JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL CRISIS AND RISK COMMUNICATION RESEARCH
ISSN: 2576-0017
2024, VOL 7, NO S11

Health Informatics in Radiology: Enhancing Imaging Analysis and Workflow Efficiency

Abdulrhaman Kannan Alshammari[1], Sami Mohammad Ibrahim Alrasheed[2], Mohammed Moawad Fazaa Alanzi[3], Abdulrahman Ayad Awad Alfahidat[2], Waleed Naser Albraik[4], Mutlaq Abdulaziz Almuwaysa[5], Waleed Salem Almusallm[2], Hamdi Ghazai Alharbi[6]

1. Radiology, Specialized Dental Center - Hail
2. Radiology, King salman hospital speciality
3. Radiology, Hail General Hospital
4. Radiology, Maternity and child hospital
5. Radiology, Specialized Dental Center - Hail
6. Radiology, Mental health hospital in hail

ABSTRACT

Radiology has many AI tool applications that could make great use of the resources that are accessible. It has technical roots and is naturally full of data that can be retrieved, analyzed, and used to make departmental processes better. To improve the effectiveness of AI model implementation, it is also important to combine clinical knowledge about the situation with technological advances. The performance of AI models that use a patient's clinical data in the setting of their specific condition is better. As database technology gets better, it will be easier to collect, track, and evaluate report data. It will also be easier to make decision-making tools at the point of care that are based on data and are automatic. This method helps radiologists by giving them data-driven analyses that lead to better diagnostic and clinical outcomes. It also gives them objective and complete views into ambiguity, helping them find its root causes and giving them data-driven evaluations.

KEYWORDS: Health informatics; Radiology; imaging; work flow; Machine Learning.

1. Introduction

Acquiring, processing, storing, transmitting, securing, managing, distributing, visualizing, image-aided detection, diagnosis, surgery, and treatment are all aspects of medical imaging informatics, which also include the discovery of new knowledge from massive biomedical image and data collections. While medical informatics and imaging informatics share many concepts, theories, terminology, and methods, imaging informatics deals with a variety of data formats, such as multi-dimensional medical pictures, graphics, waveforms, and text (1). Consequently, new concepts and tool sets are needed in image informatics to handle a variety of data formats. Early in the 1970s, medical imaging research and development programs began. Over the last thirty years, the field has gradually matured as a scientific one. This subject requires understanding of the physical sciences, such as engineering, physics, mathematics, and computer science, in addition to the medicinal sciences, which include medicine and biology. The development of many imaging modalities, 3-D rendering, image processing, image fusion, image (computer)-aided diagnosis, and surgery have all made major contributions to healthcare through medical imaging. This development is remarkable in the evolution of the idea of picture informatics as the products form the foundation of this new science. Research on widely used medical imaging applications was made possible by extremely vast image databases created in the 1990s by the development of picture archiving and communication systems (PACS))2). Medical imaging informatics is a comprehensive term that refers to the research and development of medical imaging systems that utilize extensive image collections. An infrastructure consisting of five levels of main components is required for research in medical imaging informatics. The initial level comprises substantial image databases. Image processing, visualization, graphical user interfaces, data security, and computer networking comprise the second level of image informatics technologies. Database and knowledge base administration comprise the third level. Application servers and software comprise the fourth and fifth levels, respectively. These components are arranged in hierarchical layers(3).

Implementing an electronic medical record (EMR) can yield significant efficiency improvements by standardizing data collection, reducing lost or missing information, eliminating communication errors, and decreasing costs. The establishment of an institutional EMR and radiology information system (RIS) necessitates the development of a solution that harmonizes institutional practices with model systems and national best practices. For success, the system must meet user satisfaction, typically necessitating customization to the user's specific workflow(4). This review will examine several aspects of imaging analysis, including workflow.

Routine Workflow

A written or computerized request for medical imaging for diagnosis, treatment, or monitoring may need to be made at the time of the consultation between the patient and the main or secondary care referrer. Referrers in large healthcare facilities use computers to make recommendations for procedures. The Electronic Medical Record (EMR) or Health Information System (HIS) can pull out clinically important records of earlier diagnoses, differential diagnoses, summaries, and tests in order to process a referral with a clear understanding of the patient's relevant medical history.

Coordinate Patient Visits and Schedule Appointments To make scheduling patients for medical imaging easier, the HIS/RIS may send personalized appointment letters for each visit. Scheduled additional examinations or treatments by the radiology department may be required if a nuclear medicine study requires multiple visits to the nuclear medicine department. It is necessary to choose a location and a time when scheduling an outpatient imaging examination. The radiography department accepts appointments scheduled online, over the phone (by the patient or by practice staff), or by the submission of a paper form. Radiologists are able to evaluate and personalize examination requests based on patient clinical needs via an electronic protocoled system. They then decide on the best technique and transmit this to the radiographer, usually through a paper referral. This customization is made possible via RIS. After patients are registered and given a band or sticker by a clerical officer, they are prepared for the examination by a radiographer or, in the case of invasive procedures like angiography, a nurse. Different tasks are required in different contexts.

The procedures and techniques used determine how the patient is prepped. A qualified radiographer checks for metal things that shouldn't be there, cochlear implants, pacemakers, and magnetic prosthesis during an MRI. Fasting should have been discussed with the patient during the visit, and it is now verified together with the patient's identification and pregnancy status (5).

This covers the radiographer's or radiologist's planned method, check, and image acquisition utilizing a specific modality. During this step, radiographer images are processed and quality confirmed. If necessary, photos can be added and modified after the fact. The images are transferred from the imaging computer to the PACS via DICOM. Health Level 7 signals from the PACS and RIS notify the EMR of fresh shots. At this stage, the doctor or radiographer must log on to PACS. They can look at images using either PACS or RIS. The radiologist selects a suitable study for imaging evaluation.

The radiologists verify the patient's referral from the HIS or RIS system and examine the images. The radiologist forms an opinion by looking at certain PACS images and combining perceptual results with the clinical setting given by the referral and additional pertinent clinical data. Using a medical typewriter, voice recognition technology, or both, the radiologist writes a report and summarizes results and conclusions. Radiologists will notify the referrer of critical clinical findings. Under dictation, a medical audio typist creates or formats the report and sends it to PACS so the radiologist may proofread, review, and endorse it. Radiologists can send reports using RIS and speech recognition programs can record dictation.

A radiologist verifies the report for the precision of dictated wording, findings, and conclusions. This is significant as trainee radiologists have been responsible thus far. The radiologist endorses the final report. A clerical officer transmits the radiological report, including diagnosis, conclusion, and recommendations, to the referrer through the RIS. The referring physician assesses the radiologist's report within the EMR system and implements requisite clinical actions in the last stage (6).

AI in Image Analysis

Medical imaging is a prevalent technique for diagnosis and treatment, with computer technology significantly contributing to its advancement. Recent advancements and applications of artificial intelligence (AI) in medical imaging have garnered significant interest (7). In the healthcare sector, medical imaging has emerged as a vital area for potential AI developments due to its vast image data and the use of the widely standardized DICOM storage format (8).

The current practical use of AI in medical imaging predominantly focuses on enhancing diagnostic imaging, particularly in tasks such as lesion detection, identification, and the separation between benign and malignant conditions. AI's perceptual and cognitive capabilities improve medical picture recognition, critical information extraction, and assistance for rookie radiologists; conversely, the integration of vast image datasets and clinical knowledge through machine learning facilitates the training and advancement of AI. This equips the machine to diagnose diseases, hence potentially reducing diagnostic errors made by radiologists. In contrast to the existing operational procedures of imaging departments, the AI system is resistant to external influences, guaranteeing efficient and continuous functionality. This ongoing capability improves the efficiency and quality of image interpretation by radiologists.Health Informatics has merged with radiology in recent years, facilitating significant advancements in image interpretation and improving workflow efficiency.

Following are some of the basic roles of health informatics involved in radiology:

Big data analytics has totally changed the management of large-scale medical imaging datasets. Advanced algorithms enable the radiologists to process and analyze huge amounts of data from imaging efficiently, thus creating the possibility of high-quality diagnosis and treatment in a personalized manner. For example, the integration of AI with big data enabled more advanced algorithms in computer-aided diagnosis and provided better tools for radiologists to go deeper into aggregate data within EHR systems(13).Seamless integration of the imaging data into the EHRs allows for comprehensive patient profiling and personalized diagnosis. This would, in turn, enable the radiologist to study a patient's full medical history together with imaging studies for more informed interpretations and treatment plans. It was proven that an HER based workflow increases efficiency, shifts the balance in favour of radiologists and prioritizes examinations more effectively (14). That requires developing mechanisms that ensure consistent image quality from different imaging centers. Automation of quality control by AI mechanisms standardizes the parameters of the imaging and reduces variability, adding to diagnostic reliability. An example will be the application of standards for interoperability of radiology data in reporting systems, which creates and shares actionable radiology reporting data, resulting in improvement in both patients' and providers' experiences (15). To put it briefly, the integration of health informatics into radiology—such as AI-driven quality control, EHR integration, and big data analytics—has significantly enhanced workflow efficiency and image analysis, improving patient care and results. Using artificial intelligence (AI), particularly machine learning, in healthcare has garnered a lot of attention in the last ten years. Due to advances in machine learning techniques, particularly the development of deep learning, and significant increases in computer processing capacity, computerized medical image analysis has significantly transformed the imaging industry (16). Machine learning has a lot of promise to assist in decision-making, diagnostic tasks, and medical advancement. But AI might be applied to more than just picture analysis tasks, such as grouping or classifying lesions. AI has the potential to enhance every aspect of radiology practice and workflow, including enhancing safety and quality, expediting procedures, optimizing workflow, and assisting with interpretation duties. AI can only be used for all of its purposes in the radiology department or the health care system overall if there is a robust computer and information network. Furthermore, AI apps are compatible with other significant IT tools and programs that are not AI (17, 18). Nowadays, there is a lot of research being done on image analysis to predict therapy response or prognosis, especially in oncology. This includes radiomic approaches that use either handcrafted features or deep learning algorithms. These models can be included in the more general category of predictive analytics, even if they are not always categorized as such. These models can forecast survival, pseudoprogression versus real progression, and genetic changes in glioma patients. Predicting hematoma extension or identifying underlying vascular abnormalities in acute intracerebral hematomas are two more uses outside of oncology(19.)Radiomics obtained from medical imaging can be used to infer overall longevity. Predictive analytics is used for more than just diagnostic assistance. First, any potential widespread acceptance and implementation in clinical practice for routine patient care will probably require a smooth integration with the workflow of radiologists in terms of diagnostic predictive activities inside the radiomic process. Workflow limitations that can prevent the use of AI-assisted technologies in clinical settings might be greatly improved and lessened (20).

The Picture Archiving and Communication System (PACS) is an image system that integrates into workflows to optimize operations across the patient care delivery process. Image distribution, a critical component, can work as an autonomous system, delivering relevant electronic images and associated information to healthcare providers for immediate patient care(21). PACS was first developed for radiology services over two decades ago to electronically capture medical images, thereby replacing film-based media and improving communication between radiologists and doctors. The effective use of PACS and image distribution technologies throughout hospital operations would save diagnostic time and improve healthcare delivery efficiency. PACS has now evolved beyond radiology services to include further clinical imaging services in cardiology, pathology, and other domains (22).

The result is images scattered all across the facility. Teleradiology expanded image distribution outside of a single institution in late 1990s using PACS. An typical SOO-bed hospital in the United States produces between 2 and 4 terabytes of digital images yearly, which results in a significant database. Driven by the need to restructure management, improve operational efficiency, lower costs, or other considerations, many large-scale healthcare delivery systems—including hospitals, medical centers, and clinics—have combined globally into healthcare network companies. The management of these companies recognizes the need of using PACS and image distribution as a fundamental technology in affordable healthcare delivery at the corporate level. As such, many large-scale enterprise-level PACS pilot projects involving their design and execution abound (23).

Machine learning and radiology

Advancements in machine learning have demonstrated potential across various industries and applications, particularly in medical imaging. Machine learning is a collection of methodologies and a field of inquiry within data science that enables computers to learn and identify or classify patterns akin to human cognition. Machines may potentially analyze and extract information from extensive data sets.

Machines possess the ability to assess and extract features from extensive datasets (24) Recent advancements in research and development have yielded promising diagnostic imaging technologies for future radiology (25).

More and more, radiological imaging is being used for both diagnosis and treatment. A lot more people want treatments that are faster, more accurate, less invasive, and more cost-effective. Imaging has also become more popular because medical imaging equipment has become more advanced. The ability to obtain higher-quality patterns is an example of technological progress that makes it possible to image very small anatomical structures and errors.Radiologists used a variety of ways to look at and interpret medical images. AI was used to automatically look at medical images and make diagnoses. This can make it easier for doctors to figure out how a patient will do (26)

Challenges and Limitations

HeterogeneousInfrastructure:ITsystems presents challenges of compatibility since the data is represented in a nonstandard format.

This contributes to poor AI performance, which may limit scalability. Disagreement in acquisition parameters, post-processing, and metadata may affect the proficiency of AI in reading the data; also, integrating AI across diverse workflows might be resisted.

Proprietary Closed-Source Software: In closed-source software, the limitations in customization and data access will prohibit AI model training and integration. This rigidity means innovation is stalled and that collaborative development does not take place, as it happens with open-source platforms(27).

Cybersecurity Risks: Cyberattacks are on the rise. Due to this, AI systems, with sensitive patient data, get targeted easily. A great deal of resources are required, which indicates cybersecurity may be one of those barriers in this area, especially among academic developers who have no cybersecurity teams(28).

Scientific Evidence: Most AI models have been trained on retrospective data, and their performance is less effective on new datasets. For clinical adoption, prospective validation should be supported by high-quality peer-reviewed studies, together with guidelines for reliable real-world integration(29).

Bias and Data Set Shift: AI models might incorporate societal biases or show inconsistent performance across various population groups. These topics would have to be addressed by means of validation across diverse groups and further monitoring of performance to detect data shifts and biases related to them(30).

Regulation: Good regulation should give detail to AI safety, reliability, and ethics. Over-regulation dampens innovation and increases costs, which appear to favor large corporations at the expense of smaller innovators(31).

Cost: AI model deployment is expensive in terms of development, integration, and maintenance of health IT. Few cost-economic analyses have been conducted on the effect of AI on healthcare costs, thus limiting its practical deployment(32).

Concerns: Radiology is concerned about unauthorized access, data breach, and lack of compliance with patient data utilization policies and regulations using AI and cloud-based systems(33). There are also challenges: AI-driven diagnostics raise ethical issues regarding responsibility and informed consent of the patient because the reasoning in automated decisions is not fully understandable. Some of the challenges to health informatics in radiology involve interoperability of data, high costs of technology, and issues of integration(34).

2. Conclusion

It depicts the role of health informatics in radiology based upon the analysis of images and improvement in workflow efficiency. The medical imaging informatics, which involves acquisition, storage, and handling of multi-dimensional medical images. Some of the major highlights in this field include picture archiving and communication systems (PACS) and radiology information systems (RIS), which enable efficient data handling and improve the accuracy of diagnosis. The health informatics, through AI, Big Data, and Picture Archiving and Communication Systems, highly improves the efficiency of image analysis and workflow for benefits in patient care. However, challenges pertaining to critical considerations in the path of data privacy, ethics, and technological integration remain an obstacle to effective implementation.

References

Huang HK. Medical imaging informatics research and development trends—an editorial. Comput Med Imaging Graph. 2005 March-April;29(2):91–3. doi: 10.1016/j.compmedimag.2004.09.003. Epub 2005 Feb 1. PMCID: PMC7134979.

Kagadis, G. C., & Langer, S. G. (Eds.). (2011). Informatics in medical imaging. CRC Press.

Dayhoff R E, Meldrum K, Kuzmak P M 2001, Experience Providing a Complete Online Multimedia Patient Record. Session 38. Healthcare Information and Management Systems Societ. 2001 Annual Conference and Exhibition, FebA-8

Vasko C: Paperless workflow for interventional radiology: Tufts Medical Center. imagingBiz Newsletter February 22, 2013

Jabin, M. S. R., Mandel, C., Schultz, T., Hibbert, P., Magrabi, F., & Runciman, W. (2019, December). Identifying and characterizing the 18 steps of medical imaging process workflow as a basis for targeting improvements in clinical practice. In 2019 IEEE international conference on imaging systems and techniques (IST) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

Pierre, K., Haneberg, A. G., Kwak, S., Peters, K. R., Hochhegger, B., Sananmuang, T., ... & Forghani, R. (2023, April). Applications of artificial intelligence in the radiology roundtrip: process streamlining, workflow optimization, and beyond. In Seminars in Roentgenology (Vol. 58, No. 2, pp. 158-169). WB Saunders.

E. Coiera, "Why e-health is so hard", Med J Aust, vol. 198, pp. 178-9, 2013.

Huang W, Li Y, Bao Z, Ye J, Xia W, Lv Y, Lu J, Wang C, Zhu X. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Radiologists Regarding Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2024 Jul 4;17:3109-3119. doi: 10.2147/JMDH.S451301. PMID: 38978829; PMCID: PMC11230121.

RN V, Chandra SS V. ExtRanFS: An automated lung cancer malignancy detection system using extremely randomized feature selector. Diagnostics. 2023;13(13):2206. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

Joskowicz L, Szeskin A, Rochman S, et al. Follow-up of liver metastases: A comparison of deep learning and RECIST 1.1. Eur Radiol. 2023;33:9320–9327. doi: 10.1007/s00330-023-09926-0 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

Hong Y, Fu C, Xing Y, et al. Delayed (18)F-FDG PET imaging provides better metabolic asymmetry in potential epileptogenic zone in temporal lobe epilepsy. Front Med

Lausanne. 2023;10:1180541. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1180541 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

Jiang J, Chao WL, Culp S, Krishna SG. Artificial intelligence in the diagnosis and treatment of pancreatic cystic lesions and adenocarcinoma. Cancers. 2023;15(9):2410. doi: 10.3390/cancers15092410 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

Roberts M, Hinton G, Wells AJ, et al. Imaging evaluation of a proposed 3D generative model for MRI to CT translation in the lumbar spine. Spine J. 2023;23:1602–1612. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2023.06.399 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

Sakly, H., Ayres, A.S., Ferraciolli, S.F., da Costa Leite, C., Kitamura, F., Said, M. (2022). Radiology, AI and Big Data: Challenges and Opportunities for Medical Imaging. In: Sakly, H., Yeom, K., Halabi, S., Said, M., Seekins, J., Tagina, M. (eds) Trends of Artificial Intelligence and Big Data for E-Health. Integrated Science, vol 9. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-11199-0_3

Najjar, R. Redefining Radiology: A Review of Artificial Intelligence Integration in Medical Imaging. Diagnostics 2023, 13, 2760. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13172760

Jabin, M. S. R., Mandel, C., Schultz, T., Hibbert, P., Magrabi, F., & Runciman, W. (2019, December). Identifying and characterizing the 18 steps of medical imaging process workflow as a basis for targeting improvements in clinical practice. In 2019 IEEE international conference on imaging systems and techniques (IST) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

Forghani, R., Savadjiev, P., Chatterjee, A., Muthukrishnan, N., Reinhold, C., & Forghani, B. (2019). Radiomics and artificial intelligence for biomarker and prediction model development in oncology. Computational and structural biotechnology journal, 17, 995-1008.

Forghani, R. (2020). Precision digital oncology: emerging role of radiomics-based biomarkers and artificial intelligence for advanced imaging and characterization of brain tumors. Radiology: Imaging Cancer, 2(4), e190047.

Oakden-Rayner, L., Carneiro, G., Bessen, T., Nascimento, J. C., Bradley, A. P., & Palmer, L. J. (2017). Precision radiology: predicting longevity using feature engineering and deep learning methods in a radiomics framework. Scientific reports, 7(1), 1648.

Zhang, Y., Zhang, B., Liang, F., Liang, S., Zhang, Y., Yan, P., ... & Jiang, C. (2019). Radiomics features on non-contrast-enhanced CT scan can precisely classify AVM-related hematomas from other spontaneous intraparenchymal hematoma types. European radiology, 29, 2157-2165.

Theriault-Lauzier, P., Cobin, D., Tastet, O., Langlais, E. L., Taji, B., Kang, G., ... & Avram, R. (2024). A responsible framework for applying artificial intelligence on medical images and signals at the point-of-care: the PACS-AI platform. Canadian Journal of Cardiology.

Arora D, Mehta Y. Use of picture archiving and communication system for imaging of radiological films in cardiac surgical intensive care unit. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2014 Jul;30(3):447-8. doi: 10.4103/0970-9185.137306. PMID: 25190974; PMCID: PMC4152706.

Abodahab, A. M., Tharwat, M., Alserafi, A., & Fawzy, K. (2020). Implementations of PACS and teleradiology systems: An updated review of the literature. J Ecol Health Environ, 8(2), 21-5.

Erickson BJ, Korfiatis P, Akkus Z, Kline TL (2017) Machine learning for medical imaging. Radiographics 37:505–515. https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.2017160130

Choy G, Khalilzadeh O, Michalski M, Do S, Samir AE, Pianykh OS, Geis JR, Pandharipande PV, Brink JA, Dreyer KJ (2018) Current applications and future impact of machine learning in radiology. Radiology 288:318–328. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2018171820

Assad WA, Topaz M, Tu J, Zhou L (2017) The application of machine learning to evaluate the adequacy of information in radiology orders. In: 2017 IEEE international conference on bioinformatics and biomedicine (BIBM), pp 305–310

Yu, A. C., Mohajer, B., & Eng, J. (2022). External validation of deep learning algorithms for radiologic diagnosis: A systematic review. Radiology: Artificial Intelligence, 4, Article e210064.

Atasoy, H., Greenwood, B. N., & McCullough, J. S. (2019). The digitization of patient care: A review of the effects of electronic health records on healthcare quality and utilization. Annual Review of Public Health, 40, 487-500. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-043735

Bronsoler, A., Doyle, J., & van Reenen, J. (2022). The impact of health information and communication technology on clinical quality, productivity, and workers. Annual Review of Economics, 14, 23-46. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-economics-051320-040453

Neprash, H. T., McGlave, C. C., & Cross, D. A., et al. (2022). Trends in ransomware attacks on US hospitals, clinics, and other health care delivery organizations, 2016–2021. JAMA Health Forum, 3, Article e224873. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamahealthforum.2022.4873

U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2023, September 27). Cybersecurity in medical devices: Quality system considerations and content of premarket submissions. https://www.fda.gov/media/119933/download

Kelly, C. J., Karthikesalingam, A., Suleyman, M., Corrado, G., & King, D. (2019). Key challenges for delivering clinical impact with artificial intelligence. BMC Medicine, 17, Article 195. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1426-2

Yusuf, M., Atal, I., Li, J., et al. (2020). Reporting quality of studies using machine learning models for medical diagnosis: A systematic review. BMJ Open, 10, Article e034568. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-034568

Theriault-Lauzier, P., Cobin, D., Tastet, O., Langlais, E. L., Taji, B., Kang, G., ... & Avram, R. (2024). A responsible framework for applying artificial intelligence on medical images and signals at the point-of-care: the PACS-AI platform. Canadian Journal of Cardiology.