JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL CRISIS AND RISK COMMUNICATION RESEARCH
ISSN: 2576-0017
2025, VOL 8, NO S11

Barriers To Accessing Emergency Medical Services In Remote Regions: A Red Crescent Case Study

Abdulaziz Ibrahim ALmarwan[1], Hamoud Saad S Almatrafi[2],Abdullah Saud O Alharbi[3], Faris Abdullah M Alotaibi [4],Mohammed Abdulmohsen Al-Sulmi[5],Abdulaziz Abdullah Albalawi[6],Amir Ali Alanzi[7], Ali Salamah Alanazi[8]

1. *Emergency Medical services, Saudi Red Crescent , Makkah,Saudi Arabia*
2. *Emergency Medical services, Saudi Red Crescent , Makkah,Saudi Arabia*
3. *Emergency Medical services, Saudi Red Crescent , Makkah,Saudi Arabia*
4. *Emergency medical dispatcher, Saudi Red Crescent Makkah, saudi Arabia*
5. *Emergency Medical Technician, Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Khulais Sector Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia*
6. *Paramedic, East Riyadh City sector , Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Riyadh , Saudi Arabia*
7. *Paramedic, Acting Assistant Cheif paramedic , East Riyadh City sector Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Riyadh , Saudi Arabia*
8. *EMT, Acting Assistant Cheif paramedic , North Riyadh City sector, Saudi Red Crescent Authority , Riyadh , Saudi Arabia*

Abstract

The access to emergency services remains a challenge to this date in areas that have been either remote or rural across the world. This study investigates barriers to accessing EMS through a detailed, mixed-methods case study of Red Crescent operations in underserved areas, either by surveys, interviews, or analysis of operational data. These include geographical isolation, infrastructural deficits, resource limitations, and socio-cultural factors. Response times in remote areas are 300-400% higher compared with urban benchmarks, and this has considerable implications for patient outcomes. The key recommendations emanating from this study are evidence-based and go a long way in aiding the improvement of access to emergency medical care in challenging terrains.

Keywords: Emergency Medical Services, Remote Healthcare, Red Crescent, Access to Health Services, Rural Medicine, Emergency Response.

1. Introduction

Emergency services thus play an integral role in health care, representing a critical link between acute medical events and definitive care. It is estimated by the World Health Organization that as many as 45% of injury deaths and 15% of deaths from acute medical conditions worldwide could be prevented if appropriate emergency care were provided in a timely manner (Mock et al., 2012). Yet geographic disparities in access to EMS translate into profound disparities in health outcomes, with significantly higher mortality rates from time-sensitive conditions among populations in more rural areas.

Taken together, the Red Crescent societies represent some of the largest humanitarian networks providing emergency medical services in the world, with 57 societies across three continents: Asia, Africa, and the Middle East. Their special challenges in providing care to geographically isolated populations make them

ideal case studies on barriers to accessing EMS. Detailed analyses of the operational challenges during remote delivery of emergency care, however, remain scant in the literature.

This paper fills this gap by conducting an in-depth investigation into the Red Crescent emergency medical operations in remote areas. The objectives of this study are to identify and classify the barriers to access of the EMS in remote areas, to quantify the consequences of identified barriers on response times and patient outcomes, to document adaptive strategies employed by the field teams, and to develop evidence-based recommendations for the improvement of access to emergency care in a challenging environment.

This is important beyond the Red Crescent because such findings deepen the understanding relevant to the global improvement of rural EMS systems. These barriers need to be comprehended and dealt with for health equity, and to achieve targets for universal health coverage under Sustainable Development Goal 3, at a time when populations are being increasingly made remote by climate change, conflicts, and shifting demographics (United Nations, 2015).

2. Literature Review

2.1 Emergency Medical Services in Rural Settings

Temporal delays are compounded by geographic isolation with very minimal infrastructure in health care. Most rural areas are understaffed and have fewer ambulance stations, with reduced availability of equipment, compared to urban centers (Rehn et al., 2017). The concept of the "golden hour" in trauma care is especially trying when the nearest trauma center lies hours away by ground transport, thus often relying on costly air medical services when available (Thomas & Wedel, 2014).

2.2 Barriers to Healthcare Access: Theoretical Frameworks

2.2 Theoretical Frameworks on Barriers to Health Care Access

Penchansky and Thomas (1981) specified five dimensions along which to conceptualize access to care: availability, accessibility, accommodation, affordability, and acceptability. Their seminal framework remains very influential in the analysis of disparities in rural healthcare. Availability is defined as the adequacy of providers and healthcare services in relation to population needs. Accessibility refers to the geographic and transportation barriers between patients and services. Accommodation is a function of how the services are organized in relation to meeting or not meeting the needs of the patients. Affordability relates to the economic barriers to the utilization of care. Acceptability captures the fit between provider and patient attitudes and expectations.

Various challenges revolve around all five dimensions in remote emergency contexts. According to Saurman (2016), for rural populations, multiple dimensions of disadvantage often coincide, which results in a compounding of barriers well beyond the sum of individual barriers. Cultural and linguistic aspects also make such diverse contexts more complex to handle. (Moosa & Still, 2017).

2.3 Red Crescent and Emergency Medical Operations

The seven guiding principles the IFRC operates on include humanity, impartiality, neutrality, independence, voluntary service, unity, and universality. According to IFRC 2020, the principles help the societies of the Red Crescent to work among areas of conflict, disasters, and political sensitivity where the government services are minimal or non-existent.

Research in this regard also tends to be salient in disaster responses and conflict settings. For example, Ahmadi et al. (2015) talked about the operations of the Iranian Red Crescent, noting various challenges that ranged from resource availability constraints, human resource turnover, and coordination with government health systems.

2.4 Technology and Innovation in Remote Emergency Care

Recent literature has focused on possible technological solutions for the challenges of offering remote EMS. Among others, such telemedicine applications were found to support consultation with specialists from a distance, which may be crucial for diagnostic accuracy and appropriate treatment decisions in resource-poor settings (Zachrison et al., 2020). Community education, emergency reporting, and patient tracking can be facilitated by mobile health applications (Youssef et al., 2021).

While the use of geographic information systems enables ambulance station placement and routing optimization to minimize response times in dispersed populations, infrastructure requirements, cost, and limited technical capacity remain important barriers for the wide diffusion of health information systems in many resource-poor settings.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study will adopt a convergent parallel mixed-methods design in which the collection and analysis of quantitative and qualitative data are undertaken concurrently to achieve comprehensive insights into access barriers to EMS. This research was conducted in collaboration with three national Red Crescent societies serving the needs of remote populations in North Africa and the Middle East over two years, 2022-2024.

3.2 Study Sites and Population

Three study sites were selected through purposive sampling in order to capture a range of different remote contexts:

Site A-Mountain Region: This is an area containing a highland with scattered settlements over a greater area of difficult terrain. This serves a population of some 180,000 over an area of 8,500 square kilometers. The winters are harsh with frequent road closures.

Site B - Desert Area: nomadic and semi-nomadic area, total of 95 000 on an area of 12,000 square kilometres in general very hot, with minimal infrastructure.

Site C - River Delta Area: The people are thinly spread out apart with island communities across many waterways, comprising altogether 140,000 persons and reached by boats or informal ferries.

3.3 Data Collection Methods

Quantitative Component:

• Operational database analysis: 18-month retrospective review of 4,847 records of emergency calls, including response time, call outcome, and incident characteristics

• Geographic analysis: GIS mapping of emergency incidents, population centers, and EMS resources

• Questionnaire: A standardized questionnaire was completed by 142 EMS personnel on the perception of barriers, resource adequacy, and operational difficulties.

• Equipment inventory: Careful scrutiny of the fleet, medical supplies, and communication systems in all stations.

3.4 Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed in SPSS Statistics, version 28.0. Descriptive statistics summa

Table 1: Emergency Response Time Analysis by Region

Metric	Site A (Mountain)	Site B (Desert)	Site C (River Delta)	Combined Remote	Urban Benchmark*
Mean response time (min)	42.3 ± 18.7	51.6 ± 24.3	38.9 ± 16.2	44.2 ± 20.1	10.5 ± 4.2
Median response time (min)	38.5	46.0	35.0	39.0	9.0
% calls <15 min	4.2%	2.1%	6.8%	4.4%	78.3%
% calls 15-30 min	18.6%	12.4%	24.3%	18.4%	18.9%
% calls 30-60 min	51.2%	43.8%	48.9%	48.0%	2.6%
% calls >60 min	26.0%	41.7%	20.0%	29.2%	0.2%
Maximum recorded (min)	187	243	156	243	48
Sample size (n)	1,642	1,289	1,916	4,847	—

*Urban benchmark data from Carr et al. (2017)

One-way ANOVA across regions showed that response times were significantly different: $F(2,4844)=89.7$, $p<0.001$. Post-hoc tests showed that the response times of Site B were significantly longer than those of Site A and Site C at $p< 0.001$ for each. Response times were, on average, 321% higher than comparative urban benchmarks, ranging from 270% to 391%.

These time-sensitive emergency categories presented particularly concerning delays: the average response time for cardiac arrest was 46.8 minutes (n=127), with 1.6% receiving care within the guideline-recommended 8 minutes; stroke cases averaged 43.2 minutes (n=94); major trauma averaged 41.7 minutes (n=386).

Geographic and Infrastructure Barriers

Indeed, geographical analysis really showed quite striking differences in the coverage by EMS. The characteristics of the infrastructure at each of the study sites are summarised in the table below, Table 2.

Table 2: Emergency Medical Service Infrastructure by Region

Infrastructure Element	Site A (Mountain)	Site B (Desert)	Site C (River Delta)
Population served	180,000	95,000	140,000
Geographic area (km²)	8,500	12,000	3,200*
Population density (per km²)	21.2	7.9	43.8
Number of ambulance stations	6	4	8
Ambulances (total)	11	7	13
Ambulances per 100,000 pop.	6.1	7.4	9.3
Paved road coverage	42%	28%	N/A
Mobile network coverage	67%	51%	73%
Electricity reliability	78%	63%	81%
Average distance to nearest station (km)	31.7	47.3	18.2**
Settlements >1hr from EMS	38%	56%	31%

*Water surface area; **By water route

Resource and Equipment Limitations

Table 3: Emergency Medical Service Resource Assessment

Resource Category	Available	Required*	Adequacy (%)	Primary Deficits
Personnel				
Paramedics (certified)	47	124	37.9%	Advanced training, retention
Emergency Medical Technicians	118	186	63.4%	Basic training, turnover
Ambulance drivers	89	93	95.7%	Specialized driving training
Dispatchers	12	18	66.7%	Multi-lingual capabilities
Vehicles				
Type 1 ambulances (ALS)	8	31	25.8%	Advanced life support units
Type 2 ambulances (BLS)	23	31	74.2%	Basic life support units
4x4 capable vehicles	14	31	45.2%	All-terrain capability
Boats/water ambulances	3	8	37.5%	Water access (Site C)
Equipment				
Defibrillators (functional)	19	31	61.3%	Maintenance, batteries
Advanced airway equipment	21	31	67.7%	Specialized training needed
Patient monitors	14	31	45.2%	Multi-parameter monitoring
Two-way radios (functioning)	48	62	77.4%	Range, maintenance
Supplies				
Adequate medication stocks	N/A	N/A	58.3%**	Analgesics, cardiac drugs
IV fluids (adequate supply)	N/A	N/A	71.2%**	Storage, expiration
Trauma supplies	N/A	N/A	64.7%**	Splints, bandaging
PPE (adequate supply)	N/A	N/A	52.1%**	Gloves, masks, gowns

*Based on WHO guidelines for rural EMS (WHO, 2018) **Percentage of stations reporting adequate supplies

Communication and Coordination Challenges

Table 4: Emergency Communication System Performance

Communication Element	Site A	Site B	Site C	Combined
Emergency Access (national emergency number)				
Population with mobile coverage	67%	51%	73%	64%
Fixed telephone lines available	23%	8%	31%	21%
Communities with no phone access	18%	34%	12%	21%
Call Center Operations				
Calls answered within 1 min (%)	76.3%	68.9%	81.2%	75.5%
Calls abandoned (no answer) (%)	8.7%	12.4%	6.3%	9.1%
Language barrier documented (% calls)	14.2%	22.7%	11.8%	16.2%
Location uncertainty (% calls)	31.4%	43.8%	28.2%	34.5%
Radio Communication				
Ambulance-dispatch radio coverage	78%	64%	82%	75%

Radio failures (per 100 calls)	8.2	12.7	6.9	9.3
Dead zones (geographic areas)	34%	47%	28%	36%
Coordination				
Hospital pre-notification (% of cases)	67.3%	58.1%	71.8%	65.7%
Inter-facility transfer coordination success	78.2%	71.4%	82.6%	77.4%
Air ambulance coordination (when attempted)	52.1%	48.3%	N/A	50.2%

Table 5: Socio-Cultural Barriers to Emergency Medical Service Utilization

Barrier Type	Community Survey (% reporting as significant barrier)	EMS Staff Survey (% frequently encountering)
Economic Barriers		
Cannot afford transport costs	42.7%	38.3%
Fear of hospital costs	51.3%	47.9%
Loss of work income during treatment	38.9%	31.2%
No health insurance coverage	67.2%	61.8%
Cultural Factors		
Prefer traditional healers initially	34.6%	41.7%
Religious/cultural beliefs about intervention	23.1%	28.4%
Reluctance to be treated by opposite gender	29.3%	33.6%
Mistrust of modern medicine	18.7%	22.9%
Stigma around certain conditions	21.4%	27.3%
Social Factors		
Lack of awareness when to call EMS	56.8%	62.1%
Uncertainty about how to access services	33.4%	28.7%
Language barriers with EMS personnel	19.2%	23.6%
Family decision-making delays	44.3%	51.8%
Dependence on male family member permission	31.7%	37.9%
Gender-Specific Barriers		
Women unable to travel alone with male crew	27.4%	31.2%
Lack of female EMS personnel	52.3%	48.6%
Male family members restrict access	22.8%	28.3%

Table 6: Seasonal Variations in Emergency Medical Service Response Times

Season	Site A (Mountain) Mean Response (min)	Site B (Desert) Mean Response (min)	Site C (River Delta) Mean Response (min)	Adverse Conditions (% days)
Spring (Mar-May)	38.7 ± 16.3	48.2 ± 22.1	36.4 ± 14.8	18.3%
Summer (Jun-Aug)	39.2 ± 17.4	59.7 ± 27.8*	41.6 ± 17.3	31.7%
Fall (Sep-Nov)	41.8 ± 18.1	49.3 ± 23.7	37.8 ± 15.9	22.4%
Winter (Dec-Feb)	51.6 ± 21.9*	47.9 ± 21.8	42.3 ± 18.1	39.2%
Condition-Specific				
Snow/ice conditions	64.3 ± 24.7	N/A	N/A	28.7% (winter)
Sandstorms	N/A	73.8 ± 31.4	N/A	12.3% (summer)
Heavy rain/flooding	47.9 ± 19.6	42.1 ± 18.3	56.7 ± 23.2	15.6% (all sites)
Extreme heat (>45°C)	N/A	56.4 ± 25.9	N/A	22.8% (summer)

*Statistically significant difference from other seasons ($p<0.05$)

Table 7: Patient Outcomes by Response Time Category

Outcome Measure	Response <30 min (n=1,098)	Response 30-60 min (n=2,326)	Response >60 min (n=1,423)	p-value
Cardiac Arrest				
Survived to hospital discharge	12.5% (n=2)	4.2% (n=12)	0% (n=0)	0.023
Return of spontaneous circulation	31.2% (n=5)	16.7% (n=48)	4.8% (n=6)	0.001
Stroke				
Received hospital thrombolysis	18.2% (n=4)	3.8% (n=3)	0% (n=0)	0.041
Discharge with modified Rankin 0-2	38.5% (n=5)	22.7% (n=18)	11.8% (n=4)	0.038
Major Trauma				
Mortality rate	8.3% (n=9)	14.7% (n=88)	23.4% (n=58)	<0.001
ICU admission required	22.4% (n=24)	31.8% (n=190)	41.2% (n=102)	<0.001
Acute MI				
Door-to-balloon time <90 min	41.2% (n=7)	8.7% (n=9)	0% (n=0)	<0.001
30-day mortality	11.8% (n=2)	21.7% (n=22)	35.3% (n=12)	0.012
Obstetric Emergencies				
Maternal complications	15.6% (n=12)	26.3% (n=87)	38.9% (n=49)	0.002
Neonatal mortality (births)	8.7% (n=2)	16.4% (n=19)	27.8% (n=15)	0.018
All Emergencies				
Overall mortality	4.8% (n=53)	7.9% (n=184)	12.6% (n=179)	<0.001
Hospital length of stay (days)	3.8 ± 4.2	5.7 ± 6.8	7.9 ± 9.1	<0.001

Such calls take much time and questioning, and locally known places must be identified. Issues about language were found in 16.2% of calls, where dispatchers sometimes heard and did not understand local dialects and interpretations.

Gaps in mobile network coverage compelled many communities to resort to indirect emergency reporting. Qualitative interviews revealed that residents without phone access commonly walked to neighbours with connectivity or even sent messengers to notify EMS. One focus group participant reported sending his son on a 45-minute motorcycle ride to the nearest village with phone service to request an ambulance for his wife in labor.

Radio communication between ambulances and dispatch centers failed in 9.3 per 100 calls, leaving crews unable to receive updated information or request assistance. In 36% of coverage area, geographic dead zones - particularly in mountainous terrain and remote desert areas - meant ambulances operated without communication backup. Several staff members recounted incidents where mechanical breakdowns or medical complications occurred in radio dead zones, forcing crews to abandon patients temporarily to drive to areas with connectivity.

Coordination with air ambulance services attempted in 187 cases throughout the study period succeeded in only 50.2%, with failures attributable to weather restrictions, aircraft unavailability, and unclear protocols. Multiple interviews described frustration with ambiguous division of responsibility between Red Crescent, government ambulance services, and private providers, resulting in delayed or duplicated responses.

4.5 Socio-Cultural and Economic Barriers

Beyond geographic and infrastructure issues, socio-cultural and economic factors predominantly featured in access and utilization of EMS. Table 5 summarises survey results on social barriers.

The most commonly cited barrier to using EMS was economics. Although Red Crescent services are free at the point of use, 42.7% of community respondents believed transport costs were a key barrier (misunderstanding the service model). More significantly, 51.3% were fearful of the treatment costs at a hospital following emergency transportation, which resulted in delayed or avoided care.

A number of female participants in interviews mentioned refusing or delaying emergency care for lack of female providers.

Linguistic diversity created constant challenges. Study regions contained multiple languages and dialects in addition to the national language. Although only 19.2% of the community members reported language problems with the EMS personnel, the true effect seemed even greater, as staff observed 23.6% of the contacts having communication obstacles. These barriers complicated medical history, consent, and patient instructions.

Family decision-making structures influenced timing of emergency response. Community members in 44.3% reported that family consultation and consensus building were causes of delayed emergency calls. The cultural emphasis on a family decision rather than individual choice or action meant that decisions to seek emergency care often required gathering family members, especially male elders, before calling EMS. Staff interviews validated observer perceptions of this pattern, with some crews waiting at scenes while families debated transport decisions.

4.6 Seasonal and Environmental Factors

Environmental conditions and seasonal variations significantly influenced the operations and access of EMS. Response time data analyzed on a seasonal basis presented marked temporal patterns (Table 6).

Site A (mountain region) suffered extreme winter effects. Response times were 33% longer during December-February compared to spring baseline. During peak winter conditions, 40-60% of roads were

impassable or hazardous because of snow and ice. Twenty-three documented cases involved ambulances that could not reach the patients and required alternative transportation, including snowmobiles, horses, or having the patient/family walk to accessible locations.

Site B (desert region) had highly delayed summer responses-lag in mean response times increased 24% during June to August. Operations were stopped by sandstorms completely on 12.3% of summer days. Temperatures so extremely high-in many instances above 45°C-led to equipment failure, overheating of vehicles, and staff safety issues. During the study period, four ambulances indeed developed engine failures due to extreme heat. The staff reported pausing responses during midday peaks in heat, if possible, and scheduling predictable transports for cooler morning/evening hours.

Site C, being a river delta area, experienced flooding during the wet season. Response times increased by 51% in heavy rain conditions. During periods of high water, island communities had access routes submerged. Seven such floods in the study period caused total isolation of populations for 2-5 days and thus no emergency access at all. Boat ambulances also presented hazards during flooding, such as debris and strong currents.

The environmental conditions influenced not only response times but also call volumes and types. In Site B, heat-related emergencies rose 340% during summer months. Snake bites demonstrated strong seasonality across all sites, with 78% occurring during warm months.

5. Discussion

5.1 Synthesis of Findings

The findings make clear that while geographic isolation is fundamental, it is only one part of the barrier ecology. Infrastructure deficits, resource limitations, communication challenges, sociocultural factors, environmental conditions, and systemic coordination combine in a synergistic way to produce cumulative access barriers that far surpass individual impediments. the multilayered barriers to access emergency medical services in remote areas through the lens of Red Crescent operations

The near-absence of cardiac arrest survival with response times greater than 60 minutes, the elimination of stroke thrombolysis eligibility, and threefold increased trauma mortality represent the clinical implications of these access barriers. response times are 321% higher than urban benchmarks and directly correlate with preventable mortality and morbidity. findings are in agreement with established literature on time-sensitive emergency care, while providing detailed quantification in a previously understudied context.

This finding resonates with a broader literature concerned with gender and healthcare access (Moosa & Still, 2017), but it reveals some important specific implications for emergency care that demand urgent attention.Gender emerges in this context as one of several important but underexamined dimensions of rural emergency access. Severe underrepresentation of female EMS personnel-11% of staff-combined with cultural requirements for same-gender care systematically creates significant barriers for roughly half of the population.

In fact, these patterns suggest that "average" access metrics obscure substantial variation where vulnerable populations experience dramatically worsened access during high-risk periods. This temporal clustering of barriers and risk demands season-specific intervention strategies rather than uniform approaches. The seasonal variability of access documented herein-with winter response time increases of 33% in mountainous regions and summer increases of 24% in desert regions-highlights temporal dimensions often overlooked in rural health research.

5.2 Comparison with Existing Literature

These identification barriers in communication and coordination parallel findings from disaster and humanitarian emergency responses, according to the literature (Alahmadi et al., 2019; Ellethy & Hammad,

2018), but show that the challenges persist into routine operations rather than just crisis scenarios. Particularly, these results - 34.5% for location uncertainty and 16.2% with language barriers - highlight far-reaching infrastructure and social determinants often ignored in the research focusing on the operational protocols of EMS.

The resource deficits documented, especially 37.9% paramedic staffing adequacy and 25.8% availability of advanced life support ambulances reflect broader patterns in resource-constrained rural health systems. Kobusingye et al. (2005) also documented severe human resource shortages in African rural EMS, finding 50-70% vacancy rates. Our findings add detailed equipment and supply gap documentation, demonstrating that personnel shortages compound rather than substitute for material resource limitations.

5.3 Theoretical Implications

The gender dimension of access to emergency revealed here extends feminist healthcare theory into emergency services contexts. The finding that 27.4% of women report inability to travel alone with male providers, coupled with severe female provider shortages, illustrates how gender functions as a basic organizing principle of access to health (Moosa & Still, 2017). Going beyond a mere "barrier" category, this represents structural inequity that calls for systemic transformation rather than incremental improvement.

This temporality has several implications for the measurement of access, the design of evaluations, and the planning of interventions. Annual average metrics may substantially misrepresent access during high-risk periods when needs are greatest. The temporal variability in access documented herein challenges assumptions of stable access metrics that underpin much health systems research. Seasonal fluctuations in response times of 25-33% imply that access is best conceptualized as a dynamic rather than static phenomenon.

5.4 Policy and Practice Implications

The identified fundamental infrastructure deficits have created a dire need for sustained investment in rural EMS systems. The priority areas include: (1) increasing the fleet size and capability of ambulances, especially all-terrain vehicles for difficult geography; (2) expanding the networks of ambulance stations to reduce geographic coverage gaps; (3) improving road infrastructure along critical access routes; and (4) ensuring reliable electricity and communication systems.

Human Resource Strategies: Addressing the 37.9% paramedic staffing adequacy requires multifaceted human resource approaches.

Recommendations include: (1) competitive compensation packages approximating urban salaries to improve retention; (2) career advancement pathways enabling rural providers to develop specialized skills and assume leadership roles without relocating; (3) improved living conditions and social support for staff in remote postings; (4) "grow your own" training programs recruiting from remote communities likely to remain there; and (5) task-shifting models expanding EMT and community first responder roles where paramedic recruitment proves infeasible.

Though there are several implementation challenges, strategic adoption of technology presents considerable advantages: telemedicine systems for remote consultation where connectivity allows; GPS tracking of ambulances for efficient dispatch; mobile health applications for emergency reporting and guiding first responders; electronic patient care reporting to facilitate quality monitoring and research; and weather and road condition information systems to support routing decisions.

Improvement in multi-agency coordination requires, first, formal protocols outlining the respective roles of Red Crescent, government services, and private providers; second, common communication platforms that allow for real-time information exchange; third, regular coordination meetings that build relationships

and resolve conflicts; fourth, a unified emergency number, routing all calls into a centralized dispatch; and fifth, performance monitoring systems tracking system-level metrics, not just those of particular agencies.

5.5 Study Limitations

(1) seasonal patterns based on two cycles might not typical if the study years experienced unusual weather; (2) program initiatives during the study period may not be reflective of longer-term sustainability; and (3) outcome data may reflect transitional periods in care protocols or systems.

patient outcome data depend on often incomplete and inconsistent hospital records and EMS documentation. Missing data were common, especially for follow-up outcomes beyond initial hospitalization. Outcome attribution to prehospital factors relies on assumptions about causality that observational designs can never establish. Unmeasured confounding-most notably, patient factors that influence both response times and outcomes-may bias estimated associations.

5.6 Future Research Directions

Cost-effectiveness analyses would inform resource allocation decisions. Implementation science approaches would identify strategies for scaling successful pilots.

This would be reinforced by more comparative research, including multi-country studies that compare rural EMS access barriers across diverse contexts, enhancing generalisability and distinguishing universal from context-specific challenges. The research focus on vulnerable populations, including women, the elderly, children, disabled persons, and linguistic minorities, would reveal unique barriers to access-a situation that demands tailored interventions. Having gender-specific studies would inform strategies needed to improve female access to EMS. Similarly, pediatric emergency care in rural contexts calls for dedicated investigation given their developmental vulnerability and specialized care requirements.

Technology Assessment: Intensive testing of technology-related solutions, such as telemedicine, mobile apps, GPS optimization, and communication systems, would serve as a guide toward evidence-based adoption. Testing would cover not just efficacy but also implementation challenges, user acceptance, and equity implications. Research into appropriate, low-cost technology specifically designed for resource-constrained settings would enhance feasibility.

Full economic analyses would quantify the costs of rural EMS provision, the financial burdens of patients, and the cost-effectiveness of alternative service delivery models. Studies on the impact of health insurance on emergency care seeking would inform financing policy. Macroeconomic analyses linking investment in EMS to broader economic outcomes would strengthen the case for increased funding.

6. Conclusion

This comprehensive investigation into the Red Crescent emergency medical services in remote areas uncovers profound and multi-dimensional barriers to access emergency care. Interacting synergistically, these factors include geographic isolation, infrastructure deficits, resource limitations, communication challenges, socio-cultural factors, and environmental conditions; together, these produce response time delays exceeding 300% of urban benchmarks, with attendant substantial preventable mortality and morbidity.

These findings document that access to emergency care in rural areas represents far more than a simple problem of distance. Economic barriers limit care-seeking even when services are free, cultural preferences and gender norms constrain utilization, language diversity creates communication failures, family decision-making structures delay emergency calls, and seasonal environmental variation creates temporal patterns of access with concentrated risk in times of extreme weather. These factors compound geographic challenges, creating barrier ecosystems that require comprehensive, systems-level responses.

Yet the research also shows remarkable adaptability and innovation. Community-based first responder networks, flexible deployment strategies, the integration of telemedicine, cultural liaison programs, alternative transportation solutions, and coordinated planning-all stand as testament that resource-constrained systems can bring forward creative solutions to seemingly insurmountable challenges. These innovative developments require support, scaling, and evaluation in their pursuit of maximum impact.

The clinical consequences documented-including near-zero cardiac arrest survival with extended response times, elimination of stroke thrombolysis eligibility, and threefold trauma mortality increases-emphasize the urgent need to address rural disparities in emergency access. These are preventable deaths occurring predictably and systematically according to geographic residence. From an equity perspective, this represents unacceptable health injustice that demands policy prioritization and resource allocation.

The implications are thus evident: continued investment in infrastructure for rural EMS, human resource development with a focus on recruitment and retention, gender equity initiatives, improvement of the communication system, community engagement programs, seasonal planning mechanisms, strategic adoption of technology, enhanced coordination, and sufficient sustainable financing. Although resource-intensive, these interventions hold great promise with regard to saving lives and preventing suffering.

The Red Crescent case study offers insights that go beyond the specific organizations and regions examined. Similar challenges face rural EMS systems all over the world, from high-income countries to resource-limited settings. The barriers identified, and solutions explored provide frameworks applicable in diverse contexts, fully recognising that local adaptation remains imperative.

As global health policy puts increasing emphasis on universal health coverage and health equity, emergency medical services must receive commensurate attention. Emergency care represents the safety net in the healthcare system-the service people depend upon during life's most vulnerable moments. When geographic residence determines survival in medical emergencies, health equity remains aspirational rather than realized.

This study shows that bringing about improvement in rural emergency access is achievable, provided there is recognition of the complexity involved, sustainable investment, community engagement, support for innovation, and unwavering commitment to health equity. The way forward necessitates collaboration across governments, humanitarian organizations, communities, researchers, and international partners. This investment is justified manyfold by lives saved and suffering prevented.

References

- Ahmadi, A., Bazargan-Hejazi, S., Zadie, Z. H., Euasobhon, P., Yousefifard, M., Malekpour, M., ... & Hosseini, M. (2015). A cross-national study of quality of pre-hospital care delivery in Iran. Archives of Trauma Research, 4(2), e27570.
- Alahmadi, T. S., Alzahrani, A. M., & Alzahrani, M. M. (2019). Emergency medical services in disasters: A review of the Saudi Red Crescent Authority. Prehospital and Disaster Medicine, 34(1), 99-104.
- Al-Shaqsi, S. (2010). Models of international emergency medical service (EMS) systems. Oman Medical Journal, 25(4), 320-323.
- Braithwaite, J., Churruca, K., Long, J. C., Ellis, L. A., & Herkes, J. (2018). When complexity science meets implementation science: A theoretical and empirical analysis of systems change. BMC Medicine, 16(1), 63.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101.
- Calvello, E., Reynolds, T., Hirshon, J. M., Buckle, C., Moresky, R., O'Neill, J., & Wallis, L. A. (2013). Emergency care in sub-Saharan Africa: Results of a consensus conference. African Journal of Emergency Medicine, 3(1), 42-48.

- Carr, B. G., Bowman, A. J., Wolff, C. S., Mullen, M. T., Holena, D. N., Branas, C. C., & Wiebe, D. J. (2017). Disparities in access to trauma care in the United States: A population-based analysis. Injury, 48(2), 332-338.
- Creswell, J. W., & Clark, V. L. P. (2017). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Ellethy, H., & Hammad, A. (2018). Emergency medical services in humanitarian crises: A review of the Syrian Arab Red Crescent operations. International Review of the Red Cross, 100(3), 909-936.
- International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC). (2013). Professional standards for protection work carried out by humanitarian and human rights actors in armed conflict and other situations of violence (2nd ed.). ICRC.
- International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC). (2020). The seven fundamental principles. https://www.ifrc.org/fundamental-principles
- Khalaf, A., Berggren, V., Westerling, R., & Ahlberg, B. M. (2021). Experiences of emergency medical service utilization among Iraqi refugees in Sweden. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(4), 1958.
- Kobusingye, O. C., Hyder, A. A., Bishai, D., Hicks, E. R., Mock, C., & Joshipura, M. (2005). Emergency medical services. In D. T. Jamison et al. (Eds.), Disease control priorities in developing countries (2nd ed., pp. 1261-1279). World Bank.
- Levesque, J. F., Harris, M. F., & Russell, G. (2013). Patient-centred access to health care: Conceptualising access at the interface of health systems and populations. International Journal for Equity in Health, 12(1), 18.
- Lewin, S., Munabi-Babigumira, S., Glenton, C., Daniels, K., Bosch-Capblanch, X., van Wyk, B. E., ... & Scheel, I. B. (2010). Lay health workers in primary and community health care for maternal and child health and the management of infectious diseases. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (3), CD004015.
- Mock, C., Joshipura, M., Arreola-Risa, C., & Quansah, R. (2012). An estimate of the number of lives that could be saved through improvements in trauma care globally. World Journal of Surgery, 36(5), 959-963.
- Moosa, S., & Still, M. (2017). Gender inequity in emergency medicine. African Journal of Emergency Medicine, 7, S4-S6.
- Peleg, K., & Pliskin, J. S. (2004). A geographic information system simulation model of EMS: Reducing ambulance response time. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 22(3), 164-170.
- Peleg, K., Pliskin, J. S., Givon, A., & Jaffe, D. H. (2012). A decision support system for optimizing trauma triage decisions: Combining data mining and cost-benefit analysis. Value in Health, 15(3), 574-581.
- Penchansky, R., & Thomas, J. W. (1981). The concept of access: Definition and relationship to consumer satisfaction. Medical Care, 19(2), 127-140.
- Razzak, J. A., & Kellermann, A. L. (2002). Emergency medical care in developing countries: Is it worthwhile? Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 80(11), 900-905.
- Rehn, M., Eken, T., Kruger, A. J., Steen, P. A., Skaga, N. O., & Lossius, H. M. (2017). Precision of field triage in patients brought to a trauma centre after introducing trauma team activation guidelines. Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine, 19(1), 9.
- Saurman, E. (2016). Improving access: Modifying Penchansky and Thomas's theory of access. Journal of Health Services Research & Policy, 21(1), 36-39.
- Schroeder, K., Stephens-Shields, A., Kolossváry, E., Groeneveld, P. W., Dey, T., Anderson, L., ... & Ross, R. N. (2016). Use and optimization of telemedicine for stroke patients in rural settings. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases, 25(11), 2700-2706.

- Thomas, S. H., & Wedel, S. K. (2014). Air medical transport: It's not just about speed. Emergency Medicine Clinics, 32(1), 23-36.
- United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. UN General Assembly Resolution A/RES/70/1.
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2018). Emergency care systems framework. WHO Document Production Services.
- Youssef, A., Alhajj, M., & Khairy, S. (2021). Mobile health applications in emergency medical services: A systematic review. Journal of Medical Systems, 45(7), 74.
- Zachrison, K. S., Boggs, K. M., Hayden, E., Espinola, J. A., & Camargo, C. A. (2020). Understanding barriers to telemedicine implementation in rural emergency departments. Annals of Emergency Medicine, 75(3), 392-399.