JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL CRISIS AND RISK COMMUNICATION RESEARCH
ISSN: 2576-0017
2024, VOL 7, NO S12

Ethical and Legal Implications of Incorporating Genomic Information into Electronic Health Records

Renad Alnshmi Alhazmi[1],Reda Mujalli Kriri[2],Khulud Yahya Shwai Hakami[3],Rawabi Hasan Mkakm[4],Ghusun Abutaleb Otayn[5],Kholood Abdullah Maghfori[6],Mada Mohammed Al-Ahmadi[7]

King Abdulaziz University Dental Hospital

Introduction

Integrating genomic information into electronic health records (EHRs) represents a transformative advancement in healthcare delivery, ushering in an era of precision medicine that promises more personalized and effective treatment approaches. The gradual evolution towards the center of genetic information in global healthcare implies several essential ethical, legal, and social considerations that must be recognized. It has been suggested that while the marriage of genomic science and health information technology offers one of the greatest opportunities to enhance patient care, the drive also poses wholly new problems of privacy, security, and appropriate handling of highly personal genetic information.

The human has it is said that the human genome possesses about 3 billion base pairs and any differences that occur in these sequences can be a threat to disease development or affect drug efficacy and treatment plans. When this much genetic data is then captured into EHR it makes EHR an important tool for delivering more accurate and individualized care to patients (Williams et al., 2019). However, this integration also brings several challenges in connection with data handling, as well as with ethical and legal issues. To this end, this paper discusses the concept of incorporating genomic information into EHRs and the implications of the use of genomics in EHRs about primary areas of concern namely privacy, patients' rights and legal considerations, duties of the healthcare organizations, and the social effects of availability of genetic information. By exploring these various dimensions, we intend to satisfy this goal and present a hopeful and clear picture of the problems and possibilities of this new addition to healthcare distance technology.

Privacy and Security Concerns in Genomic Data Storage

The modern storage of genomic data within the EHRs raises issues with privacy and security perspectives, which are beyond the storage of traditional health information. The use of genetic information is qualitatively different from any other form of medical data because of the permanent nature of the information, its capacity to predict disease, and its relevance to biological relatives. This makes it important to add increased security and to be very selective when dealing with this data type and its protection. International EHR systems of the modern kind protect genomic data from unauthorized access. Such systems must have multiple means, such as enhanced encryption standards, proper means of user identification, and detailed log files of system usage. Also, the storage infrastructure requirements have to include the capacity to deal with very large amounts of data as well as being set up to allow fast access for clinical purposes (Carter et al., 2022).

The risks implicated by the leakage of data are much higher, especially because genomic information is deemed immutable. Unlike credit card accounts or passwords, genetic data cannot be changed if the latter is penetrated by a third party. It is permanent meaning that Anyone who falls victim to hackers stands to lose health records that may affect them for the rest of their lives and those of their biological related ones. In addition, information that can be considered trivial now might contain patient data once new facts are learned every day in the field of genetics. Genetic data contains a massive amount of data that healthcare organizations must store and

analyze effectively, which considers technical issues. Genetics encompasses a vast amount of data that demands a significant amount of intensity in computing and organizing. These systems have to be able to respond to the most complicated queries through data integrity and at the same time, the security measures implemented do not hamper the productivity of a healthcare clinical practice or the need for easy access to time-sensitive medical data.

Patient Consent and Autonomy in Genetic Information Sharing

Consent and autonomy in relation to a patient's genetic information affect the key ethical principles of data sharing about the patient in the use of genomics data in EHRs. Making a rational choice on sharing one's genetic information is however rather different from any conventional medical data as the sharing of other people related to the patient is also in question as well as further generations. The greatest concern is that patients' genomic data are contained in EHRs without their informed consent, although to include the information, detailed and informed consent ought to be sought. These processes should suit the current application for the generated data as well as its possible future uses for research and any other purposes which could in the future change the interpretation of genetic data. There has been a concept called dynamic consent, with which the changing nature of genetic data and uses could be at least partly solved (Hemingway et al., 2018).

This approach to consent lets patients make changes to it over time because of new information or changes in their circumstances. The underlying technical structure to enable dynamic consent is very demanding technologically, and also there is the problem of ensuring patient interaction yet not interfering with their self-determination rights. Patient education is therefore very important to achieve true informed consent. Due to the technicality of genetic knowledge the physician has to counsel the patient in simple language on what it means to share genetic information and how the information will be used for the patient's care. This education should include what benefits exist, for example, increased diagnostic precision and made-to-order treatment regimens, as well as the risks involved like privacy and psychological.

Legal Framework for Genetic Information Protection

The legal framework governing the protection of genetic information in EHRs encompasses various national and international regulations designed to safeguard individual privacy while promoting the beneficial use of genetic data in healthcare. In the USA, for example, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA) of 2008 offers core protection against genetic discrimination in health insurance and instead of employment environments, and other jurisdictions have adopted and adapted their own systems. Healthcare organizations need to attend multiple compliances within varying provisions of legislation such as the HIPAA for the USA and the GDPR for the EU. Such regulations may contain special rules regarding gene-associated information because of its increased sensitivity to bias, and abuse.

The above-analyzed legislation must consider several aspects of handling genetic data such as data ownership, access to data, data sharing, and rules regarding data breaches. Given emerging uses of genetic testing, new legal questions appear, including the minors' relationship to their genetic data and the responsibilities of clinicians in incidental findings. Legal issues are also relevant where data is transferred across borders and where international cooperation is carried out in genetic research (Wang et al., 2024). It also implies that the protection of Genetic information may vary from one jurisdiction to another so complicating the matter for those healthcare organizations that are transnational or are involved in multi-country research studies.

Clinical Integration and Healthcare Provider Responsibilities

Implementation of clinical genetics into clinical practice brings into such practice different responsibilities for clinicians and shifts existing paradigms of practice. This complexity means that there is an increasing onus on providers to establish competency in offering accurate interpretation of such information and its integration into clinical decision-making processes, in addition to

assuming legal responsibility for the information. Healthcare organizations must invest in the training of clinicians so that they are ready to use genetic information in the care of the patient (Cordeiro, 2021). This involves knowing how to legislate genetic tests, telling patients the results they received, and using genetic data in developing treatment plans. Also, there are challenges of incidental findings or variants of uncertain significance that are seen by the provider's need to have standard procedures and policies.

EDC systems for clinical use require optimization of facilitating the genetic information and offer practical recommendations to the healthcare personnel. Such systems should provide clinicians with some genetic characteristics that may be useful for treatment strategies while providing effective and efficient clerical work. The incorporation of genetic data into personal and operational clinical practice also raises important questions about how such large and complex sets of information can be translated in ways that are most helpful to clinical decision-making without overwhelming the process (Brancato et al., 2024). Some questions need to be answered about when genetic testing should occur or how the results should be shared with patients by providers in healthcare. This event includes the promulgation of standard protocols of services that involve genetic counseling as well as defining suitable routes to take in referencing to specialized practitioners whenever necessary.

Discrimination Risks in Insurance and Employment

Despite legal protections, the inclusion of genetic information in EHRs raises concerns about potential discrimination in insurance coverage and employment opportunities. However, there is a lack of comprehensive coverage of all discrimination varieties and such new tendencies as GINA laws remain an urgent issue that needs further research and legislative activity. Insurance discrimination has been an issue of concern, especially for medical underwriting, where based on your family medical history companies can rate up or deny you coverage, other forms of insurance that have not been covered under genetic discrimination laws include; life insurance, disability insurance, and long-term care insurance (Marchant et al., 2020). The presence of such genetic information in EHRs can actually act as a basis for underwriting insurance even where such actions are deprecated by law.

Employment discrimination on the grounds of genetic character also shares a lot of features. There are legal protections but the real-world application of such protections involves consideration of how genetic information is stored, accessed, and disseminated. While employers may have legitimate business privileges in taking occupational health information, the use of genetic information cannot be easily granted due to prejudice (Oliva et al., 2022). It is thus necessary that access control and audit mechanisms within healthcare organizations should be sensitive enough to allow only healthcare workers, whose duties require them to have the information based on the genetic data, to access the genetic information. This includes the need to establish policy and practice about requests for medical records with genetic information.

Family Members' Rights and Genetic Information Disclosure

Given that genetic information is family-specific, this offers special problems to governing disclosure rights and responsibilities to biological kin. Clinicians have a conflict of interest in whether or not to disclose the genetic information of a patient to other family members (Hicks et al., 2021). The obligations for individuals to share genetic information with relatives who may benefit from it have been put into question by what is called "genetic responsibility." Health care organizations must establish policies for dealing with situations in which genetic data affects relatives; and guidance for when to suggest relatives be tested and informed.

Legal and ethical requirements concerning the use of genetic information have to consider the rights of members of families to receive such information, especially if it might hurt their health. This also entails doing policy work to see the family member requests offered to the healthcare providers and setting up relative criteria sufficient for the providers to have a moral

obligation to raise the danger about a certain relative (Liaw et al., 2020). Informal decision-making about sharing genetic information also has to take into account ethnic and cultural practices that have an impact on the share of families' genetic information. Providers of this service must be able to consider these factors while at the same time making sure that adequate health information is passed on to those who require it.

Data Standardization and Interoperability Challenges

Interoperation of genomic information into EHRs depends on standardized data integration and management models for encoding genetic information and other patient data to maximize the value of genetics information across multiple care delivery contexts. This has made it necessary for various healthcare organizations to implement standard formats and terminologies in the format and structure of genetic information. This standardization is necessary and beneficial for the harmonization of the communication distortions between other healthcare providers and healthcare systems that do not compromise the data integrity and clinical relevance. This and other barriers suggest that while data interoperability is multidimensional, technical factors do not by themselves address semantic interoperability or adequately capture the meaning of the data (Grebe et al., 2029). This would entail building common vocabulary and reference frames, i.e., representations for genetic data, and procedures to manage changes in genetic readings based on advances in inferential understanding. These standards need to offer possibilities for practical application as well as for the constant new developments and changes in knowledge about the genetics of the individual. This is because there needs to be established ways through which changes to the genetic interpretations are made and how such changes would be effectively communicated to the relevant stakeholders.

Secondary Use of Genomic Data for Research

There are significant benefits when genomic data are present in EHRs for research purposes; however, this development has important implications based on ethical and pragmatic concerns with secondary use data. There is a crucial need for healthcare organizations to set policies on the usage of genetic information especially for the product of research and development without divulging patient info and with due respect to patient/subject preference. Genetic data can be used solely for research purposes if due attention is paid to procedures for removing identifiers as well as the measures taken to secure data. The issue is that full anonymization of genetic data, while maybe technologically impossible or at least too intricate, needs to be addressed because the patient’s personal information should remain protected throughout the excellent, although potentially unwanted, research processes (Hazin et al., 2013). There are also issues to be solved on how to obtain broad consent for the use of data for future research, how to notify the patients of other research opportunities, and how to return research results to patient-participants. Further, attention should be paid to the commercial possibilities of the molecular-genetic investigation and how the awards should be defined and granted to people and groups who helped in the research. Health plans must also build criteria for approving research uses of genetic data and for supervising research activities. This includes developing review processes and monitoring functions that can sustain compliance with ethical and regulatory review criteria and standards.

Conclusion

Incorporation of genomic data into EHRs is a major innovation in providing healthcare services but it has pretty good conceptual, legal, and practical issues that deserve a lot of acknowledgment and continued focus. Since genetic information is gradually being assimilated within healthcare facilities, more strategies are required to address these obstacles. Success in this area requires balanced attention to multiple competing interests: balancing the need to safeguard individual data but allowing the use of genetic data in a positive manner; allowing clinical progress while eradicating unfair prejudice, and encouragingencouraging research without infringing on the patient's rights. It remains the concern of healthcare organizations to ensure they have put in place

adequate infrastructure, training, and policies to manage genetic information properly. Given the dynamics in the field of genetics and with the emergence of advanced techniques, policies on the navigation of genetics in EHRs should remain relevant and capable of evolution. Future conversations among practicing clinicians, policy makers or/and funders, academicians researchers, and consumers will be critical to navigate face new and emerging issues, and seek opportunities. Thus, the more the future of healthcare will hinge upon how well and how fast people will be able to translate genetic data into clinical medicine, with adequate and reasonable legal and ethical protection.

References

Brancato, V., Esposito, G., Coppola, L., Cavaliere, C., Mirabelli, P., Scapicchio, C., ... & Aiello, M. (2024). Standardizing digital biobanks: integrating imaging, genomic, and clinical data for precision medicine. *Journal of Translational Medicine*, *22*(1), 136. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s12967-024-04891-8

Carter, A. B., Abruzzo, L. V., Hirschhorn, J. W., Jones, D., Jordan, D. C., Nassiri, M., ... & Roy, S. (2022). Electronic health records and genomics: perspectives from the association for molecular pathology electronic health record (EHR) interoperability for clinical genomics data working group. *The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics*, *24*(1), 1-17. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1525157821003275

Cordeiro, J. V. (2021). Digital technologies and data science as health enablers: an outline of appealing promises and compelling ethical, legal, and social challenges. *Frontiers in medicine*, *8*, 647897. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/medicine/articles/10.3389/fmed.2021.647897/full

Grebe, T. A., Khushf, G., Chen, M., Bailey, D., Brenman, L. M., Williams, M. S., ... & ACMG Social, Ethical and Legal Issues Committee documents@ acme. Net. (2020). The interface of genomic information with the electronic health record: points to consider the statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genetics in Medicine*, *22*(9), 1431-1436. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41436-020-0841-2

Hazin, R., Brothers, K. B., Malin, B. A., Koenig, B. A., Sanderson, S. C., Rothstein, M. A., ... & Kullo, I. J. (2013). Ethical, legal, and social implications of incorporating genomic information into electronic health records. *Genetics in Medicine*, *15*(10), 810-816. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1098360021027027

Hemingway, H., Asselbergs, F. W., Danesh, J., Dobson, R., Maniadakis, N., Maggioni, A., ... & Innovative Medicines Initiative 2nd programme, Big Data for Better Outcomes, BigData@ Heart Consortium of 20 academic and industry partners including ESC. (2018). Big data from electronic health records for early and late translational cardiovascular research: challenges and potential. *European heart journal*, *39*(16), 1481-1495. https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/39/16/1481/4096831

Hicks, J. K., Howard, R., Reisman, P., Adashek, J. J., Fields, K. K., Gray, J. E., ... & Rollison, D. E. (2021). Integrating somatic and germline next-generation sequencing into routine clinical oncology practice. *JCO precision oncology*, *5*, 884-895. https://ascopubs.org/doi/full/10.1200/PO.20.00513

Liaw, S. T., Liyanage, H., Kuziemsky, C., Terry, A. L., Schreiber, R., Jonnagaddala, J., & de Lusignan, S. (2020). Ethical use of electronic health record data and artificial intelligence: recommendations of the primary care informatics working group of the international medical informatics association. *Yearbook of medical informatics*, *29*(01), 051-057. https://www.thieme-connect.com/products/ejournals/html/10.1055/s-0040-1701980

Marchant, G., Barnes, M., Evans, J. P., LeRoy, B., & Wolf, S. M. (2020). From genetics to genomics: facing the liability implications in clinical care. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, *48*(1), 11-43. https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-law-medicine-

and-ethics/article/from-genetics-to-genomics-facing-the-liability-implications-in-clinical-care/8817F1DD4F95318A396370F74BC8FF49

Oliva, A., Grassi, S., Vetrugno, G., Rossi, R., Della Morte, G., Pinchi, V., & Caputo, M. (2022). Management of medico-legal risks in digital health era: a scoping review. *Frontiers in medicine*, *8*, 821756. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/medicine/articles/10.3389/fmed.2021.821756/full

Wang, S., Jiang, X., & Khaskheli, M. B. (2024). The Role of Technology in the Digital Economy's Sustainable Development of Hainan Free Trade Port and Genetic Testing: Cloud Computing and Digital Law. *Sustainability*, *16*(14), 6025. https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/14/6025

Williams, M. S., Taylor, C. O., Walton, N. A., Goehringer, S. R., Aronson, S., Freimuth, R. R., ... & Del Fiol, G. (2019). Genomic information for clinicians in the electronic health record: lessons learned from the clinical genome resource project and the electronic medical records and genomics network. *Frontiers in genetics*, *10*, 1059. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/genetics/articles/10.3389/fgene.2019.01059/full