JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL CRISIS AND RISK COMMUNICATION RESEARCH
ISSN: 2576-0017
2024, VOL 7, NO S12

Nurses As Strategic Links In Crisis Response: A Narrative Review Of Internal Communication In Acute Care

Akram Awad, Amal Bajobier, Mohammad Gadry, Waleed Mahlavi, Rayed Sahari, Zakri Sheniya, Khalid Zugan, Ala Damyan, Salha Rayani, Fatimah Madkhali, Fawzia Gharwai

Ministry of Health, Jazan Branch, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Correspondence
Akram Saleh Awad
ak-awad@hptmail.com

Abstract

The temporary medical system environment depends on its ability to manage public health emergencies because its internal crisis communication system needs both strong structural elements and flexible operational capabilities. Hospitals possess hidden communication systems that operate through their risk communication procedures according to this narrative review which investigates these hidden aspects. Nurses who combine their clinical bedside work with administrative responsibilities act as essential links which support the crisis management system. The study examines various research fields to find out how internal communication patterns within high-pressure work environments promote or hinder organizations from building resilience. The article uses two research methods to study longitudinal data while applying the Crisis and Emergency Risk Communication (CERC) framework to demonstrate how nurses use their dual responsibilities to process detailed medical information and distribute danger-related information.

The synthesis identifies three main obstacles which prevent ICC from functioning properly: first, organizational structures create barriers which block employees from sharing information with higher-ups and second, digital systems experience operational challenges because of their built-in technological problems and third, workers lose their ability to think clearly when they experience alarm fatigue. The study establishes that organizational resilience exists as a fundamental resource which develops through equal communication practices, mutual information sharing, and the establishment of safe social environments. The review demonstrates that healthcare systems need to establish nursing as a dedicated profession which will develop their skills to handle present-day health emergencies. The process of learning from mistakes enables organizations to build their competencies toward balancing urgent needs with long-term organizational objectives.

Keywords: Internal Crisis Communication (ICC), Organizational Resilience. Nursing Sensemaking, High-Acuity Healthcare, Relational Coordination.

Introduction

Overview

The modern healthcare system now experiences "permacrisis" as its primary state because all systems face sudden biological and technological and environmental threats which occur more often and with greater intensity. In high-stress work environments, organizations depend on communication because it serves as their primary method to create understanding and to manage their group activities (Seeger 2006). Emergency Departments and Intensive Care Units establish their operational standards through internal communication systems which need to operate at maximum efficiency to support medical procedures because any reduction in data transfer or information comprehension will lead to severe medical mistakes and complete operational breakdown (Moore et al. 2021, Thomas et al. 2003).

Nurses fulfill a vital role in patient protection yet they face exclusion from communication theory discussions which treat them as inactive recipients of institutional orders instead of independent communication planners who make their own decisions. The review establishes nurses as the essential element that enables effective crisis response because they provide continuous staff coverage which enables simultaneous clinical data collection and assessment of emerging threats (Neto et al. 2020). Healthcare organizations should adopt a new approach which views nurses as essential "strategic links" to build stronger and more effective internal crisis communication processes. The paper connects nursing practice with communication science because it presents a theoretical framework which shows how nursing practice and communication science can work together.

Theoretical Framework: CERC and Resilience:

The Crisis and Emergency Risk Communication CERC model creates an effective academic framework which enables researchers to study how systemic breakdowns progress through five different stages which include Pre-crisis Initial Maintenance Resolution and Evaluation (Reynolds & Seeger 2005). The Initial phase shows high ontological uncertainty together with extreme information fluctuations which makes internal messaging work speed an essential factor for achieving organizational objectives. The Internal Crisis Communication ICC system will become too official and inflexible if it operates with this structure because it will stop staff members from recognizing weak signals which show essential clinical details that they can explain to administrative authorities (Reynolds & Seeger 2005 Seeger 2006). The CERC framework receives support from the Resilience Engineering framework which defines resilience as a dynamic system capacity which enables organizations to maintain safety protocols during major operational interruptions (Hollnagel et al 2011). The analytical setting establishes nurses as the main drivers of adaptive capacity because they transform from following established procedures to adjusting their communication methods which helps them solve system design problems (Sutcliffe 2011 Hollnagel et al 2011). High-reliability organizations achieve operational excellence through their implementation of "deference to expertise" policies because these policies allow nurses to manage clinical situations which require multiple skills while protecting their organization from complete failure (Sutcliffe 2011).

Internal Communication Dynamics in High-Acuity Settings

- **Vertical vs. Horizontal Information Flow**
  The communication systems of hospitals are built on fixed command structures which create centralized decision points for their operations. The system achieves its intended purpose of managing resources for large-scale operations and distributing common procedures, but its formal channels experience operational breakdowns during emergency situations because they lose their ability to handle specific contextual information (Moore et al., 2021). The analysis of recent global health surges showed that hospital leadership's vertical commands created operational problems which medical staff could not handle because they needed to treat patients under actual medical conditions (Maben & Bridges, 2020; Moore et al., 2021). The existing system of top-down organization prevents organizations from making necessary changes which they need to handle unstable situations, thus disrupting all their operational processes.

Nursing units use horizontal communication which allows nurses to interact with each other through direct information sharing, enabling them to quickly create operational reports based on their direct observations (Neto et al., 2020). The use of informal horizontal networks carries dangers because these networks create hidden communication channels which produce information silos that result in divided patient care and different treatment objectives. The most resilient healthcare systems eliminate their operational conflicts through the implementation of "Directed Decentralization" as their primary operational framework. The system uses vertical communication to share wider strategic goals and safety guidelines, whereas the horizontal nursing networks handle detailed tactical operations and emergency management (Sutcliffe, 2011; Thomas et al., 2003). This synthesis ensures that while the organization remains aligned with central goals, nursing staff retain the autonomy to adapt their communicative labor to the emergent needs of the acute care environment.

- **The Nurse as an Interpretive Filter**
  Nurses in acute care environments perform advanced "information triage" procedures which enable them to establish data relevance during chaotic situations, according to Neto et al. 2020. The staff members process ongoing physiological telemetry data together with different diagnostic information to determine which specific data points need to be shared with the multidisciplinary team, according to Thomas et al. 2003 and Moore et al. 2021. The active curation process functions as a crucial protective system which prevents institutional information overload by reducing the cognitive workload of physicians and specialized responders to essential tasks, according to the active curation process, which prevents physicians and specialized responders from being interrupted by noncritical alerts. The role goes beyond basic data handling because it establishes an essential interpretive structure which transforms unprocessed clinical data into usable operational context. Nurses serve as strategic connections because they combine separate signals to create a complete patient status account, which the entire unit needs to sustain operational "sensemaking" capacity, according to Sutcliffe 2011. External stressors highly affect the proper functioning of this interpretive filter. Non-interoperable digital systems cause technological friction which results in acute staffing shortages that disable the nursing workforce ability to process and filter vital information, according to Sutcliffe 2011 and Neto et al. 2020. Systemic failures typically lead to organizational situational awareness breakdowns, which turn manageable clinical situations into full-scale organizational crises.

Communication and Organizational Resilience:

Resilience in healthcare should be seen as a changing effect of good relational coordination rather than a fixed characteristic of an organization. It refers to how well a system can keep functioning when faced with different levels of demand (Hollnagel et al., 2011). Many variables influence such an effect.

- **Psychological Safety:**
  If nurses are given the freedom to report risks or raise patient safety concerns without the fear of losing face or being professionally punished, then the situation is most likely to enhance resilience (Edmondson, 1999). A nurse's capacity to 'stop the line'challenging a potential mistake in real, timeserves as an essential safety measure in high, acuity situations, thus averting the transformation of minor infractions into disastrous failures. Such a feeling of trust establishes a culture where 'speaking out' happens naturally, thus allowing immediate corrections to be made in difficult situations. Due to the fact that they are able to better understand and learn from the near, misses, teams operating within a high level of psychological safety show more effective learning behaviors, a greater degree of adaptability, and markedly improved clinical outcomes (Edmondson, 1999; Thomas et al., 2003).

- **Mitigating Moral Distress**:
  One of the main causes of moral distress in a healthcare setting is when the decision, makers or management provide unclear or contradictory communication. Moral distress is a situation where a healthcare professional knows what the right thing to do is from an ethical point of view but is kept from doing it by institutional rules, lack of resources, or other barriers (Maben & Bridges, 2020; Ulrich & Kear, 2020). This distress builds up when nurses can see the flaw in the system for patients, yet their voices are not heard by the management during systemic crises like pandemics or mass casualty events.
  It follows that Transparent Internal crisis Communication (ICC) is a good way for organizations to help staff psychologically so that the nurses' moral integrity is intact. Organizations can maintain their employees' trust and commitment even during tough times if they explain the reasons behind the decisions clearly, provide transparent communication and keep channels of feedback open. Being truthful about the difficulties of the work situation and the current methods of operation helps humanize the situation and make it more acceptable. This, in turn, lessens the chance of prolonged dissatisfaction that can lead to professional burnout and the eventual breakdown of the system (Maben & Bridges, 2020; Ulrich & Kear, 2020).

Challenges: Technology and Hierarchies

The use of digital communication tools has led to the introduction of significant 'technological friction, ' meaning that nurses are required to handle complicated and often unintuitive interfaces during emergencies where every second counts (Neto et al., 2020). This digital load is also often made worse by the absence of interoperability between systems, which makes healthcare workers switch between different platforms to acquire the necessary patient information. This type of friction goes beyond just a small nuisance; it results in a 'digital tax' on the nurse's attention, hence, the nurse's cognitive resources which could be used for patient observation are instead redirected to the handling of administrative software (Neto et al., 2020; Moore et al., 2021). Therefore, the tools that were meant to make crisis response easier may actually complicate the clinical priority and delay the administration of life, saving measures.

Moreover, the "Authority Gradient" is still one of the main sociocultural barriers in acute care settings, where the perceived distance between nursing and medical ranks often leads to a communication breakdown. This hierarchy can result in a dangerous "silence at the bedside, " which can stop the nurse's identification of potential risk from being acknowledged by other team members (Thomas et al., 2003; Ulrich & Kear, 2020). Additionally, the systemic silence is further worsened by the "Alarm Fatigue" phenomenon, which is a harmful consequence of inadequate automated systems that constantly bombard staff with non, actionable alerts. This overload of senses time after time makes the staff indifferent to the critical notifications, thus creating a high, risk environment where real emergencies are overshadowed by technological noise (Ulrich & Kear, 2020; Moore et al., 2021). Incidentally, these barriers put the nursing role in a structurally undermined position, thereby requiring cultural as well as digital communication frameworks to be rethought and recreated.

Discussion

The synthesis of the literature presented in this review reveals an essential paradox in healthcare crisis management: on the one hand, nurses are the main actors responsible for the implementation of crisis protocols; on the other hand, they are often excluded from the design of the communication systems that govern these protocols. Flipping the narrative of nurses as merely "information recipients" to "strategic links" entails a fundamental change in hospital administrators' perception of nursing work. The results indicate that sensemaking is not an individual mental activity but a collective one at the level of the organization. In acute care, "distributed sensemaking" is carried out by nurses who connect the dots between the very high, level policies and the practical reality at the bedside. Top, down internal communication only ignores the 'rich' data such as the level of staff exhaustion, or the subtle changes in patient morale that nurses have. Such exclusion leads to "epistemic injustice" whereby the knowledge of the frontline nurse is

considered of less value than the quantitative metrics preferred by the administrative command centers (Seeger, 2006; Sutcliffe, 2011).

Besides that, the work reviews the role of Communicative Symmetry in detail. Resilient organizations are those that switch from linear, one, way messaging to circular feedback loops. In such systems, the nursing huddle is more than just a tactical meeting; it is a strategic node where data is cleaned and sent back up the hierarchy (Neto et al., 2020; Thomas et al., 2003). According to the JICRCR scholars, the successful use of instructional communication during a crisis is dependent on the messenger's "internalized" trust (Sellnow & Sellnow, 2019). In the case of nurses, this implies that directives for a crisis will be obeyed more if they are communicated through a shared clinical narrative instead of being just a cold administrative mandate. "Instructional risk communication" must be not only considerate but also empathetic, according to Sellnow and Sellnow (2019); in the nursing world, this means a communication system that acknowledges the emotional and physical burden of high, acuity work.

Also, the digital transformation of hospitals has added a new dimension of complexity to the issue of "informal" communication channels that nurses utilize. A study in the JICRCR journal has delved into how internal digital networks and social media can be a double, edged sword in supporting or undermining the official crisis narratives (Eriksson, 2018). In the hospital setting, nurses may contact each other through horizontal, non, official messaging groups to "make sense" of the crisis even if the official channels are too slow or unclear. These sub, networks offer the necessary "communicative agility" for a quick reaction, however, they can also be a source of spreading unverified information if the hospital leadership is isolated (Eriksson, 2018). It is essential that future research focuses on the formal integration of these informal networks into the overall ICC strategy to be sure that the "strategic link" is based on verified clinical data and at the same time it retains its natural speed.

Eventually, the whole debate brings up the necessity for "Human, Centered Communication Design" as a rescue step. Healthcare practitioners' physical and psychological experienced during the emergences should be the core of the crisis explanations. When a nurse has to give up the patient's bed and go to the terminal to use a tool, that tool is a mistake, basically. Achieving real organizational resilience necessitates the healthcare industry to focus on "relational infrastructure", promoting communication transparency and trust way ahead of a crisis (Edmondson, 1999; Ulrich & Kear, 2020).

Conclusion

Nurses formulate crisis response strategies and are the vital human infrastructure of healthcare system today. By acting as key information filters, cultural mediators, and clinical interpreters, they produce the adaptive capacity required to unravel complex systemic changes. This paper emphasizes that organizational resilience is not just a consequence of top management policies, but a characteristic that emerges from successful nursing communication. To improve future results, healthcare executives must go beyond the conventional hierarchies and involve nurses' input at each level of risk communication strategy. They should not consider the provision for disclosure at work and respect for one another simply as a professional courtesy, rather, it is a clinical necessity. Empowered nurses as strategic partners to whom the organization can always turn, the organizational link is strengthened, thus hospitals become better equipped to face the challenges of an increasingly unstable global health scenario.

Funding:

This work did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency either public, commercial, or not, for, profit sector.

Conflict of Interest:

The author states that there are no potential conflicts of interest regarding the research, authorship, and publication of this work.

Ethical Approval:
Ethical approval was not necessary as this study is a narrative review of published articles that do not include any primary human subject research.

Data Availability Statement:
Data sharing is not applicable to this article as all the analysed data have been taken from the secondary sources that have been cited in the reference list.

Author Contributions:
The author conceived the study, synthesized the literature, and wrote the final version of the paper.

References

- Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological Safety and Learning Behavior in Work Teams. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(2), 350-383.
- Eriksson, M. (2018). Lessons for Crisis Communication on social media: A Systematic Review of what we know and what we should know. Journal of International Crisis and Risk Communication Research, 1(1), 19-51.
- Hollnagel, E., Pariès, J., Woods, D. D., & Wreathall, J. (2011). Resilience Engineering in Practice. Ashgate.
- Maben, J., & Bridges, J. (2020). Covid-19: Supporting nurses' psychological and mental health. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 29(15-16).
- Moore, L., et al. (2021). Communication barriers in the ICU during a pandemic. Critical Care Medicine, 49(2).
- Neto, M., et al. (2020). Information management and nurse resilience during disasters. International Nursing Review, 67(3).
- Reynolds, B., & Seeger, M. W. (2005). Crisis and Emergency Risk Communication. Journal of Health Communication, 10(1).
- Seeger, M. W. (2006). Best Practices in Crisis Communication. Journal of Applied Communication Research, 34(3).
- Sellnow, T. L., & Sellnow, D. D. (2019). The Role of Instructional Communication in Crisis Situations. Journal of International Crisis and Risk Communication Research, 2(1).
- Sutcliffe, K. M. (2011). High Reliability Organizations (HROs). Best Practice & Research Clinical Anaesthesiology, 25(2).
- Thomas, E. J., et al. (2003). Nurse–physician communication: a collaborative perspective. American Journal of Critical Care, 12(6).
- Ulrich, B., & Kear, T. (2020). The Patient Safety Link: Burnout and Communication. Nephrology Nursing Journal, 47(3).