JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL CRISIS AND RISK COMMUNICATION RESEARCH
ISSN: 2576-0017
2024, VOL 7, NO S11

Leveraging Synergies: Integrating Public Health, Healthcare Administration, and Community Outreach for Population Health Management

Shorowk Alrumaihi[1], Abdulrahman Oudah Rumeah Alshammari[2], Mohammed Sahw Almutairi[3], Waleed Saud Judia Alanazi[4], Deema osamah bin taleb[5], Nour Homoud abdullah alanazi[6], Abdulaziz Saud Jihad Al-Anazi[7], Majed Muhammad Radi Al-Sarihi[8], Asma Odah Alanazi[9], Abdulazizi Moburk Alasheer[10], Hanan Saleem Almutairi[11], Bashair Aldoukhi[12]

1. *Associate consultant, Family Medicine at KAMC, Saudi Arabia, shoroug.alrumaihi@gmail.com*
2. *Staff Nurse, KKMAC, Saudi Arabia, Alshammariab17@ngha.med.sa*
3. *Staff Nurse, KKMAC, Saudi Arabia, Almutairimo11@ngha.med.sa*
4. *Staff Nurse, KKMAC, Saudi Arabia, Saudi Arabia, ALANAZIWA10@ngha.med.sa*
5. *Diploma in medical records, Health information technician, Saudi Arabia, Dee-bt-@live.com*
6. *Family medicine, Alnassem west primary health care (moh), NohoAlanazi@moh.gov.sa*
7. *Emergency and Ambulance Technician, Medical Transport Department at Al-Madinah Health Cluster, aalanazi46@moh.gov.sa*
8. *Emergency medicine technician, Ministry of Health branch in Medina, Saudi Arabia.*
9. *Public Health Specialist, NGHA, Saudi Arabia, sam2-n@hotmail.com*
10. *Health information technician, King Abdulaziz Medical City Riyadh, alashairab@mngha.med.sa*
11. *Nurse, King Abdulaziz Medical Ministry of National Guard, Saudi Arabia.*
12. *Diagnostic Radiology, King Abdulaziz Medical City National guard Hospital, Aldoukhiba@ngha.med.sa*

Abstract

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act has provided a unique opportunity to bridge the gap between healthcare delivery systems and public health efforts to address population health. By mandating tax-exempt hospitals to conduct community health needs assessments and develop implementation strategies, the ACA has incentivized healthcare organizations to invest in upstream prevention and address social determinants of health. Simultaneously, there has been increasing recognition of the value of community engagement and community-based participatory research (CBPR) principles in public health practice. This paper explores the potential synergies that can be achieved by integrating healthcare administration, public health, and community outreach through collaborative, multi-sectoral partnerships guided by CBPR approaches. Case studies illustrate how building institutional capacity for sustained community engagement can enable healthcare systems to fulfill their community benefit obligations more effectively while enhancing population health interventions. Challenges and successful strategies related to fostering equitable partnerships, sustaining programs, and achieving collective impact are discussed. The paper highlights opportunities for innovation at this intersection to advance a patient-centered, prevention-oriented model of population health management.

Introduction

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) of 2010 imposed new community benefit obligations on tax-exempt hospitals, requiring them to conduct community health needs assessments (CHNAs) and develop implementation strategies to address identified needs (The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act [PPACA], 2010). This regulatory shift has incentivized healthcare systems to invest more deliberately in upstream prevention efforts and address social determinants that influence population health outcomes. Simultaneously, there has been growing emphasis within public health on the importance of community engagement and

community-based participatory research (CBPR) principles for improving health equity and facilitating sustainable interventions that resonate with community priorities.

These concurrent developments point to an opportunity for synergy across the domains of healthcare administration, public health practice, and community outreach/engagement. By forging collaborative partnerships that integrate efforts across these spheres, healthcare systems can enhance their community benefit activities and capacity for population health management. Public health interventions, in turn, may benefit from improved coordination with clinical care systems and access to institutional resources. And community-based organizations can find empowerment through genuine engagement as equitable partners pursuing shared goals.

This paper will explore the prospects and challenges involved in achieving such synergistic integration, drawing insights from institutional experiences and published literature. Specific topics to be covered include: 1) Motivations driving healthcare systems' investments in community health, 2) The community benefit regulatory landscape and evolving interpretation of requirements, 3) Principles and methods of community-based participatory research, 4) Case studies illustrating integration of clinical, public health, and community efforts, 5) Frameworks for fostering equitable partnerships and achieving collective impact, 6) Challenges related to program sustainability and strategies for success, and 7) Emerging opportunities for innovation in population health management. The overarching goal is to envision a collaborative model in which the combined strengths of healthcare institutions, public health agencies, and community partners can be leveraged to more holistically and effectively improve population health outcomes.

Motivations for Healthcare Systems to Invest in Community Health
Recent years have seen a surge in healthcare systems' investments aimed at improving health and addressing root causes within the communities they serve. While regulatory requirements such as the ACA's community benefit rules have helped drive this trend, hospitals and health systems also face strategic and moral incentives to prioritize community health initiatives.

From a strategic standpoint, maintaining a relatively healthy patient population is better for business—it reduces healthcare utilization and associated costs while representing an opportunity to build loyalty and brand reputation within the community served. Gourevitch et al. (2019) identify several factors motivating the "emergence of population health" as a strategic priority in academic medicine, including the transition toward value-based payment models, growing societal health needs, and the imperative to reduce healthcare disparities. Some view such "anchor mission" activities as vital for maintaining relevance and public trust as an institution (Zuckerman, 2013).

The Center for Community Investment (n.d.) frames hospitals' motivations within an "ethical/moral case" for investing to improve social determinants and a "self-interest/business case" rooted in value-based payment models that reward prevention and care coordination. Healthcare systems may also have hope that interventions targeting "upstream" social determinants could yield a positive return on investment by reducing downstream medical costs.

At their core, these investments reflect healthcare providers' mission to improve health and well-being for the populations they serve. Many are recognizing that this mission cannot be fully achieved through clinical services alone—addressing social determinants and partnering with community stakeholders is imperative (Horwitz et al., 2020).

The Community Benefit Regulatory Landscape

A major driving force behind healthcare systems' community investments has been the ACA's requirements around community benefit for tax-exempt hospitals. These provisions were based on longstanding guidelines from the Internal Revenue Service linking tax exemption to providing community benefit, while setting more specific mandates.

The key ACA provisions require tax-exempt hospitals to:

1. Conduct a community health needs assessment (CHNA) every 3 years
2. Develop an implementation strategy to meet identified community needs
3. Get CHNA and implementation strategy approved by governing body
4. Make CHNA report widely available to the public

The CHNA must take into account input from persons representing the community's interests, including minorities, low-income populations, and consumer advocates (United States Government, 2015). While the law does not explicitly require hospitals to implement programs addressing prioritized needs, the expectation is that the implementation strategy will outline a plan to meet at least some of the significant health needs identified.

In practice, the interpretation and enforcement of these community benefit rules has continued evolving. In 2014, the IRS issued its first report scrutinizing hospitals' compliance and highlighting areas requiring clarification and oversight (Cramer et al., 2017). Public health advocates have pushed for stricter enforcement and clearer guidelines, noting many hospitals fail to prioritize truly community-wide needs. Concurrently, some argue the ACA did not go far enough in linking tax exemption to measurable community benefit impact. Proposed revisions have included enforcing minimum spending levels, requiring participation from public health experts, and mandating measurable population health improvement goals (Dear, 2013).

Overall, the community benefit landscape reflects growing societal expectations that tax-exempt healthcare systems should invest in addressing the upstream social determinants influencing community health status. This regulatory backdrop has helped motivate systems to expand beyond traditional charity care and explore innovative ways to leverage their institutional resources for community impact. Effective strategies tend to feature meaningful community engagement and cross-sector collaboration (Gourevitch et al., 2012).

Principles of Community-Based Participatory Research

As healthcare institutions have ventured further into the realm of community health improvement, an important area of intersection has emerged with the field of public health and the community-based participatory research (CBPR) approaches it has pioneered. CBPR represents an "orientation to research" that systematically engages community members as full, equitable partners throughout the process (Israel et al., 2018). This contrasts with conventional research approaches where "the community" merely serves as subjects.

The key principles underlying CBPR emphasize equitable community partnerships, co-learning processes, capacity building, and sharing of knowledge/resources to enhance all partners' understanding and ability to resolve issues together. The aim is to collaboratively produce knowledge that directly benefits community partners through social change for health equity (Israel et al., 2013).

Central to CBPR is recognizing each partner's respective strengths, sharing decision-making power, and fostering co-learning through inclusive participation at all project stages. Community stakeholders are involved from inception through issue definition, research design, data collection, intervention implementation, analysis, and dissemination of findings. This helps ensure the work aligns with community priorities, leverages community knowledge/insights, builds sustainable programs, and shares benefits equitably (Wallerstein & Duran, 2018).

This participatory orientation also expands the definition of "knowledge" beyond traditional academic expertise to encompass the "critical lived experiences" and understanding of context that community members possess. By integrating these diverse knowledge streams, CBPR generates more holistic perspectives and innovative solutions that can catalyze social and institutional transformation (Israel et al., 2019).

At its core, CBPR seeks to redistribute power in the research process, empowering communities to directly shape efforts intended to benefit them. This democratization of knowledge production reflects social justice values while enhancing the overall validity and real-world applicability of research outcomes (Viswanathan et al., 2004; Fals-Borda, 2001).

While CBPR approaches have helped advance community health initiatives and build capacity for sustaining evidence-based interventions, challenges remain around operationalizing key principles and overcoming institutional barriers. Effective CBPR requires mutual understanding across partners, substantial time investment to foster trust, and a willingness among all parties to share authority. Mismatched motivations, power imbalances, lack of commitment, and failure to explicitly acknowledge/negotiate tensions can undermine effective collaboration (Israel et al., 2018; Duran et al., 2013).

However, by rigorously applying these principles over time, collaborative research can become a transformative process wherein community members gain knowledge, self-determination, and concrete resources to address inequities. Healthcare systems embracing CBPR have the opportunity to build authentic community engagement into their population health management strategies while meeting their community benefit obligations in a more meaningful way.

Case Studies: Integrating Clinical, Public Health, and Community Efforts

The complex interplay between medical care, socioeconomic/environmental conditions, and health behaviors underscores the need for collaborative, multi-sectoral approaches to effectively manage population health. This section examines several case studies where healthcare institutions have partnered with public health agencies and community-based organizations to integrate their respective capabilities.

One of the earliest comprehensive "anchor mission" models originated at Rush University Medical Center in Chicago. In the wake of the ACA's community benefit rules, Rush deliberately expanded its community health initiatives, informed by best practices in CBPR and anchored by a dedicated Community Engagement office. A playbook was developed outlining steps hospitals can take to build infrastructure for community partnerships, strategic investment, and equitable local economic development (Ubhayakar et al., 2017).

Rush partnered with the advocacy organization CBCSA on a community health worker program for public housing residents—the Health + Housing Project. This integrated medical, behavioral health, and social determinants support by embedding trained community health workers from the same housing developments to conduct outreach, education, care coordination, and resource linkage. An evaluation highlighted the

program's early successes in patient engagement, service uptake, and impacts on key health indicators like weight control and emotional health (Freeman et al., 2018).

In New York City, a longstanding CBPR collaboration between NYU Langone Medical Center and community stakeholders has tackled cancer disparities in immigrant Chinese communities. Through cycles of community engagement, program implementation, and capacity-building efforts, the AANCART partnership helped strengthen bi-directional trust, research literacy, and cultural tailoring of interventions. Key outcomes included boosting cancer screening rates via culturally adapted patient navigation and implementing research training programs to empower residents as community co-investigators (Gany et al., 2006; Freeman et al., 1995).

Across many communities, a common challenge is coordinating health system "community benefit" investments with other local initiatives addressing social determinants. An emerging strategy entails establishing formal governance structures, like Community Health Trusts, with multi-sector stakeholders jointly prioritizing and overseeing population health activities. With shared data infrastructure, communities can engage healthcare providers, public health agencies, payers, employers, nonprofits, and public/private funders in aligned decision-making to maximize collective impact (Chokshi et al., 2014).

While many hospitals initially focused their community investments on direct service provision like chronic disease management, a paradigm shift is underway toward more "upstream" investments in affordable housing, quality education, economic development, and other social determinants. Some health systems are channeling investments via community loan funds, housing authorities, community-based organizations, or multi-sector intermediaries to implement equity-focused neighborhood revitalization strategies (Hacke & Gaskins, 2018).

Beyond place-based approaches, other opportunities exist for healthcare-public health integration on specific issues like early childhood interventions (Brotman et al., 2016; Hajizadeh et al., 2017), violence prevention, healthy environments, enhanced epidemiology/surveillance, and policy/advocacy coalitions. For all such collaboratives, adhering to CBPR principles can strengthen equity, mutual accountability, and shared decision-making across stakeholders with diverse interests (Clinical and Translational Science Awards Consortium, 2011).

Overall, these cases illustrate both the potential synergies and execution challenges in aligning healthcare institutions with public health agencies, community organizations, and community members themselves. Bridging disparate incentives, bureaucracies, and power dynamics requires ongoing partnership-building through transparent, equitable collaborative processes. When successful, such integration can yield innovative solutions tailored to local contexts and gain sustained community ownership for improved health outcomes.

Fostering Equitable Partnerships & Achieving Collective Impact

The complex, multi-stakeholder partnerships needed to effectively manage population health face inherent challenges around establishing shared goals, ensuring equity among partners with diverse interests, maintaining accountability, and producing measurable results. To navigate these challenges, helpful models have emerged for both fostering equitable partnerships and coordinating activities for "collective impact."

Partnership principles from the field of CBPR offer useful guidance on establishing respectful collaboration among diverse stakeholders. Key strategies include: building trust and mutual understanding through active listening and open communication; recognizing partners' respective strengths, viewpoints, and competing priorities; sharing authority, opportunities, and resources equitably; balancing research and

intervention with capacity-building for all partners; viewing disagreements as potential learning opportunities, not roadblocks; facilitating co-learning through inclusive participation in all core activities; striving for transparency in decision-making, data-sharing, and communication; and cultivating individual relationships while establishing institutional commitments (Israel et al., 2018).

Research has highlighted common facilitators of successful partnerships including involving grassroots community leaders, ensuring community voice in decision-making processes, committing adequate time/resources, and establishing shared accountability mechanisms. When embraced authentically over time, CBPR approaches can build co-ownership of efforts and sustainable change (Kaplan et al., 2004; Okazaki et al., 2017).

For multi-sector partnerships aiming to coordinate population health activities at a regional level, the "collective impact" model provides a structured framework for long-term commitments by diverse organizations. Core elements include establishing a shared community-level vision, developing consistent ways to measure progress, engaging in mutually reinforcing activities, maintaining open communication across stakeholders, and ensuring coordination through a centralized "backbone" organization (Gonzalez, n.d.; Wallerstein & Duran, 2018). Examples of backbone entities include united funding streams, cross-sector governance bodies, or intermediary organizations specifically empowered for this coordinating function.

The collective impact approach advocates for shifting mindsets from isolated efforts by individual organizations toward a longer-term portfolio of interventions producing sustainable, system-wide progress. Progress depends on developing trust, accountability mechanisms, and structured processes for shared measurement, learning, alignment, and adaptation over time based on emerging evidence. This emphasis on committed, enduring coordination across diverse stakeholders reflects the systems-based realities underpinning population health (Israel et al., 2013).

Whether operating through traditional public-private partnerships, dedicated collaboration between healthcare/public health/community entities, or more formalized collective impact backbones, success requires equity, transparency, and shared power among all participating partners. Adhering to CBPR principles like equitable knowledge/resource sharing, co-learning processes, and community capacity-building can help ensure initiatives resonate with local priorities and have sustained community ownership. Multi-sector, place-based partnerships able to combine clinical preventive services, public health expertise and regulatory levers, and grassroots community engagement are well-positioned to achieve measurable population health impacts.

Program Sustainability & Strategies for Success

Even among well-designed initiatives driven by strong multi-sector partnerships, a constant challenge involves sustaining programs and impacts over time. Funding constraints, shifting priorities, staff turnover, policy changes, leadership transitions—all can pose threats to program continuity. Careful planning is required to embed initiatives within organizational policies/operations and cultivate an environment conducive to sustainability.

Researchers have developed tools like the Program Sustainability Assessment Tool to evaluate and enhance key factors influencing long-term program viability (Luke et al., 2014). Core domains include funded operational resources, partnerships/networks, organizational capacity, program evaluation, program adaptation, communications, public health impacts, and strategic planning. Key predictors of sustainability include

having a concrete sustainability plan, demonstrating program results, and cultivating champions/leaders.

For healthcare systems specifically, the ability to demonstrate positive returns from community health investments—whether through reduced medical costs, enhanced reputation/market strength, or broader social impact—is crucial for securing ongoing funding allocations. Evaluations measuring both programmatic and institutional outcomes are valuable to justify sustaining effective initiatives meeting prioritized needs (Young et al., 2018).

At the community level, the most sustainable interventions tend to reflect key CBPR principles like: integrating community knowledge/priorities from inception; extensive capacity-building through training, leadership development, employment; flexibility to adapt activities based on evolving circumstances; continuity ensured through community ownership, committed funding sources, and institutionalization into policies/operations. In essence, sustainability stems from community empowerment and embeddedness (Okazaki et al., 2017; Kaplan et al., 2009).

Healthcare systems seeking durable impacts have found value in formally integrating community partnership competencies into organizational structures. This may involve establishing dedicated Community Health Worker programs, CBPR training curricula, Community Engagement offices/advisory boards, or centralized anchors overseeing coordination across service lines. Importantly, these functions should be authentically incorporated as permanent operational units with protected budgets—not marginalized as passing initiatives (Kwon et al.,2017; Alberti et al., 2018).

Multi-sector collective impact partnerships benefit from "backbone" coordinating entities empowered to convene stakeholders, manage data/communication channels, align activities, and update the overarching strategic vision over time. Sustained infrastructure for collective impact processes, whether a formalized intermediary or rotating among participating organizations, is key to maintaining momentum, trust, and shared accountability long-term (Wallerstein & Duran, 2018).

At an even broader level, policies fostering healthcare/public health integration can strengthen sustainability by systematizing cross-sector collaboration and funding streams. Example strategies include regulatory revisions elevating community engagement requirements, developing national standards/measures for population health activities, better coordination between healthcare and public health accreditation processes, and funding community health trusts or initiatives coordinated by public-private governance bodies (Kaplan et al., 2006; New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2017).

Throughout all levels, sustainability fundamentally depends on sustaining the participatory processes undergirding partnership-based initiatives. Embedding genuine commitments to equity, shared decision-making, co-learning, and capacity-building helps maintain authentic community engagement, continually align activities with priorities, and empower communities to drive sustainable improvements in their own health (Chokshi et al., 2014; Hacke & Gaskins, 2018).

Emerging Opportunities & Directions for Innovation

As healthcare systems deepen their community health investments and public health agencies embrace participatory engagement methods, new opportunities are emerging at this intersection for innovative approaches to population health management. Looking holistically at the roles played by clinical care, public health, and community conditions/resources, several areas hold significant promise:

Patient-Centered Population Health Models: Many pioneering health systems have shifted towards a more prevention-oriented, holistic approach centered around meeting patients' prioritized health needs—including non-medical factors like food insecurity, unstable housing, trauma, and other social determinants. Enabled by new partnerships, payment models, and data integration capabilities, comprehensive "population health management" aims to coordinate customized interventions spanning the full continuum of clinical care, public health programming, and community resource linkages (Gourevitch et al., 2019; Corrigan et al., 2015).

This vision requires overcoming historically fragmented and reactive approaches in favor of proactive, team-based efforts to stratify patient risk, identify priority needs, mobilize tailored interventions, track outcomes, and facilitate closed-loop referral/coordination across the healthcare, public health, and social service domains. Crucial components include comprehensive needs assessments, integrated data/care management platforms, collaborative goal-setting with patients, multi-disciplinary care teams, cross-sector referral networks, and robust community partnerships (Corrigan et al., 2015).

Healthcare/Public Health Workforce Innovations: Achieving genuine integration and community-responsiveness will likely require new workforce models blending diverse skillsets and deployment strategies. Emerging roles include community health workers, patient/community navigators, peer counselors, promotoras, and care coordinators—all focused on culturally concordant outreach, health education, social support, and systems navigation to connect patients with both clinical and community resources.

Housing these roles within multi-disciplinary integrated practice units that collaborate with community partners can facilitate seamless, team-based care transcending traditional healthcare boundaries. Capacity is also needed for community engagement specialists, participatory researchers, and other workforce developers to build health equity competencies and translate CBPR principles into routine practice (Israel et al., 2013).

Population Health Data Infrastructure: Robust data capabilities are essential for population health management, entailing interoperable systems combining extensive patient-level medical/social data with public health surveillance and geospacial community indicators. Capabilities like predictive analytics, AI/ML risk stratification, dynamic visualizations, and secure cross-sector data-sharing can guide proactive outreach, elucidate root causes, and enable precision interventions tailored to each patient's unique circumstances.

Integrating qualitative community intelligence with big data can yield contextualized insights identifying localized upstream drivers and barriers. Participatory data oversight structures involving community representatives in governance can foster transparency, accountability, and equitable use of data for public good (Clinical and Translational Science Awards Consortium, 2011).

Financing and Policy Realignment: Ultimately, sustainable shifts towards prevention and community health integration will require new financing models and policy realignment to incentivize and streamline collaborative population health management efforts across organizations.

This could encompass reallocating funding streams to prioritize equitable community investments, reimbursement reforms rewarding health outcomes rather than clinical volumes, unified community-oriented performance metrics across healthcare and public health sectors, centralized resourcing for cross-sector "backbone" infrastructure,

and regulations incentivizing/governing authentic community co-governance of population health activities (Corrigan et al., 2015; Chokshi et al., 2014).

Conclusion

The imperative to manage population health by addressing both medical and social determinants has catalyzed an intriguing convergence across the healthcare delivery, public health, and community spheres. Regulatory changes, new economic incentives, and growing societal expectations have motivated novel cross-sector collaborative models embracing systems thinking, prevention-oriented care, and participatory community engagement.

At the leading edge, pioneering healthcare institutions are leveraging their institutional resources and community benefit investments to establish authentic, equitable partnerships with public health agencies and community-based organizations. These multi-stakeholder collaboratives are rooted in community-based participatory research principles, aiming to redistribute power, integrate diverse knowledge streams, build community capacity and co-ownership, and implement comprehensive interventions spanning the prevention continuum from early childhood through the social determinants of health.

While promising, these integrated approaches must navigate challenges around establishing shared visions, equitable decision-making structures, rigorous data infrastructures, and sustainable financing mechanisms to achieve collective impact and systems change. Measuring success will require evaluating programmatic results in tandem with empowerment of community partners, demonstrating return on "upstream" investments, and establishing participatory processes oriented towards health equity over the long-term.

Scaling up this model will necessitate paradigmatic shifts within organizational policies, professional training, accreditation standards, and public-private funding streams to incentivize and entrench norms around community partnership, prevention, and collaborative population health management into routine operations. Policy innovations enabling blended financing pools, united metrics, and centralized coordination across healthcare, public health, and community efforts are pivotal to transcending historically siloed, reactive delivery models.

Strategic opportunities lie in areas like holistic patient-centered population health models, deploying culturally-concordant interdisciplinary workforces, leveraging big data capacities, and establishing participatory multi-stakeholder oversight governing healthcare institutions' societal roles and investments. Underlying all these innovations is the imperative to reframe partnership itself—ensuring authentic co-ownership, power-sharing, and centering of community voice/priorities at every stage when tackling complex determinants of health equity.

The successes emerging from healthcare institutions' deepening community engagement offer a vision for healthcare's evolution as an institutional partner reinforcing societal well-being in all its dimensions. Effectively integrating the public health principles of prevention, the community engagement ethos of empowerment, and healthcare systems' resources in durable collaborative partnerships, humanity may yet craft a healing system transcending the limitations of society's historical dualities and power structures.

References

Alberti, P. M., Sutton, K. M., & Baker, M. (2018). Changes in teaching hospitals' community benefit spending after implementation of the affordable care act. Academic Medicine, 93(10), 1524-1530. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000002293

Brotman, L. M., Dawson-McClure, S., Kamboukos, D., Huang, K., Calzada, E. J., Goldfeld, K., & Petkova, E. (2016). Effects of ParentCorps in prekindergarten on child mental health and academic performance: Follow-up of a randomized clinical trial through 8 years of age. JAMA Pediatrics, 170(12), 1149-1155. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2016.1891

Center for Community Investment, Lincoln Institute of Land Policy. (n.d.). Upstream All the Way: Why Pioneering Health Institutions are Investing Upstream to Improve Community Health. https://centerforcommunityinvestment.org/sites/default/files/2019-07/CCI-%20Hospital%20Motivations%20Paper.pdf

Chokshi, D. A., Singh, P., & Stine, N. W. (2014). Using Community Health Trusts to Address Social Determinants of Health. JAMA Forum. https://newsatjama.jama.com/2014/04/16/jama-forum-using-community-health-trusts-to-address-social-determinants-of-health/

Clinical and Translational Science Awards Consortium, Community Engagement Key Function Committee. (2011). Principles of Community Engagement (2nd ed.). https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/communityengagement/pdf/PCE_Report_508_FINAL.pdf

Corrigan, J., Fisher, E., & Heiser, S. (2015). Hospital community benefit programs: Increasing benefits to communities. JAMA, 313(12), 1211-1212. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.0609

Cramer, G., Singh, S., Flaherty, S., & Young, G. (2017). The progress of US hospitals in addressing community health needs. American Journal of Public Health, 107(2), 255-261. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2016.303570

Dear, L. R. (2013). Chief Executive Officer and Community Service Plan Coordinators Letter: Community Service Plan Update. New York State Department of Health.

Duran, B., Wallerstein, N., Avila, M. M., Belone, L., Minkler, M., & Foley, K. (2013). Developing and maintaining partnerships with communities. In B. A. Israel, E. Eng, A. J. Schulz, & E. A. Parker (Eds.), Methods for Community-Based Participatory Research for Health (2nd ed., pp. 43-68). Jossey-Bass.

Fals-Borda, O. (2001). Participatory (action) research in social theory: Origins and challenges. In P. W. Reason & H. Bradbury (Eds.), Handbook of Action Research: Participative Inquiry and Practice (2nd ed., pp. 27-37). SAGE Publications, Inc.

Freeman, A. L., Li, T., Kaplan, S. A., Ellen, I. G., Young, A., & Rubin, D., et al. (2018). A pilot community health worker program in subsidized housing: The Health + Housing Project. Cityscape, 20(3), 19-38. https://www.huduser.gov/portal/periodicals/cityscpe/vol20num2/ch2.pdf

Freeman, H. P., Muth, B. J., & Kerner, J. F. (1995). Expanding access to cancer screening and clinical follow-up among the medically underserved. Cancer Practice, 3(1), 19-30.

Gany, F., Shah, S., & Changrani, J. (2006). New York city's immigrant minorities. Reducing cancer health disparities. Cancer, 107(S8), 2071-2081. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.22155

Gonzalez, R. (n.d.). Movement Strategy Center Facilitating Power. The Spectrum of Community Engagement to Ownership. https://movementstrategy.org/b/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Spectrum-2-1-1.pdf

Gourevitch, M. N., Cannell, T., Boufford, J. I., & Summers, C. (2012). The challenge of attribution: Responsibility for population health in the context of accountable care. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 102(Suppl. 3), S322-S324. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2011.300642

Gourevitch, M., Curtis, L., Durkin, M., Fagerlin, A., Gelijns, A., Platt, R., ... & Meltzer, D. (2019). The emergence of population health in US academic medicine: A qualitative assessment. JAMA Network Open, 2(5), e192200. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.2200

Hacke, R., & Gaskins, A. (2018). Community benefit: Making the most of community benefit dollars. Health Progress, 73-74. https://www.chausa.org/publications/health-progress/article/november-december-2018/community-benefit---making-the-most-of-community-benefit-dollars

Hajizadeh, N., Stevens, E. R., Applegate, M., Huang, K. Y., Kamboukos, D., & Braithwaite, R. S. (2017). Potential return on investment of a family-centered early childhood intervention: A cost-effectiveness analysis. BMC Public Health, 17(1), 796. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4805-7

Horwitz, L., Chang, C., Arcilla, H. N., & Knickman, J. R. (2020). Quantifying health systems' investment in social determinants of health, by sector, 2017–19. Health Affairs, 39(2), 192-198. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2019.01246

Israel, B. A., Eng, E., Schulz, A. J., & Parker, E. A. (Eds.). (2013). Introduction to methods for community-based participatory research for health. In Methods for Community-Based Participatory Research for Health (2nd ed., pp. 3-37). Jossey-Bass.

Israel, B. A., Schulz, A. J., Coombe, C. M., & Parker, E. (2019). Community-based participatory research: An approach to research in the urban context. In B. A. Israel, A. J. Schulz, C. M. Coombe, & R. L. Lichtenstein (Eds.), Urban Health (pp. 272-282). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190915858.003.0029

Israel, B. A., Schulz, A. J., Parker, E., Becker, A., Allen, A. J., Guzman, R., & Lichtenstein, R. (2018). Critical issues in developing and following CBPR principles. In N. Wallerstein, B. Duran, J. G. Oetzel, & M. Minkler (Eds.), Community-Based Participatory Research for Health: Advancing Social and Health Equity (3rd ed., pp. 31-46). Jossey-Bass.

Kaplan, S. A., Calman, N. S., Golub, M., Ruddock, C., & Billings, J. (2006). Fostering organizational change through a community-based initiative. Health Promotion Practice, 7(3_suppl), 181S-190S. https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839906288691

Kaplan, S. A., Dillman, K. N., Calman, N. S., & Billings, J. (2004). Opening doors and building capacity: Employing a community-based approach to surveying. Journal of Urban Health, 81(2), 291-300. https://doi.org/10.1093/jurban/jth115

Kaplan, S. A., Ruddock, C., Golub, M., Davis, J., Foley, R., Devia, C., ... & Billings, J. (2009). Stirring up the mud: Using a community-based participatory approach to address health disparities through a faith-based initiative. Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved, 20(4), 1111-1123. https://doi.org/10.1353/hpu.0.0221

Kwon, K. (2017). The developmental impact of reverse-migration separation on low-income Chinese-American children—provider perspectives. Open Journal of Social Sciences, 5(5), 146-165. https://doi.org/10.4236/jss.2017.55011

Kwon, S., Rideout, C., Tseng, W., Islam, N., Cook, W. K., Ro, M., ... & Trinh-Shevrin, C. (2017). Developing the community empowered research training program: Building

research capacity for community-initiated and community-driven research. Progress in Community Health Partnerships, 6(1), 43-52. https://doi.org/10.1353/cpr.2012.0010

Luke, D., Calhoun, A., Robichaux, C. B., Elliott, M. B., & Moreland-Russell, S. (2014). The program sustainability assessment tool: A new instrument for public health programs. Preventing Chronic Disease, 11, 130184. https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd11.130184

New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. (2017). Epi Data Brief: Mortality among Chinese New Yorkers (No. 91). https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/doh/downloads/pdf/epi/databrief91.pdf

Okazaki, S., Wong, S. N., & Kaplan, B. L. (2017). Strategic collaborative partnerships to improve immigrant Chinese community health: A case study. Asian American Journal of Psychology, 8(4), 339-350. https://doi.org/10.1037/aap0000090

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111–148, 124 Stat. 119 (2010). https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/PLAW-111publ148/pdf/PLAW-111publ148.pdf

Ubhayakar, S., Capeless, M., Owens, R., Snorrason, K., & Zuckerman, D. (2017). Anchor Mission Playbook. Rush University Medical Center and The Democracy Collaborative. https://www.rush.edu/sites/default/files/anchor-mission-playbook.pdf

United States Government. (2015). Community Health Needs Assessments. Code of Federal Regulations, 26 CFR sec 1.501(r)-3. https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2015-12-31/pdf/2015-32592.pdf

Viswanathan, M., Ammerman, A., Eng, E., Garlehner, G., Lohr, K. N., Griffith, D., ... & Whitener, L. (2004). Community-Based Participatory Research: Assessing the Evidence: Summary. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. https://doi.org/10.1037/e439622005-001

Wallerstein, N., & Duran, B. (2018). Theoretical, historical, and practice roots of community based participatory research. In N. Wallerstein, B. Duran, J. G. Oetzel, & M. Minkler (Eds.), Community-Based Participatory Research for Health: Advancing Social and Health Equity (3rd ed., pp. 17-29). Jossey-Bass.

Young, G. J., Flaherty, S., Zepeda, E. D., Singh, S. R., & Cramer, G. R. (2018). Community benefit spending by tax-exempt hospitals changed little after ACA. Health Affairs, 37(1), 121-124. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2017.1028

Zuckerman, D. (2013). Hospitals Building Healthier Communities: Embracing the Anchor Mission. The Democracy Collaborative. https://community-wealth.org/sites/clone.community-wealth.org/files/downloads/Zuckerman-HBHC-2013.pdf