure, a team typically includes a primary care physician, a behavioral health clinician, and a care manager who communicate regularly, providing comprehensive care to patients struggling with both physical and mental health issues [52].

Challenges to Effective Collaboration

Despite the myriad benefits of collaboration, challenges still abound. One of the primary obstacles is the presence of hierarchical structures within healthcare organizations. These hierarchies can lead to communication breakdowns, where team members may feel hesitant to speak up or question decisions made by those perceived to have more authority. This challenge can stifle the valuable input that various team members can offer and ultimately impact the quality of patient care [53].

Additionally, differing professional cultures and communication styles can create friction among team members. Each discipline typically has its own norms, language, and expectations, which can impede the development of a cohesive team dynamic. Continuous education on the roles and responsibilities of each profession, along with training in effective communication and teamwork strategies, is crucial to overcoming these barriers [54].

Time constraints present another significant challenge to collaboration. Healthcare settings are often fast-paced environments where providers are pressed for time. This urgency can hinder the opportunity for team discussions and collaborative planning, leading to decisions being made in silos rather than as a group [55].

Strategies for Effective Collaboration

To enhance collaboration within healthcare teams, several strategies can be employed. Regular Team Meetings: These meetings allow healthcare providers to discuss patient care plans, share updates, and strategize on complex cases. Establishing a regular cadence for these meetings can help create a culture of collaboration and support [56].

Clear Role Definition: Establishing clear roles and responsibilities for each team member can minimize confusion and overlap. When team members know their specific tasks, they can focus on their contributions while respecting the roles of others. This understanding also promotes accountability across the team [56].

Use of Technology: Leveraging technology, such as electronic health records (EHR) and communication platforms, can facilitate better information sharing among team

members. Tools that promote real-time updates can enhance coordination and ensure all providers are on the same page concerning patient care [57].

Interdisciplinary Training: Organizing training sessions and workshops that involve multiple health professions can encourage team building and promote understanding of each member's unique expertise. This approach fosters an environment where input from all disciplines is valued and encouraged [57].

Future Directions and Policy Implications in Nursing Practice:

Nursing, as a core component of the healthcare system, has evolved significantly over the decades, adapting to changes in medical technology, patient demographics, and healthcare policies. As we look to the future, the nursing profession faces challenges and opportunities that necessitate thoughtful consideration of policy implications, educational advancements, technological integration, and workforce development [57].

The role of nurses has expanded beyond traditional patient care to include leadership in healthcare policy, advocacy, and research. As healthcare systems transition toward a more holistic approach that emphasizes preventive care, chronic disease management, and patient-centered practices, nurses are increasingly expected to play pivotal roles in these areas. Future nursing practice will likely see a greater emphasis on advanced practice roles, such as nurse practitioners (NPs) and clinical nurse specialists (CNSs), who provide high-quality care independently or in collaboration with physicians [58].

Policy implications surrounding this evolution could encompass the necessity for updated regulations that support scope of practice for advanced practice nurses, ensuring they can operate to the full extent of their training. Furthermore, these roles can alleviate some of the burden on primary care physicians, helping to address physician shortages, particularly in rural and underserved areas.

The integration of technology in nursing practice is another area set for transformative growth. Electronic health records (EHRs), telehealth platforms, and mobile health applications are reshaping how nurses provide care. Telehealth has emerged as a critical tool, particularly highlighted during the COVID-19 pandemic, allowing nurses to evaluate and manage patients remotely. The future will likely see an expansion of telehealth services, requiring policy adjustments to ensure reimbursement models align with these innovative service delivery methods [59].

Additionally, as artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning continue to develop, they offer the potential to enhance patient assessment, decision-making, and predictive analytics in nursing. Policy implications for this direction must consider ethical guidelines for AI implementation, addressing concerns about privacy, data security, and the potential for bias in care delivery. Programs for ongoing education and training in technology use and data analysis will be vital for nurses to be effective in their roles in this evolving landscape [59].

As healthcare becomes increasingly aware of social determinants of health, the nursing profession must prioritize diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) in its practice. Nurses are often the frontline providers who can identify and address health

disparities within specific populations. Future nursing education and practice must reflect a commitment to DEI principles, ensuring that all nurses are equipped to provide culturally competent care that acknowledges and respects the diverse backgrounds of patients [60].

On a policy level, there is a pressing need for initiatives that recruit and retain a diverse nursing workforce, particularly in communities of color where health disparities are most pronounced. Initiatives might include scholarship programs for underrepresented students pursuing nursing careers and mentorship programs aimed at fostering a more inclusive environment. Policies that promote staff diversity within nursing have the potential to improve patient outcomes by enhancing communication and trust between patients and healthcare providers [60].

The increasing recognition of mental health as a crucial component of overall health is shaping the future of nursing practice. Nurses often play a vital role in managing patients experiencing psychiatric disorders or mental health crises, making mental health training essential in nursing education. As awareness of mental health issues grows, nursing curricula must increasingly incorporate training related to psychiatric care, trauma-informed practices, and resilience training for both patients and healthcare workers [61].

Policy implications in this area include advocating for mental health parity laws that ensure equal coverage for mental and physical health services. Furthermore, workplace policies that prioritize mental wellness for healthcare providers are essential, addressing issues such as burnout and compassion fatigue, especially in the high-stress environments often faced by nurses [61].

As the landscape of healthcare continues to transform rapidly, the importance of lifelong learning for nurses cannot be overstated. Continuous professional development and education will ensure that nurses stay abreast of advancements in clinical practice, technology, and healthcare policy. Future directions may include more standardized requirements for continuing education that align with the specialties and challenges faced in modern healthcare [62].

Policies should cultivate environments that support ongoing education, such as financial assistance for advanced degrees or specialized training, as well as time within work schedules for educational pursuits. Collaborative partnerships between healthcare organizations and educational institutions could also bolster the development of clinical competency among nursing professionals [63].

2. Conclusion:

In conclusion, screening for colon cancer is a crucial responsibility of nursing practice that significantly impacts patient outcomes and public health. Nurses play a vital role in early detection and prevention by educating patients about the importance of screening, addressing misconceptions, and promoting adherence to guidelines. By identifying individuals at risk and facilitating access to screenings, nurses help reduce the incidence and mortality associated with colon cancer. Furthermore, their involvement in collaborative healthcare initiatives enhances the

effectiveness of screening programs and fosters a culture of prevention within communities. As the healthcare landscape continues to evolve, ongoing education, advocacy, and research in nursing will be essential to address barriers to screening and improve health disparities. Ultimately, recognizing and harnessing the importance of colon cancer screening not only enhances individual patient care but also contributes to the broader goal of advancing public health initiatives and improving survivor outcomes.

References

Wong MCS, Huang J, Huang JLW, et al. Global Prevalence of Colorectal Neoplasia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;18(3):553–561 e510.

Bailie L, Loughrey MB, Coleman HG. Lifestyle risk factors for serrated colorectal polyps: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastroenterology. 2017;152(1):92–104.

U. S. Preventive Services Task Force, Davidson KW, Barry MJ, et al. Screening for colorectal cancer: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. JAMA. 2021;325(19):1965–1977.

Siegel RL, Miller KD, Goding Sauer A, et al. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2020. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians. 2020;0:1–20.

Rex DK, Boland CR, Dominitz JA, et al. Colorectal cancer screening: recommendations for physicians and patients from the U.S. Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer. Am J Gastroenterol. 2017;112(7):1016–1030.

Lin JS, Perdue LA, Henrikson NB, Bean SI, Blasi PR. Screening for colorectal cancer: updated evidence report and systematic review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. JAMA. 2021;325(19):1978–1998.

Dekker E, Tanis PJ, Vleugels JLA, Kasi PM, Wallace MB. Colorectal cancer. Lancet. 2019;394(10207):1467–1480.

Provenzale D, Ness RM, Llor X, et al. NCCN guidelines insights: colorectal cancer screening, version 2.2020. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2020;18(10):1312–1320.

Kuipers EJ, Grady WM, Lieberman D, et al. Colorectal cancer. Nature Reviews Disease Primers. 2015;1(1):15065.

Smith RA, Andrews KS, Brooks D, et al. Cancer screening in the United States, 2019: a review of current American Cancer Society guidelines and current issues in cancer screening. CA Cancer J Clin. 2019;69(3):184–210.

Vogelaar I, van Ballegooijen M, Schrag D, et al. How much can current interventions reduce colorectal cancer mortality in the U.S.? Cancer. 2006;107(7):1624–1633.

QuickStats: Percentage of Adults Aged 50–75 Years Who Met Colorectal Cancer (CRC) Screening Recommendations — National Health Interview Survey, United States, 2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:314.

IARC (2019). Colorectal cancer screening. IARC Handb Cancer Prev. 17:1–300.

Meester RGS, van Herk M, Lansdorp-Vogelaar I, Ladabaum U. Prevalence and clinical features of sessile serrated polyps: a systematic review. Gastroenterology. 2020;159(1):105–118 e125.

Colon and Rectum Recent Trends in SEER Incidence and U.S. Mortality Rates, 2000–2018. In: SEER*Explorer: An interactive website for SEER cancer statistics.

Colon and Rectum SEER 5-Year Relative Survival Rates, 2011–2017. In: SEER*Explorer: An interactive website for SEER cancer statistics.

Brenner H, Altenhofen L, Stock C, Hoffmeister M. Incidence of colorectal adenomas: birth cohort analysis among 4.3 million participants of screening colonoscopy. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2014;23(9):1920–1927.

Colon and Rectum SEER Incidence and U.S. Mortality Rates by Age at Diagnosis, 2014–

2018. In: SEER*Explorer: An interactive website for SEER cancer statistics.

Risk factors and protective factors. In: IARC (2019). Colorectal cancer screening. IARC Handb Cancer Prev. 17:1–300.

Wilson JMG, Jungner G. Principles and practice of screening for disease. Geneva: WHO; 1968.

Colon and Rectum SEER Incidence and U.S. Mortality Rates by Age at Diagnosis, 2014–2018. In: SEER*Explorer: An interactive website for SEER cancer statistics.

Knudsen AB, Rutter EP, Peterse AP, et al. Colorectal Cancer Screening: An Updated Modeling Study for the US Preventive Services Task Force. JAMA. 325(19):1998. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.5746.

Siegel RL, Miller KD, Goding Sauer A, Fedewa L, Butterly J, Anderson A, et al. Colorectal Cancer Statistics. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians. 2020;70(3):145–64. doi: 10.3322/caac.21601.

Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global Cancer Statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians. 68(6):394–424. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492.

Øines M, Helsingen MF, Bretthauer M, Emilsson L. Epidemiology and Risk Factors of Colorectal Polyps. Best Practice & Research Clinical Gastroenterology. 31(4):419–24. doi: 10.1016/j.bpg.2017.06.004.

The American College of Physicians. ACP Issues Guidance for Colorectal Cancer Screening of Average-Risk Adults Who Do Not Have Symptoms.

Brenner H, Stock C, Hoffmeister M. Effect of Screening Sigmoidoscopy and Screening Colonoscopy on Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomised Controlled Trials and Observational Studies. BMJ. 348:g2467. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g2467.

Raffles A, Mackie A, Muir Gray JA. Screening: Evidence and Practice. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

Wilson J, Jungner G. Principles and Practice of Screening for Disease. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1968.

Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of Worldwide Burden of Cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. International Journal of Cancer. 127(12):2893–2917. doi: 10.1002/ijc.25516.

Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, et al., editors. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975–2013. National Cancer Institute.

US Preventive Services Task Force. Bibbins-Domingo DC, Grossman SJ, Curry SJ, Davidson KW, Epling AR, et al. Screening for Colorectal Cancer: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. JAMA. 315(23):2564. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.5989.

The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology. USPSTF Recommends Expansion of Colorectal Cancer Screening. The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 6(1) doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30361-7.

Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program. North American Association of Central Cancer Registries; 2019. SEER*Stat Database: North American Association of Central Cancer Registries (NAACCR) Incidence-CiNA Analytic File, 1995–2016.

Colorectal Cancer: Statistics.

Gingeras D, Béliveau R. Colorectal Cancer Prevention Through Dietary and Lifestyle Modification. Cancer Microenvironment. 4(2):133–39. doi: 10.1007/s12307-010-0060-5.

Zauber AG. The Impact of Screening on Colorectal Cancer Mortality and Incidence: Has It Really Made a Difference? Dig Dis Sci. 2015;60:681–691. doi: 10.1007/s10620-015-3600-5.

Guide to Cancer Early Diagnosis. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017.

Colorectal Cancer in Europe: A Framework for Improving Outcomes for Patients.

The American College of Physicians. ACP Recommendations for Colorectal Cancer Screening.

Colorectal Cancer: Statistics.

Siegel RL, Fedewa SA, Anderson WF, et al. Colorectal cancer incidence patterns in the United States, 1974–2013. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2017;109:djw322. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djw322.

Pilonis ND, et al. Participation in competing strategies for colorectal cancer screening: a randomized health services study (PICCOLINO Study) Gastroenterology. 2021;160:1097–1105. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.11.049.

Inadomi JM, et al. Adherence to colorectal cancer screening: a randomized clinical trial of competing strategies. Arch. Intern. Med. 2012;172:575–582. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2012.332.

Keum N, Giovannucci E. Global burden of colorectal cancer: emerging trends, risk factors and prevention strategies. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2019;16:713–732. doi: 10.1038/s41575-019-0189-8.

Wolf AMD, et al. Colorectal cancer screening for average-risk adults: 2018 guideline update from the American Cancer Society. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2018;68:250–281. doi: 10.3322/caac.21457.

Chiu HM, et al. Effectiveness of fecal immunochemical testing in reducing colorectal cancer mortality from the one million Taiwanese screening program. Cancer. 2015;121:3221–3229. doi: 10.1002/cncr.29462.

Logan RF, et al. Outcomes of the Bowel Cancer Screening Programme (BCSP) in England after the first 1 million tests. Gut. 2012;61:1439–1446. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2011-300843.

Selby K, Levin TR, Corley DA. Influence of varying quantitative fecal immunochemical test positivity thresholds on colorectal cancer detection. Ann. Intern. Med. 2019;170:736–737. doi: 10.7326/L19-0095.

Shaukat A, et al. Long-term mortality after screening for colorectal cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2013;369:1106–1114. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1300720.

CDC Division of Cancer Prevention and Control CfDCaP. Colorectal Cancer Statistics (CDC, 2019).

Toes-Zoutendijk E, et al. Real-time monitoring of results during first year of Dutch colorectal cancer screening program and optimization by altering fecal immunochemical test cut-off levels. Gastroenterology. 2017;152:767–775.e2. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.11.022.

Gupta S, et al. Comparative effectiveness of fecal immunochemical test outreach, colonoscopy outreach, and usual care for boosting colorectal cancer screening among the underserved: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Intern. Med. 2013;173:1725–1732. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.7341.

Allison JE, et al. A comparison of fecal occult-blood tests for colorectal-cancer screening. N. Engl. J. Med. 1996;334:155–159. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199601183340304.

Mandel JS, et al. The effect of fecal occult-blood screening on the incidence of colorectal cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2000;343:1603–1607. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200011303432203.

Davidson KW, et al. Screening for colorectal cancer: US preventive services task force recommendation statement. JAMA. 2021;325:1965–1977. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.6238.

Imperiale TF, et al. Performance characteristics of fecal immunochemical tests for colorectal cancer and advanced adenomatous polyps: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann. Intern. Med. 2019;170:319–329. doi: 10.7326/M18-2390.

van Rossum LG, et al. Random comparison of guaiac and immunochemical fecal occult blood tests for colorectal cancer in a screening population. Gastroenterology. 2008;135:82–90. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2008.03.040.

Schreuders EH, et al. Colorectal cancer screening: a global overview of existing programmes. Gut. 2015;64:1637–1649. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2014-309086.

Lee JK, et al. Accuracy of fecal immunochemical tests for colorectal cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann. Intern. Med. 2014;160:171. doi: 10.7326/M13-1484.

Singal AG, et al. Outreach invitations for FIT and colonoscopy improve colorectal cancer screening rates: a randomized controlled trial in a safety-net health system. Cancer. 2016;122:456–463. doi: 10.1002/cncr.29770.

Portillo I, et al. Colorectal and interval cancers of the Colorectal Cancer Screening Program in the Basque Country (Spain) World J. Gastroenterol. 2017;23:2731–2742. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i15.2731.

Shaukat A, et al. ACG clinical guidelines: colorectal cancer screening 2021. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 2021;116:458–479. doi: 10.14309/ajg.0000000000001122.