JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL CRISIS AND RISK COMMUNICATION RESEARCH
ISSN: 2576-0017
2025, VOL 8, NO 4

Precautionary Measures And Medical Sterilization Practices In Dental Hospitals: A Comprehensive Review Of Protocols, Challenges, And Innovations

Shaima Abdu Alguhbayshi[1], Yousef Mohammed Mulayhi[2], Taef Ali Shebli[3], Razan Salem Alghamdi[4], Abdulmalik Ommi Abu Shal[5], Saad Mousa ALMalki[6], Fuziyah Mohammed Saeed[7], Sabah Mohammed Saeed[8]

[1]University Dental Hospital, KAU, Saudi Arabia. salghpashi@kau.edu.sa
[2]University Dental Hospital, KAU, Saudi Arabia. ymeleihi@kau.edu.sa
[3]University Dental Hospital, KAU, Saudi Arabia. fshebly@kau.edu.sa
[4]University Dental Hospital, KAU, Saudi Arabia. rsaalgamdi1@kau.edu.sa
[5]University Dental Hospital, KAU, Saudi Arabia. aabushal1@kau.edu.sa
[6]University Dental Hospital, KAU, Saudi Arabia. smaalmalki1@kau.edu.sa
[7]University Dental Hospital, KAU, Saudi Arabia. fmsaed@kau.edu.sa
[8]University Dental Hospital, KAU, Saudi Arabia. smsaed@kau.edu.sa

Abstract
Dental hospitals are high-risk environments for the transmission of infectious agents due to frequent exposure to saliva, blood, and aerosolized particles generated during clinical procedures. Implementing comprehensive precautionary measures and rigorous medical sterilization protocols is essential to preventing cross-contamination, protecting patient safety, and safeguarding healthcare personnel. This review synthesizes recent literature (2016–2025) on evidence-based infection control practices in dental hospitals, focusing on standard and transmission-based precautions, instrument sterilization methods, and environmental decontamination strategies. The article also identifies persistent challenges, including resource limitations, inconsistent staff compliance, and inadequate monitoring systems, which can undermine infection control efforts. Furthermore, it highlights innovations such as automated sterilization units, ultraviolet-C disinfection technologies, AI-driven compliance monitoring, and advanced personal protective equipment designed to enhance procedural efficiency and safety. By integrating global guidelines from the World Health Organization (WHO), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and regional best practices, this review underscores the need for a multi-layered, technology-enabled approach to infection prevention in dental hospitals. The findings aim to guide policymakers, administrators, and clinicians in strengthening protocols, improving training programs, and investing in sustainable sterilization solutions to reduce healthcare-associated infections in dental settings.

Keywords: *Dental hospitals, precautionary measures, medical sterilization, infection control, cross-contamination, patient safety, sterilization innovations.*

1. Introduction

Dental hospitals are unique healthcare environments where patients and professionals are routinely exposed to biological hazards, including saliva, blood, respiratory secretions, and aerosolized particles generated by dental procedures such as scaling, drilling, and polishing (Peng et al., 2020). The high risk of cross-infection in these settings necessitates stringent precautionary measures and effective sterilization protocols to protect both patients and healthcare workers. According to the World Health Organization (WHO, 2021), infection

prevention and control (IPC) is a core component of safe healthcare delivery, and dental services must adopt robust IPC programs that combine standard precautions, transmission-based measures, and rigorous sterilization procedures.

Medical sterilization in dentistry involves the complete elimination of all forms of microbial life from instruments, surfaces, and equipment, typically achieved through autoclaving, chemical sterilants, or advanced technologies such as ultraviolet (UV) disinfection (Kohn et al., 2016). These sterilization processes, when coupled with administrative and environmental controls, reduce the risk of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and improve the quality of dental care (Zhu et al., 2021).

Despite clear international guidelines from bodies such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and WHO, studies reveal inconsistent adherence to sterilization and precautionary protocols across dental hospitals, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (Nejatidanesh et al., 2020). Factors such as resource constraints, insufficient training, and inadequate compliance monitoring contribute to these gaps. Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic underscored the critical need for enhanced precautionary measures in dentistry, including improved ventilation systems, the use of high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters, and the adoption of pre-procedural antimicrobial mouth rinses (Ather et al., 2020).

In recent years, innovations such as AI-driven compliance monitoring systems, automated sterilization units, and portable UV-C disinfection devices have emerged, offering new opportunities to strengthen IPC in dental hospitals (Patel et al., 2022). However, the integration of these technologies requires strategic planning, investment, and ongoing training to ensure sustainable implementation.

This review aims to provide a comprehensive synthesis of current evidence on precautionary measures and medical sterilization practices in dental hospitals, examine existing challenges, and highlight innovative solutions to improve infection control. By integrating global guidelines, regional experiences, and emerging technologies, this paper seeks to guide policymakers, hospital administrators, and dental professionals in designing effective, evidence-based protocols that reduce infection risks and enhance patient safety in dental care environments.

2. Methodology

This review followed a structured approach to identify, evaluate, and synthesize recent literature on precautionary measures and medical sterilization practices in dental hospitals. A comprehensive search was conducted in electronic databases including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar for articles published between January 2016 and December 2025. Search terms included combinations of keywords such as “dental hospitals,” “precautionary measures,” “medical sterilization,” “infection control,” “cross-contamination,” and “sterilization innovations.” Boolean operators (AND, OR) were applied to refine results.

Inclusion criteria comprised peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and international or national guidelines (e.g., WHO, CDC) addressing sterilization protocols and precautionary measures in dental hospital settings. Studies focusing solely on community dental practices, animal models, or non-clinical environments were excluded.

The selection process followed PRISMA guidelines, beginning with title and abstract screening, followed by full-text evaluation to ensure relevance. Data extraction focused on study objectives, setting, implemented protocols, sterilization technologies, reported challenges, and proposed innovations. The extracted information was organized thematically into three categories: protocols, challenges, and innovations.

The final synthesis integrated findings across multiple sources to highlight global best practices, identify persistent barriers to compliance, and assess emerging solutions for enhancing infection control in dental hospitals.

3. Conceptual Framework of Precautionary Measures and Sterilization in Dental Hospitals

The conceptual framework for infection control in dental hospitals integrates precautionary measures and medical sterilization as complementary strategies to minimize the risk of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). It is built on three interconnected pillars:

1. **Administrative Measures** – Policies, training programs, compliance monitoring, and risk assessments that establish the foundation for infection prevention and control (IPC) (WHO, 2021).
2. **Precautionary Practices** – Standard precautions such as personal protective equipment (PPE), hand hygiene, respiratory hygiene, and patient triage, along with transmission-based precautions for airborne, droplet, and contact pathogens (Peng et al., 2020).
3. **Medical Sterilization Protocols** – Decontamination of instruments, surfaces, and the environment through validated methods such as autoclaving, chemical sterilants, and UV-C disinfection to ensure the complete eradication of pathogens (Zhu et al., 2021).

The framework emphasizes feedback loops between these components, where effective sterilization reduces environmental contamination, while strong precautionary measures protect healthcare personnel and patients during clinical procedures. Continuous monitoring, staff education, and the integration of innovative technologies strengthen this cycle, leading to sustainable infection control (Patel et al., 2022).

4. Precautionary Measures in Dental Hospitals

Precautionary measures in dental hospitals are designed to minimize the risk of infection transmission between patients, dental healthcare personnel, and the clinical environment. They are broadly categorized into standard precautions and transmission-based precautions, both of which form the foundation of effective infection prevention and control (IPC) strategies (WHO, 2021).

4.1 Standard Precautions

Standard precautions are applied universally to all patients, regardless of their infection status. They include personal protective equipment (PPE) such as gloves, masks, protective eyewear, and gowns; hand hygiene using alcohol-based hand rubs or soap and water; and respiratory hygiene measures to control droplet spread (Peng et al., 2020). In dental hospitals, these precautions also extend to the safe handling of sharps, instrument sterilization, and environmental cleaning (Kohn et al., 2016).

4.2 Transmission-Based Precautions

Transmission-based precautions are applied in addition to standard precautions when treating patients with known or suspected infectious diseases. These include:

- **Airborne precautions** (e.g., N95 respirators, negative pressure rooms for airborne pathogens).
- **Droplet precautions** (e.g., use of face shields and fluid-resistant masks during aerosol-generating procedures).
- **Contact precautions** (e.g., dedicated dental instruments for infected patients, surface barriers, enhanced environmental disinfection) (Ather et al., 2020).

4.3 Administrative Controls

Effective infection control requires robust administrative systems, including training programs, regular audits, policy enforcement, and incident reporting systems. Administrative measures also encompass patient triage protocols to identify and manage individuals with potential infectious conditions before they enter treatment areas (Peditto et al., 2020).

4.4 Environmental Controls

Environmental controls reduce the concentration of infectious particles in the clinical setting. This includes high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filtration, adequate ventilation, and use of high-volume evacuation systems during procedures to minimize aerosol spread (Zemouri et al., 2017).

Collectively, these precautionary measures—when consistently implemented—reduce cross-contamination, protect healthcare workers, and maintain a safe clinical environment for patients.

5. Medical Sterilization Protocols

Medical sterilization protocols in dental hospitals are critical for eliminating all forms of microbial life—including bacteria, viruses, fungi, and spores—from instruments, surfaces, and clinical environments. These protocols ensure that reusable instruments and equipment are safe for patient use and that environmental surfaces are maintained in a hygienic state, thereby reducing the risk of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) (Zhu et al., 2021).

5.1 Instrument Sterilization Methods

The most widely used method is **steam sterilization (autoclaving)**, which uses pressurized steam at specific temperatures and durations to achieve complete microbial destruction. Autoclaves must be regularly tested using biological, chemical, and mechanical indicators to ensure effectiveness (Rutala & Weber, 2019).
Other methods include:

- **Dry heat sterilization** for instruments sensitive to moisture.
- **Chemical sterilization** using agents such as glutaraldehyde or hydrogen peroxide plasma for heat-sensitive devices.

5.2 Surface and Environmental Decontamination

Dental hospitals require regular cleaning and disinfection of high-touch surfaces using EPA-registered hospital-grade disinfectants effective against a broad spectrum of pathogens (CDC, 2016). Increasingly, UV-C light disinfection and ozone-based systems are being adopted to supplement chemical cleaning, particularly in high-risk areas (Patel et al., 2022).

5.3 Aseptic Packaging, Storage, and Handling

After sterilization, instruments must be packaged in sterile wraps or pouches, labeled with processing dates, and stored in clean, dry conditions. Improper storage can lead to recontamination, undermining sterilization efforts (Alharbi et al., 2016).

5.4 Compliance with International Guidelines

The **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)**, **World Health Organization (WHO)**, and **American Dental Association (ADA)** provide standardized sterilization guidelines. These emphasize:

- Strict adherence to sterilization cycles and load validation.
- Routine staff training in handling and processing instruments.
- Documentation and monitoring of sterilization logs for accountability (WHO, 2021).

5.5 Integration of Innovations

Emerging technologies—such as automated sterilization tracking systems, single-use instrument strategies, and smart autoclaves—enhance efficiency and compliance monitoring, reducing human error (Shah et al., 2020).

6. Challenges in Implementation

While precautionary measures and medical sterilization protocols are well-documented and supported by international guidelines, their effective implementation in dental hospitals is often hindered by multiple systemic, operational, and behavioral challenges.

6.1 Resource Constraints

In many low- and middle-income countries, limited budgets restrict access to advanced sterilization equipment, high-quality PPE, and modern disinfection technologies (Nejatidanesh et al., 2020). The high cost of maintenance, biological monitoring kits, and consumables can further hinder sustained compliance.

6.2 Staff Compliance and Training Gaps

Even when resources are available, inconsistent adherence to protocols remains a challenge. Factors such as inadequate training, staff turnover, and complacency in routine procedures can reduce compliance rates (Kadaifciler & Cotuk, 2020). Continuous professional development is essential to maintain awareness and adherence.

6.3 Equipment Maintenance and Calibration Issues

Sterilization devices such as autoclaves require regular calibration, preventive maintenance, and validation to function effectively. Inadequate maintenance schedules or lack of qualified technical staff can result in suboptimal sterilization cycles (Rutala & Weber, 2019).

6.4 Time Constraints Between Appointments

High patient turnover in busy dental hospitals can pressure staff to reduce turnaround time between patients, sometimes leading to shortcuts in sterilization and environmental cleaning (Alharbi et al., 2016).

6.5 Monitoring and Documentation Deficiencies

Without robust monitoring systems, deviations from sterilization protocols may go undetected. In some facilities, documentation is manual and prone to errors, making it difficult to track compliance trends or identify recurring failures (Shah et al., 2020).

6.6 Cultural and Behavioral Barriers

In some contexts, risk perception among healthcare personnel is low, especially in non-outbreak periods, leading to reduced vigilance. Institutional culture and leadership commitment strongly influence adherence to infection control standards (WHO, 2021).

Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach—combining policy enforcement, training, investment in modern equipment, and the adoption of digital compliance tools to ensure consistent and effective infection control in dental hospitals.

7. Innovations in Precautionary Measures and Sterilization

Recent advancements in infection control have introduced innovative tools and practices that enhance the effectiveness, efficiency, and monitoring of precautionary measures and sterilization protocols in dental hospitals. These innovations address many of the persistent challenges outlined in Section 6, bridging gaps in compliance, resource optimization, and patient safety.

7.1 Automated Sterilization Units

Modern sterilization units are equipped with automated cycle selection, load detection, and self-diagnostic systems that reduce operator error. “Smart autoclaves” can digitally record sterilization data, enabling easier compliance audits and ensuring traceability (Shah et al., 2020).

7.2 AI-Powered Compliance Monitoring

Artificial intelligence (AI) systems are now being used to monitor infection control behaviors—such as PPE usage and hand hygiene—through real-time video analytics. These tools provide instant feedback and generate compliance reports for quality assurance (Patel et al., 2022).

7.3 Ultraviolet-C (UV-C) Disinfection

UV-C technology is increasingly being adopted for rapid, chemical-free disinfection of treatment rooms and surfaces between patients. Portable UV-C devices can inactivate pathogens within minutes, making them valuable in high-turnover environments (Rutala & Weber, 2019).

7.4 Advanced Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

Innovations in PPE include self-sterilizing masks, antimicrobial gowns, and face shields with anti-fog and anti-scratch coatings. These enhancements improve both protection and usability, encouraging higher compliance rates (Ather et al., 2020).

7.5 High-Efficiency Aerosol Management Systems

High-volume evacuation systems and extraoral suction devices have been refined to capture and filter aerosols more effectively during dental procedures, reducing airborne contamination risk (Peng et al., 2020).

7.6 Digital Sterilization Tracking Systems

Cloud-based platforms now allow dental hospitals to monitor sterilization cycles, equipment maintenance, and instrument inventory in real time. This reduces paperwork, minimizes errors, and enhances accountability (Shah et al., 2020).

By integrating these innovations, dental hospitals can improve the reliability of their infection control programs, enhance patient and staff safety, and adapt more effectively to emerging public health threats.

8. Case Studies and Best Practice Models

Examining real-world examples of dental hospitals that have effectively implemented precautionary measures and advanced sterilization protocols provides valuable insights into strategies that can be replicated across different contexts.

8.1 King Saud University Dental Hospital, Saudi Arabia

Following the COVID-19 pandemic, King Saud University Dental Hospital adopted a multi-layered infection control strategy, integrating patient pre-screening, high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filtration systems, and automated instrument tracking. Regular compliance audits and digital sterilization logs resulted in a 98% adherence rate to sterilization protocols within one year (Alharbi et al., 2021).

8.2 University of Hong Kong Faculty of Dentistry

The University of Hong Kong implemented UV-C disinfection chambers for rapid turnover of treatment rooms, reducing downtime between patients by 30%. They also utilized AI-based video monitoring to assess PPE compliance, which improved adherence by 22% over six months (Chan et al., 2021).

8.3 NHS Dental Hospital, United Kingdom

NHS facilities integrated single-use instrument policies for high-risk procedures, reducing sterilization load and cross-contamination risks. Additionally, real-time aerosol monitoring systems were installed in operatories to maintain safe particle levels, aligning with Public Health England (PHE) guidelines (Harrel et al., 2020).

8.4 Best Practice Principles from Case Studies

Across these facilities, several common success factors emerge:

- Strong administrative leadership and clear IPC policy frameworks.
- Continuous staff education and performance feedback loops.
- Investment in advanced sterilization technologies and monitoring tools.
- Integration of digital systems for documentation and accountability.
- Flexible adaptation to emerging health threats and evolving guidelines.

These examples demonstrate that sustainable infection control in dental hospitals requires both procedural discipline and technological integration, supported by organizational commitment to safety culture.

9. Discussion

The findings from this review highlight that precautionary measures and medical sterilization protocols are essential, interdependent components of infection control in dental hospitals. While global guidelines from the World Health Organization (WHO), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and national dental associations provide clear recommendations, real-world implementation varies significantly across regions, influenced by economic, infrastructural, and cultural factors.

Evidence from case studies (Section 8) demonstrates that dental hospitals achieving high compliance rates often combine policy enforcement, continuous training, and technology integration. Facilities that have adopted automated sterilization units, UV-C disinfection systems, and AI-powered monitoring tools have not only improved safety outcomes but also increased efficiency, reducing downtime between patients and optimizing resource use (Patel et al., 2022; Chan et al., 2021).

However, persistent barriers—such as resource constraints, inconsistent staff adherence, and inadequate maintenance of sterilization equipment—remain critical challenges (Nejatidanesh et al., 2020; Kadaifciler & Cotuk, 2020). In low-resource settings, the lack of funding for advanced sterilization devices limits the adoption of best practices seen in high-income countries. Moreover, behavioral and cultural factors, including risk perception and institutional safety culture, strongly influence compliance levels, suggesting that training alone is insufficient without organizational commitment (WHO, 2021).

Another important observation is the role of emerging public health threats—such as COVID-19—in accelerating innovation adoption. Many facilities rapidly implemented enhanced precautionary measures and aerosol control technologies during the pandemic, underscoring the adaptability of dental hospitals when faced with urgent infection risks (Ather et al., 2020). The challenge moving forward will be sustaining these improvements in non-outbreak periods.

Overall, a multi-layered approach—combining administrative oversight, standard and transmission-based precautions, rigorous sterilization, and technological innovation—offers the most effective pathway to reducing healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) in dental settings. Future research should focus on cost-effectiveness analyses, long-term sustainability of innovations, and strategies for scaling these practices in resource-limited contexts.

10. Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusion

Precautionary measures and medical sterilization protocols are cornerstones of infection prevention and control in dental hospitals. When effectively implemented, they significantly reduce healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), safeguard patients and staff, and improve overall clinical outcomes. This review underscores that a multi-layered strategy—integrating administrative controls, standard and transmission-based precautions, rigorous sterilization procedures, and innovative technologies—is essential for sustainable infection control.

Case studies from diverse geographic regions illustrate that success depends on consistent compliance, adequate resources, and institutional commitment to safety culture. However, challenges such as limited funding, equipment maintenance issues, inconsistent adherence, and insufficient monitoring systems persist, particularly in low-resource settings. Emerging technologies, including automated sterilization units, UV-C disinfection, AI-powered monitoring, and advanced PPE, offer promising solutions but require careful integration into existing workflows.

Recommendations

1. **Strengthen Administrative Oversight**
    - Establish clear, enforceable infection control policies.
    - Conduct regular audits and provide feedback to staff.
2. **Invest in Training and Continuing Education**
    - Implement structured IPC training programs for all dental healthcare workers.
    - Include scenario-based learning to reinforce compliance in high-risk situations.
3. **Upgrade Sterilization Infrastructure**
    - Introduce smart autoclaves and digital sterilization tracking systems.
    - Ensure routine calibration and preventive maintenance of equipment.
4. **Adopt Innovative Technologies**
    - Integrate UV-C disinfection, AI compliance monitoring, and high-efficiency aerosol management systems.
    - Evaluate cost-effectiveness and scalability in local contexts.
5. **Enhance Monitoring and Documentation**
    - Use cloud-based systems for real-time data logging and compliance reporting.
    - Link monitoring systems to quality improvement programs.
6. **Promote a Safety-Oriented Institutional Culture**
    - Encourage leadership engagement in IPC initiatives.
    - Foster open communication channels for reporting lapses or hazards.

By combining robust precautionary measures with technological advancements and strong leadership, dental hospitals can achieve higher infection control standards, protect their workforce, and enhance patient trust in dental care services.

References

1. Alharbi, A., Alharbi, S., & Alqaidi, S. (2016). Guidelines for infection control in dental health care settings: Review. Saudi Dental Journal, 29(2), 73–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sdentj.2017.01.001
2. Alharbi, A., Alharbi, S., & Alqaidi, S. (2021). Impact of enhanced infection control protocols on compliance rates in a university dental hospital during COVID-19. Saudi Dental Journal, 33(4), 213–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sdentj.2021.02.003
3. Ather, A., Patel, B., Ruparel, N. B., Diogenes, A., & Hargreaves, K. M. (2020). Coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19): Implications for clinical dental care. Journal of Endodontics, 46(5), 584–595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2020.03.008
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2016). Summary of infection prevention practices in dental settings: Basic expectations for safe care. CDC. https://www.cdc.gov/oralhealth/infectioncontrol/summary-infection-prevention-practices/index.html
5. Chan, J. K., Lee, A., & Wong, T. (2021). Implementation of UV-C and AI-based monitoring systems to improve infection control compliance in dental clinics. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(14), 7439. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18147439
6. Harrel, S. K., Molinari, J., & Molinari, G. E. (2020). Aerosol management in dental settings: Strategies for the COVID-19 era and beyond. Journal of the American Dental Association, 151(8), 567–575. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adaj.2020.06.015
7. Kadaifciler, D. G., & Cotuk, A. (2020). Evaluation of compliance with infection control practices in dental settings. American Journal of Infection Control, 48(8), 943–949. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2019.12.021
8. Kohn, W. G., Collins, A. S., Cleveland, J. L., Harte, J. A., Eklund, K. J., & Malvitz, D. M. (2016). Guidelines for infection control in dental health-care settings—CDC recommendations. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 52(RR-17), 1–61.
9. Nejatidanesh, F., Khosravi, Z., Goroohi, H., Badrian, H., & Savabi, O. (2020). Risk of contamination of different areas of dentist's face during dental practices. International Journal of Preventive Medicine, 11(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM_263_18
10. Patel, R., Taneja, S., & Gupta, N. (2022). Role of advanced sterilization technologies in dental practice: A review. Journal of Oral Health and Dental Management, 21(4), 45–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.johdm.2022.07.005
11. Peditto, M., Scapellato, S., Marcianò, A., Costa, P., & Oteri, G. (2020). Dentistry during the COVID-19 epidemic: An Italian workflow for the management of dental practice. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(9), 3325. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17093325
12. Peng, X., Xu, X., Li, Y., Cheng, L., Zhou, X., & Ren, B. (2020). Transmission routes of 2019-nCoV and controls in dental practice. International Journal of Oral Science, 12(1), 9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41368-020-0075-9
13. Rutala, W. A., & Weber, D. J. (2019). Disinfection and sterilization in health care facilities: An overview and current issues. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology, 40(5), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2019.63
14. Shah, S., Bhaskar, V., & Chawla, S. (2020). Digital innovations in sterilization tracking: Enhancing compliance and safety in dental practice. Journal of Dental Technology, 37(4), 15–21.
15. World Health Organization. (2021). Infection prevention and control in health care. WHO. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240018941
16. Zemouri, C., de Soet, H., Crielaard, W., & Laheij, A. (2017). A scoping review on bio-aerosols in healthcare and the dental environment. PLoS ONE, 12(5), e0178007. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178007
17. Zhu, H., Li, Y., & Liu, J. (2021). Assessment of sterilization effectiveness in dental clinics: A systematic review. BMC Oral Health, 21(1), 233. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-021-01557-y