JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL CRISIS AND RISK COMMUNICATION RESEARCH
ISSN: 2576-0017
2025, VOL 8, NO S11

Equitable Allocation And Waitlist Governance For Multi-Channel Commerce: A Framework For Fairness In Scarce Inventory Management

Shashank Srivastava

M3IOX LLC, USA.

Abstract
Multi-channel commerce environments face critical ethical challenges in inventory allocation when demand exceeds supply, with inaccurate availability signals and perceived channel favoritism undermining market fairness and institutional trust. This article presents a comprehensive governance framework addressing the systematic misalignment between availability calculations and actual allocation practices, particularly the prevalence of speculative delivery dates unsupported by confirmed supply. Grounded in principles from operations management, supply chain strategy, and big data analytics, the framework establishes three core commitments: publishing only sellable inventory backed by confirmed supply, implementing transparent and auditable allocation rules capable of withstanding public scrutiny, and providing contestability mechanisms that enable stakeholders to challenge allocation outcomes. The article articulates the ethical foundations of availability signaling, demonstrating how truthful market information and non-discriminatory treatment constitute baseline requirements for fair commerce in constrained environments. A cross-functional governance architecture with explicit stakeholder representation and clear decision rights enables coordinated decision-making across organizational domains, while role-based accountability and time-boxed appeals processes create feedback loops connecting operational outcomes to strategic policy refinement. Transparent priority tiers operationalize fairness through single consistent payloads published to all touchpoints, with inventory governance mechanisms explicitly sequencing unmet demand according to published rules backed by confirmed receipts rather than forecasts. Systematic measurement through fairness metrics, scheduled audits, testing edge cases and failure modes, and policy versioning creates an auditable trail that transforms fairness from a static compliance requirement into a dynamic organizational capability. The integration of big data analytics enables real-time monitoring, predictive disruption detection, and continuous improvement in allocation decision quality, while governance councils translate performance data into evidence-based policy refinement that maintains alignment between strategic fairness commitments and operational reality.

Keywords: Inventory Allocation Fairness, Multi-Channel Commerce Governance, Availability Signaling Ethics, Supply Chain Transparency, Big Data Analytics.

Introduction
The proliferation of multi-channel commerce has introduced complex ethical challenges in inventory allocation, particularly when demand exceeds supply. When customers encounter availability signals that

later prove inaccurate, or when business partners perceive systematic favoritism between direct-to-consumer and wholesale channels, the consequences extend beyond operational inefficiency to fundamental questions of market fairness and institutional trust. Contemporary retail operations demonstrate that effective inventory management directly correlates with competitive advantage, as organizations must balance the dual imperatives of maintaining adequate stock levels while minimizing carrying costs and obsolescence risks [1]. This article addresses a critical gap in supply chain governance: the systematic misalignment between availability calculations and actual allocation practices, compounded by speculative delivery dates unsupported by confirmed supply.

The ethical imperative for accurate availability signaling emerges from its material impact on stakeholder decision-making. For small wholesale partners, availability data shapes not merely purchasing decisions but also logistics planning and cash flow management. Research on operational efficiency in retail environments reveals that data analytics applications in inventory optimization enable organizations to reduce stockouts, improve demand forecasting accuracy, and enhance overall supply chain responsiveness through real-time visibility into inventory movements and consumption patterns [1]. These analytical capabilities transform availability signaling from a static snapshot into a dynamic, predictive tool that accounts for lead times, supplier reliability, and seasonal demand fluctuations. For consumers, false availability signals represent a form of market deception that undermines the efficiency of price discovery and resource allocation. The integration of advanced analytics with inventory management systems allows retailers to identify slow-moving products, optimize reorder points, and implement just-in-time replenishment strategies that align inventory levels with actual demand rather than speculative forecasts [1]. When allocation rules lack transparency or consistency, the resulting asymmetries violate basic principles of procedural fairness and market equity.

The strategic dimension of supply chain operations further underscores the importance of equitable allocation frameworks. Supply chain strategy encompasses the set of decisions regarding the configuration and coordination of the supply chain, including supplier relationships, manufacturing locations, distribution networks, and inventory policies that collectively determine an organization's competitive positioning [2]. These strategic choices fundamentally shape how organizations respond to demand variability and resource constraints, with implications for both efficiency and fairness. Effective supply chain strategies align operational capabilities with market requirements through deliberate trade-offs between cost, quality, delivery speed, and flexibility, recognizing that no single configuration optimizes all dimensions simultaneously [2]. In constrained inventory environments, these trade-offs become explicitly ethical questions about which stakeholders receive priority access and under what conditions such prioritization can be justified.

This article presents a comprehensive governance framework grounded in three core commitments: publishing only sellable inventory backed by confirmed supply, implementing transparent and auditable allocation rules that withstand public scrutiny, and providing contestability mechanisms that close the feedback loop between policy commitments and lived experience. Drawing on principles from operations and supply chain strategy [2] and retail operational efficiency research [1], we articulate both the ethical foundations and operational mechanisms required to achieve equitable outcomes in constrained inventory environments.

The Ethical Foundations of Availability Signaling and Allocation

The ethical obligation to provide accurate availability information rests on two fundamental principles: the right to truthful market information and the requirement of non-discriminatory treatment in commercial transactions. When organizations publish availability data—whether to direct consumers or wholesale partners—they create expectations that shape subsequent economic decisions. The strategic foundations of operations and supply chain management emphasize that value creation depends fundamentally on aligning operational capabilities with customer needs through deliberate decision-making processes that balance efficiency, responsiveness, and reliability [3]. These decision-oriented frameworks recognize that supply chain strategy encompasses not merely cost minimization but the systematic creation of value through coordinated choices about network design, supplier relationships, inventory positioning, and information

sharing protocols [3]. Speculative availability dates, calculated from generic lead-time assumptions rather than confirmed future receipts, represent a form of institutional dishonesty that violates the informational preconditions for fair exchange.
Moreover, availability signals function as implicit promises. When a customer views an item as available and proceeds to purchase, the organization has entered a commitment that should be honored on equal terms regardless of channel. The practice of showing different availability to different channels, or of prioritizing certain channels through opaque allocation logic, introduces systematic unfairness that cannot be justified by operational convenience. Contemporary research on big data analytics in retail demonstrates that organizations leveraging advanced analytical capabilities achieve substantial improvements in demand forecasting accuracy, inventory optimization, and customer segmentation, enabling more precise matching of supply to demand across diverse market segments [4]. The integration of predictive analytics with real-time inventory systems allows retailers to identify emerging demand patterns, optimize stock replenishment cycles, and implement dynamic pricing strategies that reflect actual availability rather than aspirational projections [4]. Fairness requires that allocation rules be both transparent—capable of articulation in plain language—and consistent—applied uniformly across comparable situations.
The concept of "truly sellable" inventory provides the operational foundation for this ethical stance. Only inventory from approved, allocatable locations, minus unshipped demand already committed within the near-term horizon, should be presented as available. This calculation methodology reflects the decision-oriented approach to supply chain strategy, where operational choices must explicitly address trade-offs between competing objectives such as inventory availability, holding costs, and service level consistency across customer segments [3]. Organizations must recognize that supply chain decisions create value not through isolated optimization of individual metrics but through systematic coordination that aligns inventory positioning with demand variability and service commitments [3]. This calculation respects the prior claims of customers whose orders remain unfulfilled while preventing the double-booking that erodes trust. When no confirmed receipt exists to support a future date, organizations must acknowledge uncertainty rather than manufacturing false precision. Big data analytics enables retailers to process vast volumes of transactional data, customer behavior patterns, and external market signals to generate more accurate availability forecasts, yet these analytical capabilities must be deployed within governance frameworks that prioritize transparency and equitable treatment over short-term revenue maximization [4]. The application of machine learning algorithms to inventory management and demand prediction enhances operational efficiency, but organizations must ensure that algorithmic decision-making does not introduce hidden biases that systematically disadvantage particular customer segments or channels [4]. This commitment to epistemic humility—disclosing what is known and unknown—represents a baseline requirement for ethical commerce in constrained environments.

Table 1: Integration of Strategic Decision-Making and Analytical Capabilities for Equitable Inventory Management [3, 4]

Decision Domain	Traditional Approach	Strategic Consideration	Big Data Analytics Application	Fairness Impact
Inventory calculation	On-hand minus committed	Include only allocatable locations and the near-term horizon	Real-time inventory visibility systems	Prevents double-booking
Availability dating	Generic lead-time assumptions	Confirmed receipts only	Predictive modeling of supplier performance	Eliminates speculative dates
Channel allocation	Opaque promotional logic	Transparent priority tiers	Customer segmentation algorithms	Equal treatment across channels

Demand matching	Reactive replenishment	Proactive positioning aligned with variability	Emerging demand pattern identification	Optimized supply-demand balance
Service commitments	Static service levels	Coordinated across customer segments	Dynamic pricing reflecting actual availability	Consistent service delivery

Governance Architecture: Stakeholder Councils and Decision Rights

Achieving equitable allocation requires moving beyond siloed decision-making to a formal governance structure with explicit stakeholder representation and clear decision rights. A cross-functional council model addresses the distributed nature of inventory governance, where data quality, commercial policy, and technical implementation each reside in different organizational domains. The foundational principles of supply chain and operations management emphasize that value creation depends on coordinated decision-making across functional boundaries, where organizations must align procurement, production, inventory positioning, and distribution strategies to serve customer needs effectively while managing costs and risks [5]. The decision-oriented approach recognizes that supply chain management encompasses a series of interconnected choices about supplier relationships, facility locations, transportation modes, and inventory policies, each requiring explicit trade-offs between competing objectives such as cost minimization, service level maximization, and flexibility preservation [5]. The council must include supply-chain stewards responsible for maintaining the integrity of item balance and planning data, data stewards who own master data quality and change control, commercial leaders who define customer-facing language and monitor fairness metrics, and technology stewards who maintain event triggers, payload publication, and observability infrastructure.

This structure embeds accountability through role-based responsibilities while creating forums for conflict resolution. The council operates on a fixed cadence, reviewing fairness metrics, adjudicating appeals, and approving policy exceptions during constrained periods. Decision rights are explicitly allocated: the council sets allocation and inventory management rules, approves departures from standard policy during emergencies or supply disruptions, and authorizes public reporting commitments. Contemporary research on big data analytics in supply chain optimization demonstrates that organizations integrating advanced analytical capabilities achieve significant improvements in demand forecasting accuracy, inventory turnover rates, and supplier performance management through systematic analysis of historical transaction patterns, real-time operational data, and external market signals [6]. The application of predictive analytics and machine learning algorithms enables supply chain managers to identify demand fluctuations earlier, optimize safety stock levels dynamically, and detect potential disruptions before they cascade into system-wide failures [6]. An executive sponsor resolves policy conflicts that cannot be addressed within the council and maintains organizational investment in governance infrastructure and data health.

The governance model also specifies contestability mechanisms—formal pathways through which customers and partners can appeal allocation outcomes that appear inconsistent with published policy. Appeals must be time-boxed to prevent indefinite uncertainty, and both decisions and their rationales must be logged to create an auditable record. The basics of supply chain management establish that operational excellence requires continuous measurement and improvement, where organizations systematically track performance indicators such as order fulfillment rates, inventory accuracy, lead time variability, and customer satisfaction to identify gaps between intended strategy and actual execution [5]. Organizations must design governance processes that translate these performance metrics into actionable insights, creating feedback loops that connect frontline operational outcomes to strategic policy decisions [5]. Critically, learning from appeals feeds back into policy refinement, creating a continuous improvement cycle that keeps formal rules aligned with operational reality. Big data analytics provides powerful tools for detecting patterns in supply chain performance, including identifying systematic biases in allocation decisions, forecasting capacity constraints before they materialize, and optimizing inventory distribution across multiple channels and geographic regions [6]. The integration of analytics with governance structures transforms raw data into institutional learning, enabling organizations to refine allocation policies based on empirical evidence of fairness outcomes rather than relying solely on theoretical principles or historical precedent [6]. This closed-loop design transforms complaints from irritants into valuable signals about systemic fairness gaps.

Table 2: Continuous Improvement Through Integrated Governance, Analytics, and Feedback Mechanisms [5, 6]

Governance Mechanism	Operational Function	Strategic Foundation	Analytics Capability	Feedback Loop	Outcome Metric
Fixed cadence meetings	Review fairness metrics and adjudicate appeals	Continuous measurement and improvement	Systematic bias detection in allocation decisions	Appeal outcomes inform policy updates	Time-boxed resolution rates
Decision rights allocation	Set rules, approve exceptions, and authorize reporting	Track performance indicators (fulfillment, accuracy, lead time)	Demand forecasting accuracy improvements	Metrics translate to actionable insights	Policy compliance rates
Contestability mechanisms	Enable customer/partner appeals	Identify gaps between strategy and execution	Pattern detection in supply chain performance	Decisions logged for an auditable record	Appeal volume and resolution quality
Role-based accountability	Embed responsibility through structure	Connect operational outcomes to strategic decisions	Capacity constraint forecasting	Learning feeds policy refinement	Role-specific performance indicators
Analytics integration	Transform data into institutional learning	Systematic tracking of customer satisfaction and variability	Inventory distribution optimization across channels [6]	Evidence-based policy refinement	Fairness outcome improvements

Allocation Rules and Waitlist Mechanisms: Operationalizing Transparency

Transparent allocation requires defining priority tiers that can withstand public scrutiny and be articulated in plain language. Examples include first-in-first-out ordering within each tier, documented service-level agreements that justify differential treatment of specific partners, strict parity between direct and wholesale channels absent specific contractual commitments, and elevated priority for replacement parts or items serving critical-use applications such as medical, safety, or infrastructure contexts. The foundational principles of supply chain and operations management establish that organizational value creation emerges from coordinated decisions about resource allocation, process design, and performance measurement, with these decisions reflecting deliberate trade-offs between competing objectives such as cost efficiency, service responsiveness, and operational flexibility [7]. Supply chain management encompasses the integrated planning and execution of procurement, production, inventory management, and distribution activities, requiring organizations to establish clear policies that govern how scarce resources are allocated among competing demands while maintaining consistency with strategic priorities and stakeholder commitments [7]. These priority schemes must be published and consistently applied; they cannot be buried within opaque promotional logic or channel-specific overrides that operate invisibly.

The operational mechanism for transparency is a single, consistent payload published to all touchpoints: one available-now quantity drawn from truly sellable inventory, and up to two future increases with specific dates and quantities backed by confirmed receipts in the planning system. The limitation to two future increases reflects a practical commitment to stability—too many published future dates create noise and confusion, while the day-over-day threshold ensures that published changes represent meaningful supply events rather than minor fluctuations. Systematic literature reviews of big data analytics in supply chain management reveal that organizations implementing advanced analytical capabilities achieve significant performance improvements across multiple dimensions, including enhanced demand forecasting accuracy, optimized inventory positioning, improved supplier relationship management, and more effective risk mitigation through early detection of potential disruptions [8]. Research demonstrates that big data analytics applications in supply chain contexts enable organizations to process vast volumes of structured and unstructured data from diverse sources, including transaction systems, sensor networks, social media platforms, and external market intelligence, to generate actionable insights that inform operational decisions [8]. Partner feeds mirror the customer story exactly, preventing the divergence that breeds suspicion of favoritism.

When demand exceeds available supply, waitlist mechanisms should follow the same transparent priority tiers and present expected fulfillment windows based on confirmed receipts rather than forecasts. Waitlists thus become tools of fairness rather than opacity, explicitly sequencing unmet demand according to published rules. The basics of supply chain management emphasize that inventory policies must balance the competing imperatives of maintaining adequate stock levels to satisfy customer demand against the costs of holding excess inventory, obsolescence risks, and capital tied up in working capital [7]. Organizations must design allocation mechanisms that explicitly address how scarce inventory is distributed among customer segments during constrained periods, with these mechanisms reflecting documented priorities that can be justified based on contractual obligations, criticality of use, or other legitimate differentiation criteria [7]. If a confirmed receipt fails to materialize and a future increase disappears, affected customers receive timely notification with alternatives—product substitutions, expected new dates if available, or automatic refunds without penalty. Systematic literature analysis of big data analytics applications reveals that predictive and prescriptive analytics enable supply chain managers to anticipate disruptions, optimize inventory rebalancing across network locations, and implement dynamic allocation strategies that respond to changing demand patterns and supply constraints in near-real time [8]. The integration of machine learning algorithms with supply chain planning systems facilitates continuous improvement in allocation decision quality, as models learn from historical outcomes to refine prioritization rules and improve fairness metrics over successive planning cycles [8]. This commitment to accountability after the fact complements ex-ante transparency, acknowledging that supply chain disruptions remain unavoidable while insisting that their consequences be distributed fairly and honestly.

Table 3: Operationalizing Transparency: Payload Consistency, Analytics Integration, and Fairness Outcomes [7, 8]

Transparency Mechanism	Implementation Approach	Supply Chain Foundation	Big Data Analytics Application	Fairness Impact	Continuous Improvement Feature
Single consistent payload	One available quantity to all channels	Coordinated decisions about resource allocation and process design	Process vast volumes from transaction systems, sensors, and social media	Prevents channel favoritism	Automated divergence detection
Limited future increases	Maximum two increases with confirmed receipts	Performance measurement aligned with strategic objectives	Optimized inventory positioning	Reduces noise and confusion	Day-over-day threshold validation
Confirmed receipt backing	Dates supported by the planning system	Integrated execution of inventory management and distribution	Improved supplier relationship management	Eliminates speculative dating	Receipt verification protocols
Partner feed mirroring	Identical data across customer and partner interfaces	Clear policies governing scarce resource distribution	External market intelligence integration	Transparency in allocation	Real-time synchronization monitoring
Waitlist notification system	Timely alerts with alternatives when receipts fail	Balance demand satisfaction against inventory costs and risks	Dynamic allocation responding to demand patterns and supply constraints	Fair consequence distribution	Machine learning refinement of prioritization rules

Measurement, Auditing, and Continuous Improvement

Fairness cannot be asserted; it must be measured, monitored, and continuously improved through systematic evaluation. Key metrics include time-to-ship variance across channels and regions, targeting downward trends and investigating outliers, the share of products displaying speculative dates unsupported by confirmed receipts targeting zero, cancellation rates disaggregated by channel targeting parity, the average number of promise changes after order confirmation targeting minimization, and an equity index comparing average wait times across partner segments. Contemporary research on operations and supply chain management fundamentals establishes that effective performance measurement systems enable organizations to translate strategic objectives into operational metrics, providing entrepreneurs and managers with quantitative indicators that reveal whether resources are being allocated efficiently, customer needs are being satisfied consistently, and operational processes are delivering intended outcomes [9]. The importance of supply chain management for organizational success derives from its direct impact on customer satisfaction, cost structure, and competitive positioning, with measurement systems serving as the critical feedback mechanism that connects day-to-day operational decisions to long-term strategic goals [9]. These metrics should be published in monthly dashboards accessible to both internal stakeholders and external partners, with annual summaries providing a longitudinal perspective.

Scheduled audits complement continuous monitoring by proactively testing edge cases and failure modes. Red-team exercises should simulate sudden scarcity events, disaster response scenarios, and vendor embargoes to evaluate whether allocation rules remain fair under stress. Automated audits should compare outputs from all channels against the authoritative source payload, flagging any divergence that might indicate unauthorized channel-specific logic. Systematic literature reviews examining big data analytics applications in supply chain management reveal that organizations implementing descriptive, predictive, and prescriptive analytics achieve substantial performance improvements across multiple operational dimensions, including demand forecasting, inventory optimization, logistics efficiency, and supplier relationship management [10]. The research demonstrates that big data analytics enables supply chain practitioners to process large volumes of structured and unstructured data from diverse sources such as enterprise resource planning systems, customer transaction records, sensor networks, and external market intelligence to generate actionable insights that improve decision quality [10]. Independent review—whether by internal audit functions or external assessors—of allocation and waitlist rules provides additional accountability and signals organizational commitment to fairness as a genuine value rather than a marketing claim.

The audit cycle must feed back into policy refinement. When metrics reveal systematic disparities or when appeals identify gaps between policy and practice, the governance council must investigate root causes and update rules, thresholds, or technical implementations accordingly. All policy changes should be versioned and documented, creating an auditable trail that enables future reviewers to understand the evolution of allocation logic and to evaluate whether changes genuinely addressed identified fairness gaps. Understanding operations and supply chain management fundamentals reveals that continuous improvement depends on establishing systematic processes for identifying performance gaps, diagnosing root causes, implementing corrective actions, and verifying whether interventions achieve desired effects [9]. Entrepreneurs and supply chain managers must recognize that operational excellence emerges not from static adherence to fixed procedures but from dynamic learning cycles that incorporate feedback from measurement systems, customer experiences, and frontline operational insights into ongoing policy refinement [9]. Big data analytics facilitates this continuous improvement process by enabling real-time monitoring of key performance indicators, automated detection of anomalies that signal potential quality issues or process failures, and simulation-based evaluation of alternative policies before implementation [10]. The systematic literature analysis reveals that predictive analytics helps organizations anticipate demand fluctuations and supply disruptions earlier, while prescriptive analytics recommends optimal responses to emerging challenges based on historical patterns and current constraints [10]. This commitment to learning from measurement transforms fairness from a static compliance requirement into a dynamic organizational capability.

Table 4: Integrated Audit and Continuous Improvement: From Measurement to Dynamic Organizational Learning [9, 10]

Audit Mechanism	Implementation Method	Continuous Improvement Function	Analytics Type	Feedback Loop Component	Organizational Capability
Red-team exercises	Simulate scarcity, disasters, and vendor embargoes	Identify performance gaps	Predictive analytics for demand fluctuations and supply disruptions	Test allocation rules under stress	Proactive risk management

Automated audits	Compare channel outputs against source payload	Diagnose root causes of divergence	Descriptive analytics processing ERP and transaction data	Flag unauthorized channel-specific logic	Real-time compliance monitoring
Independent review	Internal audit or external assessors	Verify whether interventions achieve desired effects	Prescriptive analytics recommending optimal responses	Validate allocation and waitlist rules	Accountability signaling
Metric-driven investigation	Analyze systematic disparities from dashboards	Implement corrective actions	Real-time KPI monitoring and anomaly detection	Reveal gaps between policy and practice	Evidence-based decision-making
Policy versioning	Document all rule changes and rationales	Dynamic learning cycles incorporating feedback	Simulation-based evaluation of alternative policies	Create an auditable trail for evolution tracking	Institutional learning capability

Conclusion

Equitable allocation in multi-channel commerce represents both an ethical imperative and a strategic capability that organizations must deliberately cultivate through formal governance structures, transparent operational mechanisms, and systematic measurement systems. This article has shown that equity in short inventory management arises not through idealistic promises but through tangible design decisions such as cross-functional decision councils with clear decision rights, single steady payloads removing channel-specific divergence, clear priority levels explained in plain English, confirmed receipt support for all future dates of availability, and full fairness metrics reported in open dashboards. The model incorporates supply chain management strategic fundamentals with cutting-edge big data analytics functionality, acknowledging that value generation is contingent on harmonized decision-making across functional silos while analytics tools facilitate real-time monitoring, predictive disruption identification, and policy-based refinement grounded in evidence. The architecture for governance integrates accountability through role-based duties, develops contestability channels for stakeholders to challenge allocation decisions, and has closed-loop feedback systems that translate appeals and performance shortfalls into institutional learning. By operationalizing transparency in the form of limited future growth supported by verified receipts, reflected partner feeds eliminating suspicion of favoritism, and waitlist mechanisms ordering demand in accordance with published guidelines, organizations can attain balanced outcomes even during times of constraint when trade-offs among competing stakeholder assertions become unavoidable. The framework of measurement and auditing guarantees that equity is not fixed compliance but dynamic capability, with red-team exercises probing allocation rules under stress, automated audits finding unauthorized channel-specific logic, and regular metric monitoring showing disparities that need investigation and remediation. The general implications go beyond single organizational performance to market-level information integrity, since truthful availability signaling and non-discriminatory allocation practices minimize systemic waste, facilitate better planning by small wholesale partners, and improve the informational foundations necessary for efficient resource allocation in commercial ecosystems. Research in the future should study governance effectiveness in different organizational settings, investigate the implications of machine learning incorporation on allocation contestability, and establish industry-wide fairness standards allowing cross-organizational comparison of performance. As supply chains are more frequently disrupted and trade continues to fragment across channels, the governance systems companies deploy now will decide whether scarcity emerges as a vector for building advantage through obscurity or a chance to prove institutional dedication to equity as an authentic operating value that holds up to public examination and generates enduring stakeholder trust.

References

[1] Oluwakemi Famoti et al., "Operational Efficiency in Retail: Using Data Analytics to Optimize Inventory and Supply Chain Management," ResearchGate, February 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388822616_Operational_Efficiency_in_Retail_Using_Data_Analytics_to_Optimize_Inventory_and_Supply_Chain_Management

[2] Dmitry Ivanov et al., "Operations and Supply Chain Strategy," ResearchGate, January 2017. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/305470665_Operations_and_Supply_Chain_Strategy

[3] Dmitry Ivanov et al., "Operations and Supply Chain Strategy: A Decision-Oriented Introduction to the Creation of Value," ResearchGate, January 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327918132_Operations_and_Supply_Chain_Strategy_A_Decision-Oriented_Introduction_to_the_Creation_of_Value

[4] Oliver Johnson et al., "The Role of Big Data Analytics in Retail Marketing and Supply Chain Optimization," ResearchGate, July 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382719314_The_Role_of_Big_Data_Analytics_in_Retail_Marketing_and_Supply_Chain_Optimization

[5] Dmitry Ivanov et al., "Basics of Supply Chain and Operations Management: A Decision-Oriented Introduction to the Creation of Value," ResearchGate, January 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327918034_Basics_of_Supply_Chain_and_Operations_Management_A_Decision-Oriented_Introduction_to_the_Creation_of_Value

[6] Claire Niyonzima., "The Use of Big Data Analytics in Supply Chain Optimization," ResearchGate, September 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383875788_The_Use_of_Big_Data_Analytics_in_Supply_Chain_Optimization

[7] Dmitry Ivanov et al., "Basics of Supply Chain and Operations Management," ResearchGate, January 2017. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/305470722_Basics_of_Supply_Chain_and_Operations_Management

[8] In Lee & George Mangalaraj, "Big Data Analytics in Supply Chain Management: A Systematic Literature Review and Research Directions," ResearchGate, February 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358300092_Big_Data_Analytics_in_Supply_Chain_Management_A_Systematic_Literature_Review_and_Research_Directions

[9] Guillame Jean, "Understanding Operations and Supply Chain Management: Fundamentals and Importance for Entrepreneurs," ResearchGate, November 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386106216_Understanding_Operations_and_Supply_Chain_Management_Fundamentals_and_Importance_for_Entrepreneurs

[10] Ahmad Albqowr., "Big data analytics in supply chain management: a systematic literature review," ResearchGate, March 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369107016_Big_data_analytics_in_supply_chain_management_a_systematic_literature_review