

An Integrated Business Model for Reducing Medical Errors in Healthcare Institutions: The Role of Administrative, Clinical, and Technical Teams

Faisal Mayudh Awadh Alotaibi¹, Dhaifallah Abdullah Sifran Alnefaie², Omar Mohammed Omar Bakuraa³, Hossam Ali Ateeq Al-Mohammadi⁴, Bandar Masaud M Althubaiti⁵, Ghaida Bakoar Alahmadi⁶, Musaad Saeed Hameed Althagafi⁷, Ibrahim Jaber Al-Salmi⁸, Faisal Hussain Mohammed Alzaidi⁹, Afrah Yahya Almalky¹⁰, Khawandh Mohammed Almagadi¹¹, Najat Nouman Hassan¹², Abdullah Dakhel A Alzibali¹³, Hassan Ahmed Sultan Hakami¹⁴, Essa Ibrahim Abdullah Buayti¹⁵

1. Nursing Technician - Compliance Management, Ministry of Health Branch
2. Specialist - Health Administration, Children's Hospital Taif
3. Specialist - Health Administration, Compliance Management, Ministry of Health Branch, Children's Hospital Taif, Taif Health Cluster
4. Nursing Technician - Primary Health Care Center in Al-Buhaira and Al-Fayhaa
5. Specialist - Health Administration and Community Health
6. Senior Registrar - Family Medicine, Al-Ajaweed PHC, Jeddah First Health Cluster
7. Operation Rooms - Compliance Management, Ministry of Health Branch
8. Health Administration Specialist - Abu Shaib Primary Health Care Center
9. Senior Specialist - Clinical Laboratory - Immunology, Compliance Management, Ministry of Health Branch
10. Nursing Specialist - East Jeddah Hospital
11. Nursing Specialist - Al-Sharafiya Primary Health Care Center
12. Nursing Specialist - King Abdulaziz Hospital in Jeddah
13. Nursing and Midwifery - Al-Hamna General Hospital
14. Epidemiology Inspector - T.B Center in Jazan
15. Epidemiology Inspector - T.B Center in Jazan

Abstract

Medical errors remain a persistent challenge in healthcare institutions worldwide, often resulting from systemic issues rather than individual negligence. This study explores the implementation of an integrated business model that combines the roles of administrative, clinical, and technical teams to reduce medical errors and enhance patient safety. Through a multidisciplinary approach, the model emphasizes leadership, interprofessional collaboration, technology integration, continuous training, and robust monitoring systems. The paper highlights the contributions of various healthcare professionals, including hospital administrators, nurses, family medicine physicians, laboratory specialists, and epidemiological monitors, in identifying risk factors and implementing evidence-based interventions. The findings demonstrate that a coordinated, team-based strategy significantly reduces adverse events, fosters a culture of safety, and improves institutional performance. Recommendations are provided to overcome barriers such as resistance to change and resource limitations, thereby supporting sustainable improvements in healthcare quality and patient outcomes.

Keywords: Medical Errors, Integrated Business Model, Patient Safety, Interdisciplinary Collaboration, Healthcare Quality Improvement

Introduction

Medical errors represent a critical challenge in healthcare systems worldwide, leading to patient harm, increased healthcare costs, and loss of trust in medical institutions (Singh, et al., 2025). According to the World Health Organization, millions of patients are affected by preventable adverse events each year, with a significant portion resulting from errors in diagnosis, treatment, medication, or procedural execution (Papadakis, et al., 2019). However, understanding that healthcare delivery involves multiple variables in a dynamic environment with many critical decisions made quickly is essential to finding the underlying causes of adverse events. Reducing medical errors requires a multifaceted approach at various levels of healthcare. These errors often arise not from individual negligence but from fragmented systems, poor communication, and a lack of coordination among healthcare teams.

To effectively address this issue, healthcare organizations must adopt a comprehensive and integrated approach that aligns administrative leadership, clinical expertise, and technical support (Rodziewicz, et al., 2025). This calls for a business model that not only emphasizes patient safety but also fosters collaboration among diverse professionals, including administrators, family medicine physicians, operations technicians, clinical laboratory specialists, and nursing staff. Each of these groups plays a vital role in identifying risk factors, streamlining workflows, and implementing safety protocols.

Uncovering the cause of these errors, as well as providing viable solutions to avoid these errors from occurring, is challenging. However, patient safety can be improved by identifying contributing factors and events that result in medical errors, developing multifaceted prevention protocols, and implementing these strategies at various healthcare levels. Healthcare professionals should be familiar with the different types of medical errors to understand better the adverse events that may be caused. Common types of medical errors include surgical errors, diagnostic errors, medication errors, equipment failures, patient falls, hospital-acquired infections, and communication failures (Rodziewicz, et al., 2025).

An integrated business model leverages evidence-based practices, data-driven decision-making, and continuous quality improvement initiatives to reduce errors and enhance care delivery. By creating a culture of safety, ensuring accountability, and promoting interdepartmental synergy, healthcare institutions can move closer to achieving zero harm (Lighterness, et al., 2024).

This study aims to explore how a coordinated, team-based business model can significantly reduce medical errors, improve outcomes, and reinforce the overall integrity of healthcare systems.

The Scope and Impact of Medical Errors

Medical errors can occur at any stage of patient care—from initial evaluation to discharge. Common types include medication errors, diagnostic errors, surgical errors, and communication failures. Studies have shown that errors often stem from systemic flaws rather than individual incompetence. These flaws are exacerbated by poor documentation, high workload, time pressure, inadequate training, and lack of standardized protocols.

The impact of medical errors is profound. In addition to physical and psychological harm to patients, errors result in legal liabilities, reputational damage, and increased financial burden for healthcare facilities. Thus, mitigating medical errors is both a moral obligation and an operational necessity (Robertson and Long, 2018).

Medical errors are a major global concern, ranking among the leading causes of preventable harm and death in healthcare systems. These errors, which may occur during diagnosis, treatment, medication administration, or patient handovers, can lead to severe consequences for patients and healthcare providers alike. Reducing medical errors is critical to improving patient safety, healthcare quality, and institutional trust.

Conceptual Framework of the Integrated Business Model

An integrated business model (IBM) is a strategic approach that combines the efforts of administrative, clinical, and technical teams to create a unified and proactive environment for patient safety. This model is based on the following pillars:

- **Leadership and Governance:** Strong leadership that prioritizes safety and allocates resources effectively.
- **Team Collaboration:** Interdisciplinary cooperation to close communication gaps.
- **Technology Integration:** Utilizing health information systems (e.g., EHR, CDS) to minimize human error.
- **Training and Competency Development:** Continuous education across all levels.
- **Monitoring and Evaluation:** Real-time performance metrics and error reporting mechanisms (Butt, 2020).

The Role of Administrative Teams in hospitals

Hospital administration is pivotal in the healthcare ecosystem, serving as the cornerstone of healthcare institutions worldwide. The management and organization of hospitals are critical components in ensuring the effective delivery of healthcare services. Hospital administrators oversee various aspects of hospital operations, from financial management and resource allocation to quality improvement and patient safety (Bhati, et al., 2018). Administrative teams are responsible for policy development, resource

allocation, risk management, and compliance with national and international safety standards. Their contributions include:

- Implementing hospital-wide safety protocols and checklists.
- Ensuring adequate staffing to reduce burnout and errors.
- Establishing a non-punitive reporting culture to encourage transparency.
- Investing in error-reduction technologies such as barcode medication administration and computerized physician order entry (CPOE) systems.

Leadership that is data-driven and responsive to frontline feedback is essential to sustain improvement efforts.

The Role of Clinical Teams

Physicians, nurses, and other direct care providers are at the frontline of medical decision-making (Arends, et al., 2022). Their roles involve:

- Conducting accurate assessments and diagnoses.
- Adhering to clinical guidelines and evidence-based practices.
- Communicating effectively across shifts and departments using standardized tools like SBAR (Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation).
- Participating in morbidity and mortality reviews to learn from adverse events.

For example, family medicine physicians play a critical role in continuity of care, while nurses ensure the safe administration of medications and timely recognition of clinical deterioration.

The Role of Technical and Support Teams

Operations technicians and clinical laboratory specialists support the delivery of safe care by ensuring that equipment, diagnostic tests, and environmental systems function without error. The knowledge and technical expertise of medical laboratory technicians contributes to the quality of clinical laboratory work and adherence to standards and protocols that enhance the accuracy and results of laboratory tests (Babyar, 2020).

Laboratories are increasingly aware of quality and safety best practices. Failure in compliance can lead to customer dissatisfaction (Mankar, et al., 2024). The role of medical laboratory technicians includes managing the validation of test results, implementing quality control measures, and addressing technical issues that may compromise diagnostic accuracy. Their roles include:

- Maintaining sterilization protocols and equipment calibration.
- Reducing lab-related errors through automation and double-checking procedures.
- Assisting with data management and analytics for quality improvement.

Technical teams also contribute by developing IT solutions to streamline workflows and flag potential errors, such as drug interactions or allergy alerts.

The Role of Epidemiological Monitors in Reducing Medical Errors

Epidemiological monitors play a vital role in enhancing patient safety and reducing medical errors by collecting, analyzing, and interpreting health data to identify patterns of adverse events and prevent their recurrence. Their expertise in infection control, outbreak investigation, and surveillance systems enables healthcare institutions to respond swiftly to systemic threats and lapses in care (Stone, 2018). These professionals contribute by (Klompas & Rhee, 2020):

- Monitoring healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), such as CLABSI and surgical site infections, and implementing evidence-based interventions to reduce incidence.
- Collaborating with clinical and technical teams to develop real-time data dashboards and early warning systems for potential outbreaks or unusual patterns.
- Leading root cause analyses following adverse events and translating findings into preventive strategies.
- Educating staff about epidemiological trends, hygiene practices, and emerging risks to minimize preventable harm.

Their involvement in multidisciplinary quality improvement teams ensures that decisions are made by rigorous data analysis and population-level risk assessments. By bridging public health and clinical operations, epidemiological monitors support a proactive, systems-based approach to safety that aligns closely with the goals of integrated business models in healthcare.

Benefits of Integration

By synchronizing the efforts of all teams under a unified framework, healthcare institutions benefit in multiple ways:

- Reduction in adverse events and near misses.
- Increased staff engagement and satisfaction.
- Enhanced patient trust and safety ratings.
- Operational efficiency and cost savings.

Case studies have shown that integrated approaches reduce medical errors by up to 40% when combined with continuous training and leadership support.

Challenges and Recommendations

Despite its promise, the integrated model faces challenges, such as:

- Resistance to change from staff.
- High costs of implementing new technologies.
- Limited interprofessional training opportunities.

Recommendations include:

- Establishing leadership buy-in through clear ROI evidence.
- Developing cross-disciplinary education programs.
- Gradual implementation with pilot testing and feedback loops.

Conclusion

Reducing medical errors in healthcare institutions requires more than isolated interventions—it demands a cohesive, system-wide approach that leverages the strengths of administrative, clinical, and technical teams. An integrated business model provides the structural and cultural foundation necessary for achieving safer care. By fostering collaboration, enhancing communication, and supporting continuous improvement, healthcare organizations can significantly lower the incidence of medical errors and elevate the quality of patient outcomes.

Unintentional medical error will likely always be a part of the medical system. However, by focusing on provider as well as patient health, we may be able to foster resilience in providers and improve care for patients in healthy, safe, and constructive environments.

References

1. Arends SAM, Thodé M, De Veer AJE, Pasman HRW, Francke AL, Jongerden IP. Nurses' perspective on their involvement in decision-making about life-prolonging treatments: A quantitative survey study. *J Adv Nurs*. 2022 Sep;78(9):2884-2893. doi: 10.1111/jan.15223. Epub 2022 Mar 21. PMID: 35307867; PMCID: PMC9541323.
2. Babyar, Julie. (2020), "Laboratory science and a glimpse into the future." *International Journal of Healthcare Management*.
3. Bhati D, Deogade MS, Kanyal D. Improving Patient Outcomes Through Effective Hospital Administration: A Comprehensive Review. *Cureus*. 2023 Oct 26;15(10):e47731. doi: 10.7759/cureus.47731. PMID: 38021686; PMCID: PMC10676194.
4. Butt, J. (2020). A Conceptual Framework to Support Digital Transformation in Manufacturing Using an Integrated Business Process Management Approach. *Designs*, 4(3), 17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/designs4030017>
5. Klompas, M., & Rhee, C. (2020). "The role of real-time data in preventing hospital outbreaks." *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 41(3), 324–329. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2019.359>

6. Lighterness A, Adcock M, Scanlon LA, Price G. Data Quality-Driven Improvement in Health Care: Systematic Literature Review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2024 Aug 22;26:e57615. doi: 10.2196/57615. PMID: 39173155; PMCID: PMC11377907.
7. Mankar, Pooja Dattatray, et al. "Enhancing Quality in Hematology Laboratory Testing: A Comprehensive Review of Preanalytical Phase Errors and Prevention Strategies." *Journal of Applied Hematology* 15.2 (2024): 95-101. Papadakis M, Meiwand A, Grzybowski A. The WHO safer surgery checklist time out procedure revisited: Strategies to optimise compliance and safety. *Int J Surg*. 2019 Sep;69:19-22.
8. Robertson JJ, Long B. Suffering in Silence: Medical Error and its Impact on Health Care Providers. *J Emerg Med*. 2018 Apr;54(4):402-409. doi: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2017.12.001. Epub 2018 Feb 1. PMID: 29366616.
9. Rodziewicz TL, Houseman B, Vaqar S, et al. Medical Error Reduction and Prevention. [Updated 2024 Feb 12]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK499956/>
10. Singh G, Patel RH, Vaqar S, et al. Root Cause Analysis and Medical Error Prevention. [Updated 2024 Feb 12]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK570638/>
11. Stone, P. W. (2018). "The state of infection prevention in US hospitals." *American Journal of Infection Control*, 46(3), 260–265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2017.10.006>
12. Sutton, R.T., Pincock, D., Baumgart, D.C. *et al*. An overview of clinical decision support systems: benefits, risks, and strategies for success. *npj Digit. Med*. 3, 17 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-020-0221-y>