

Behind the Mask: The Hidden Crisis of Operating Room Technicians Facing Infectious Hazards with Inadequate Protection

Majed Saleh Muganid¹, Adel Abdulaziz Ghannam², Rayan Dahish Alomari³, Badr Mohammad Alshammari⁴, Ibrahim Hamad Alanssary⁵, Abdullah Saeed Alghamdi⁶, Ahmed Saleh Alghamdi⁷, Hasan Saeed Al Malki⁸, Saleh Omar Saleh Alsaied⁹

1. Operation Room Technician King Fahad General Hospital Jeddah
2. Operation Room Technician King Fahad General Hospital Jeddah
3. Operation Room Technician King Fahad General Hospital Jeddah
4. Operation Room Technician King Fahad General Hospital Jeddah
5. Operation Room Technician King Fahad General Hospital Jeddah
6. Operation Room Technician King Fahad General Hospital Jeddah
7. Operation Room Technician King Fahad General Hospital Jeddah
8. Operation Room Technician King Fahad General Hospital Jeddah
9. Operation Room Technician East Jeddah Hospital Jeddah

Abstract:

Operating room technicians (ORTs) face significant infectious hazards due to their exposure to pathogens, sterilization chemicals, and other high-risk factors in surgical environments. Inadequate protective measures exacerbate the risks of infections, chronic illnesses, and psychological stress, impacting both individual well-being and healthcare system efficiency. This review explores the hidden crisis of ORTs' occupational hazards, evaluates current protective measures, and highlights systemic challenges. By implementing advanced personal protective equipment (PPE), rigorous training, and integrating innovative technologies such as nanotechnology-based fabrics and AI-driven risk models, healthcare systems can enhance safety and resilience for ORTs, ultimately improving patient outcomes.

Keywords: Operating Room Technicians, Occupational Hazards, Infectious Risks, Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), Healthcare Safety, Nanotechnology in PPE, AI Risk Models, Training Programs, Surgical Safety, Workforce Resilience

Aim of Work:

To investigate the occupational hazards faced by operating room technicians due to inadequate protective measures, assess their impact on individual and systemic healthcare outcomes, and propose innovative solutions to enhance workplace safety and operational efficiency.

Introduction:

Operating room technicians (ORTs) play a crucial role in healthcare by ensuring the smooth operation of surgical procedures and maintaining a sterile environment. Their responsibilities expose them to various infectious hazards, making their role both pivotal and risky. ORTs are constantly at risk of exposure to infectious agents, which can lead to significant health issues if not properly managed. This necessitates a comprehensive understanding of the risks and the implementation of effective preventive measures.

Exposure to Infectious Hazards: ORTs are frequently exposed to infectious agents, including blood-borne pathogens such as hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV, primarily through needlestick injuries and contact with contaminated materials (Berg-Dijkmeijer et al., 2011) (Romero-Saldaña, 2024). The World Health Organization estimates that approximately two million healthcare workers experience sharps injuries annually, highlighting the significant risk of exposure in surgical settings (Romero-Saldaña, 2024).

Health Risks and Preventive Strategies: The health risks for ORTs include allergic skin disorders, musculoskeletal complaints, and infectious diseases due to their exposure to infectious agents, noise, anesthetic gases, and radiation (Berg-Dijkmeijer et al., 2011). Implementing effective preventive strategies, such as comprehensive training in infection prevention and control, is crucial. Inter-professional peer-teaching modules have shown promise in enhancing infection prevention behaviors among ORTs and medical students (Breckwoldt et al., 2019).

Importance of Education and Safety Measures: Continuous education on occupational risks and safety measures is vital for ORTs to mitigate health risks. Simulation-based and team-oriented training can improve understanding and collaboration among healthcare professionals (Breckwoldt et al., 2019). Ensuring a safe working environment through adherence to occupational health and safety regulations is essential for protecting ORTs and enhancing the quality of healthcare services ("Occupational Health and Safety in Operating Rooms", 2022) (Gül, 2021). Inadequate protective measures in high-risk environments remain a critical issue, despite advancements in safety technologies and frameworks. High-risk industries such as manufacturing, construction, and oil and gas face inherent dangers that necessitate robust safety protocols. However, challenges such as complex operations, human factors, and technological barriers often hinder the effective implementation of these measures. Addressing these challenges requires a strategic approach that integrates personal and process safety, technology, and a strong safety culture. The following sections explore key aspects of this issue.

Comprehensive Safety Frameworks: High-risk industries need comprehensive safety frameworks that address both personal and process safety. Personal safety involves the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), behavior-based safety programs, and training, while process safety focuses on managing operational risks like equipment malfunctions and hazardous materials (Akano et al., 2024). Strategic solutions such as risk assessment, technology integration, continuous improvement, and stakeholder collaboration are essential for developing customized safety frameworks that protect the workforce and enhance operational efficiency (Akano et al., 2024).

Role of Technology: Smart PPE solutions, incorporating sensor networks and wearable technologies, offer real-time monitoring of workers and their environments. These technologies can detect external impacts, gases, and temperature changes, providing immediate recommendations to prevent accidents (Sanchez et al., 2020). The Operator Area Network (OAN) system uses machine learning to monitor PPE usage, ensuring proper usage and reducing false positives by about 80 % (Pisu et al., 2023).

➤ **Hazards in the Operating Room:**

Biological risks: pathogens, bloodborne diseases, and respiratory infections in the Operating Room: Biological risks in the operating room (OR) are significant due to the constant exposure of healthcare workers to pathogens, bloodborne diseases, and respiratory infections. These risks arise from various sources, including needlestick injuries, exposure to contaminated materials, and airborne pathogens. Effective prevention and monitoring strategies are crucial to mitigate these risks and ensure the safety of healthcare workers.

Bloodborne Pathogens: Healthcare workers in the OR are at high risk of exposure to bloodborne pathogens such as hepatitis B (HBV), hepatitis C (HCV), and HIV, primarily through needlestick injuries and contact with contaminated materials (Romero-Saldaña, 2024) (Scapaticci et al., 2020). The World Health Organization estimates that approximately two million healthcare workers experience sharps injuries annually, highlighting the need for preventive strategies (Romero-Saldaña, 2024). Adoption of needlestick-prevention devices and clear protocols for reporting and managing exposure incidents are essential measures (Triassi & Pennino, 2018).

Respiratory Infections: Operating rooms are environments where respiratory infections can spread due to the presence of airborne pathogens. Effective ventilation systems and monitoring of air quality are critical to reducing these risks (Olmos & Delgado, 2023) (A & G, 2021). The use of operating room monitoring systems (ORMS) can help in tracking and mitigating infection risks by analyzing data such as airflow and climate conditions (A & G, 2021).

Preventive Strategies: Implementation of disinfection protocols and control strategies to monitor, contain, and eliminate sources of contamination is vital (Olmos & Delgado, 2023). Educational programs and easy-to-follow protocols for healthcare workers can enhance awareness and preparedness in handling biological risks (Scapaticci et al., 2020).

Chemical risks: exposure to sterilization chemicals, anesthetic gases, and disinfectants & Physical risks: sharps injuries, radiation, and ergonomic stressors in the Operating Room: Operating room personnel face significant chemical and physical risks due to their work environment. Chemical risks include exposure to sterilization chemicals, anesthetic gases, and disinfectants, while physical risks involve sharps injuries, radiation, and ergonomic stressors. These hazards can lead to various health issues, necessitating effective safety measures and awareness among healthcare workers.

Chemical Risks: Anesthetic Gases: Personnel are exposed to volatile anesthetic agents like halothane, enflurane, and isoflurane, which, although minimally threatening to the environment, can pose health risks if not properly managed. Nitrous oxide, in particular, has been noted for its potential environmental impact as a greenhouse gas (Kole, 1990). Sterilization Chemicals: Chemicals such as ethylene oxide and formaldehyde used for sterilization are potential reproductive and carcinogenic hazards, capable of causing genetic damage and other serious health effects (Babich, 1985). Disinfectants: Regular exposure to disinfectants can lead to allergic skin reactions and other health issues among operating room staff (Berg-Dijkmeijer et al., 2011).

Physical Risks: Sharps Injuries: The use of needles and other sharp instruments poses a risk of injury and potential infection, necessitating the use of safer instruments and double-gloving as preventive measures (Darius et al., 2016). Radiation: Exposure to radiation is a significant concern, with protective clothing and equipment being essential to minimize risks (Darius et al., 2016). Ergonomic Stressors: The physical demands of surgery, including awkward positions and repetitive motions, contribute to musculoskeletal complaints among operating room personnel (Berg-Dijkmeijer et al., 2011) (Darius et al., 2016).

➤ **Current Protective Measures:**

Analysis of existing Protective Measures in the Operating Room standards and their limitations: Protective measures in the operating room (OR) are crucial for preventing infections and ensuring patient safety. These measures encompass a range of practices, from infection prevention and control (IPC) to the use of checklists and proper patient positioning. However, despite their importance, these measures face several limitations that can hinder their effectiveness. The following sections provide an analysis of existing protective measures and their limitations.

Infection Prevention and Control (IPC): IPC practices are essential to reduce postoperative infections. They include optimal skin preparation, waste management, linen management, and prevention of sharps injuries (Aziz, 2014). Limitations include the non-sterile nature of OR environments, which have both sterile and non-sterile areas and personnel, increasing the risk of cross-contamination ("Evidence-based guideline on infection prevention and control in the operation theatres for anesthetists in a resource-limited setting: systematic review/ meta-analysis", 2023).

Surgical Technique and Discipline: Effective infection control requires adherence to surgical techniques and aseptic principles, supported by education and communication among OR

personnel (Laufman, 1978). Challenges include maintaining discipline and consistent adherence to protocols, which can be compromised by human error and lack of training (Laufman, 1978). **Use of Checklists:** Checklists are vital for ensuring surgical safety, but their implementation is often hindered by a lack of training and human resources, leading to incomplete adherence (Rocha et al., 2020). The absence of a culture of registration and notification further complicates the effective use of checklists (Rocha et al., 2020).

Institutional challenges in maintaining consistent safety compliance in the Operating Room: Maintaining consistent safety compliance in the operating room (OR) is fraught with institutional challenges, primarily due to the complexity of the OR environment and the multifaceted nature of safety protocols. The integration of human factors, reliability theories, and structured communication are essential to address these challenges effectively. However, the implementation and consistent adherence to safety measures such as surgical safety checklists and team training paradigms often encounter significant obstacles. These challenges can be categorized into several key areas.

Human Factors and Team Dynamics: Psychological safety is crucial for team members to feel confident in speaking up about potential safety issues, yet fostering such an environment can be challenging due to hierarchical structures in the OR ("Safety in the operating theatre", 2022). Non-technical skills, including teamwork, communication, and situation awareness, are vital for safe care delivery but require ongoing training and reinforcement ("Safety in the operating theatre", 2022).

Compliance with Safety Protocols: Despite high participation rates in using surgical safety checklists, accuracy in their completion remains a significant issue, indicating a gap between checklist usage and effective compliance (Sparks et al., 2013). Factors such as procedure start time, operative time, and case complexity can affect compliance, suggesting that operational pressures may compromise safety practices (Sparks et al., 2013).

Cultural and Systemic Barriers: Cultural change within the OR is a slow process, often hindered by staff turnover and the tendency to revert to old practices without continuous reinforcement (Hurlbert, 2014). The success of safety initiatives often depends on committed champions within the OR who can drive and sustain cultural change (Hurlbert, 2014).

Specific Safety Concerns: Intraoperative errors, such as wrong-sided surgery, highlight the need for specific interventions like neuromonitoring and structured communication to prevent such occurrences (Rolston et al., 2018). Addressing specific issues like medication errors and postoperative complications requires targeted strategies and adherence to established safety standards (Eichhorn, 2013).

➤ **Consequences of Inadequate Protection:**

Immediate and long-term health impacts of Inadequate Protection on Operating Room technicians, including infections, chronic illnesses, and psychological stress: Inadequate protection for operating room technicians can lead to both immediate and long-term health impacts, including infections, chronic illnesses, and psychological stress. These impacts are exacerbated by insufficient training, lack of protective equipment, and high-stress environments. Immediate health risks include exposure to infectious agents and toxic substances, while long-term effects may manifest as chronic diseases and psychological issues. The following sections detail these impacts.

Immediate Health Impacts: **Infections:** Operating room technicians are at risk of surgical site infections (SSIs) due to non-compliance with infection control guidelines. Observations show low adherence to protective measures, such as proper mask usage and gowning, increasing infection risks (Giudice et al., 2019). **Exposure to Toxic Substances:** Technicians are exposed to anesthetic gases like isoflurane and sevoflurane, which, although within permissible limits, pose health risks

with prolonged exposure. These gases can lead to non-carcinogenic effects and require stringent ventilation and monitoring measures (kiani et al., 2023).

Long-term Health Impacts: Chronic Illnesses: Chronic exposure to anesthetic gases has been linked to serious health issues, including reproductive problems and potential carcinogenic effects. Regular monitoring and improved ventilation systems are recommended to mitigate these risks (kiani et al., 2023). Psychological Stress: Operating room environments contribute to psychological stress, with mid to senior-level nurses experiencing higher depression scores, while junior nurses report higher anxiety levels. Factors such as long working hours and inadequate occupational benefits exacerbate these psychological issues (Ragauskas & Zhang, 2024).

Psychological Stress and Occupational Hazards: Stress and Conflict Management: The high-stress environment of operating rooms, combined with management inefficiencies, increases psychological hazards. Implementing stress management strategies and conflict resolution training is crucial for improving mental health outcomes ("Threats to operating room personnel's occupational safety and health: a qualitative study", 2022).

Implications and impacts of Inadequate Protection for healthcare systems, such as absenteeism, workforce shortages, and increased costs: Inadequate protection in healthcare systems, manifesting as absenteeism, workforce shortages, and increased costs, has profound implications on healthcare delivery and outcomes. These issues are exacerbated by factors such as burnout, inadequate staffing, and systemic inefficiencies, leading to compromised patient care and increased financial burdens. The following sections explore these impacts in detail.

Workforce Shortages: The shortage of healthcare workers, particularly in medicine and nursing, is a persistent issue, worsened by the COVID-19 pandemic. Projections indicate a significant shortfall, with an expected shortage of 400,000 doctors and 2.5 million nurses across OECD countries by 2030 (Galanis, 2023). High levels of burnout and mental health issues among healthcare workers contribute to workforce attrition, with a notable percentage of nurses and doctors planning to leave their jobs during the pandemic (Galanis, 2023).

Absenteeism: Absenteeism among healthcare workers is prevalent, particularly in low-income countries, affecting patient care-seeking behavior and increasing reliance on informal healthcare sectors (Zhang et al., 2021). In Uganda, health worker absenteeism led to reduced public sector utilization and increased out-of-pocket expenses for patients, highlighting the financial and care quality impacts (Zhang et al., 2021). In Western Kenya, the absence of healthcare providers significantly affected maternal and child health outcomes, demonstrating the critical role of healthcare worker presence in effective service delivery (Goldstein et al., 2010).

Increased Costs and Missed Care: Inadequate staffing results in missed care opportunities, potential failures to rescue patients, and increased job stress and dissatisfaction among remaining staff (Simpson et al., 2016). The financial burden on healthcare systems and patients increases due to the need for alternative care options and the inefficiencies caused by absenteeism and workforce shortages (Zhang et al., 2021) (Simpson et al., 2016).

➤ **Role of Operating Room Technicians in Facing Infectious Hazards**

Operating room technicians (ORTs) play a crucial role in mitigating infectious hazards within the operating room (OR) environment. Their responsibilities encompass a range of infection prevention and control (IPC) practices that are vital for safeguarding both patients and healthcare personnel from infectious agents. ORTs are integral in implementing IPC measures, educating peers, and utilizing protective equipment to minimize infection risks. The following sections detail their roles and responsibilities in facing infectious hazards.

Infection Prevention and Control Practices: ORTs are responsible for adhering to IPC protocols, which include optimal skin preparation, waste management, linen management, and preventing

sharps injuries. These practices are essential to reduce the risk of postoperative infections in patients and protect staff from exposure to infectious agents (Aziz, 2014). They must be vigilant in minimizing occupational risks associated with blood-borne viruses such as HIV and Hepatitis B and C, which are prevalent in the OR setting (Colbert & Sheehan, 1995).

Education and Training: ORTs are involved in inter-professional peer teaching, where they educate medical students on infection prevention strategies. This training is conducted in simulated OR settings and covers critical areas such as surgical hand disinfection and preparing a surgical field. Such educational initiatives foster mutual understanding and improve professional collaboration, ultimately enhancing patient safety (Breckwoldt et al., 2019).

Use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE): During the COVID-19 pandemic, ORTs have been pivotal in employing PPE, including the use of the Aerosol Box, to protect against SARS-CoV-2 during aerosol-generating medical procedures. This equipment is crucial in reducing the risk of airborne and droplet transmission in the OR (Bianco et al., 2020).

➤ Case Studies

The hidden crisis of operating room technicians facing infectious hazards with inadequate protection is a significant concern across various countries. This issue is exacerbated by insufficient training, lack of protective measures, and high workloads, leading to increased health risks for healthcare workers. The following case studies from different countries illustrate these challenges and the measures being taken to address them.

Pakistan: A study conducted at Mardan Medical Complex highlighted that while surgeons had the highest awareness of occupational hazards, technicians and nurses were less informed. The study emphasized the need for routine training and reinforcement of safety practices to minimize exposure to hazards (Amin et al., 2023).

Iran: In Iran, a case study during the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated the potential for virus transmission in operating rooms. The use of antibacterial and antiviral filters in the oxygenator exhaust of cardiopulmonary bypass machines was shown to prevent the spread of the virus, suggesting a practical method to enhance safety for operating room staff (Hekmat et al., 2022).

Italy: An observational study in a southern Italian hospital revealed low adherence to international guidelines for preventing surgical site infections. The study found that while most staff wore masks and scrubs, compliance with other protective measures was lacking, indicating a need for improved training and awareness (Giudice et al., 2019).

General Perspective: The global challenge of protecting operating room technicians from infectious hazards is compounded by varying levels of compliance with safety protocols and the availability of protective equipment.

➤ Proposed Solutions:

Development of advanced, more effective PPE tailored for operating room technicians: The development of advanced, more effective personal protective equipment (PPE) tailored for operating room technicians is crucial for enhancing safety and efficiency in surgical environments. Current PPE options often present challenges such as discomfort, self-contamination risks, and communication barriers. Addressing these issues requires innovative approaches that incorporate user feedback, advanced materials, and ergonomic designs. The following sections explore key aspects of developing improved PPE for operating room technicians.

User Experience and Feedback: A study involving Korean healthcare personnel highlighted the need for PPE that is easy to don and doff, well-ventilated, and available in various sizes to enhance user comfort and safety (Kang & Kim, 2024). Common issues include mask dampness, pressure pain from N95 masks, and visibility problems with goggles, which can lead to self-contamination during adjustments (Kang & Kim, 2024).

Material Innovations: Advanced fabrics, such as those developed for slash resistance, offer potential for PPE in surgical settings. These fabrics use composite yarns and knitting technology to provide flexibility, comfort, and protection (Govarthanam, 2012). The use of bacteriostatically-treated polyester/cotton fabrics in operating room garments can enhance protection against bacterial transmission while maintaining breathability (Schwarze et al., 1986).

Customization and Fit: Custom mask frames designed using 3D facial scans can improve the airtight seal of surgical masks, reducing air leakage and enhancing protection against airborne pathogens (Park & Mehrubeoglu, 2022). Tailoring PPE to individual anatomical features can significantly improve comfort and effectiveness, particularly in high-risk environments like operating rooms (Park & Mehrubeoglu, 2022).

Communication Considerations: Layered facial PPE can attenuate speech, impacting communication among surgical teams. Understanding these effects can guide the selection of PPE that balances protection with effective communication (Krishnan et al., 2022).

Implementation of rigorous training and continuous education programs for operating room technicians: Implementing rigorous training and continuous education programs for operating room technicians is crucial for enhancing their skills, ensuring patient safety, and improving surgical outcomes. Such programs should incorporate a variety of educational methods and focus on both cognitive and procedural skill development. The integration of simulation-based education, team-based approaches, and continuous learning opportunities can significantly contribute to the effectiveness of these programs.

Importance of Rigorous Training: Training programs are essential for promoting a culture of safety in surgical environments. They should include mandatory and recommended trainings, such as those for managing malignant hyperthermia and fire safety, to ensure comprehensive preparedness (Chiu & Kinjo, 2020). The shortage of trained technicians, particularly in developing countries, highlights the need for robust training programs to support economic and social progress. Developing indigenous personnel as competent technicians is imperative for sustainable development (MacLennan, 1975).

Simulation-Based Education: Simulation-based education has been shown to enhance learning and performance in clinical settings. It offers diverse tools, such as physical simulators, virtual learning systems, and computer-controlled mannequins, which can be tailored to the needs of operating room technicians (Vahednasiri, 2024). These simulation tools allow for the practice of surgical procedures in a controlled environment, improving the ability of technicians to anticipate and meet the needs of patients and surgeons during operations (Price et al., 2001).

Continuous Education and Skill Development: Continuous education programs, such as those using brochures and videos, have proven effective in increasing knowledge about specific topics like surgical site infection management. However, to improve perception and adherence, ongoing and substantive educational efforts are necessary (Heo et al., 2021). The "A POSitive CARE" approach emphasizes the importance of predicting and addressing the needs of patients and surgeons, which can be integrated into daily practice for maximum efficiency (Price et al., 2001).

➤ **Future Advancements:**

Integration of wearable health technologies to minimize human exposure to high-risk tasks in operating room: Wearable health technologies are increasingly being integrated into operating rooms to minimize human exposure to high-risk tasks. These technologies offer real-time monitoring and data collection, enhancing safety and efficiency. However, their implementation faces challenges such as technological limitations and privacy concerns. The integration of wearable technologies in operating rooms can be categorized into several key areas.

Enhancing Intraoperative Safety and Efficiency: Wearable devices like Google Glass and head-mounted displays have been used to improve intraoperative safety by providing hands-free access to critical information, thus reducing the risk of errors (Kolodzey et al., 2017). These devices can enhance communication and coordination among surgical teams, leading to more efficient operations (Kolodzey et al., 2017).

Real-Time Monitoring and Risk Assessment: Wearable systems can monitor vital signs such as heart rate and body temperature, providing real-time health assessments of operators. This allows for early detection of potential health issues that could compromise safety (Xie & Chang, 2019). In environments with hazardous conditions, wearable devices can detect harmful gases and provide early warnings, thus preventing accidents (Huang, 2012).

Emergency Response and Safety Assurance: Wearable technologies integrated with safety helmets and digital watches can provide timely warnings of unsafe behaviors and assist in emergency rescues, enhancing overall safety in high-risk environments (Yu-son, 2015). These systems can track the working conditions of operators and provide feedback, ensuring that safety protocols are followed (Yu-son, 2015).

Challenges and Considerations: Despite the potential benefits, the implementation of wearable technologies in operating rooms is hindered by technological limitations and privacy concerns. High-quality clinical trials are needed to fully understand their impact (Kolodzey et al., 2017). The development of healthcare-specific applications and privacy-protecting safeguards is essential for the seamless integration of these technologies (Kolodzey et al., 2017).

AI-driven predictive models for assessing and mitigating infection risks: AI-driven predictive models are increasingly being utilized to assess and mitigate infection risks, leveraging advanced algorithms to enhance early detection and intervention strategies. These models integrate diverse data sources, including clinical, genomic, and environmental data, to predict the onset and spread of infectious diseases. The integration of AI in this domain promises significant improvements in accuracy and timeliness, enabling proactive healthcare measures. Below are key aspects of AI-driven predictive models for infection risk assessment and mitigation.

AI Techniques and Algorithms: AI models employ various machine learning algorithms such as Random Forest, Gradient Boosting Machines, and Deep Learning approaches to enhance predictive accuracy and reliability (Thakur et al., 2024). Techniques like Long/Short Term Memory (LSTM), Extreme Learning Machine (ELM), and Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) are used to process structured and unstructured data, facilitating faster detection and treatment of infections like COVID-19 (Gour & Khang, 2024).

Data Integration and Analysis: AI models require the integration of vast amounts of data from heterogeneous sources, including Electronic Health Records (EHR), to perform quantitative risk assessments (Lapitan, 2024) (Mohapatra et al., 2024). The use of EHR data in machine learning models, such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), has shown promising results in predicting hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) with high accuracy and precision (Mohapatra et al., 2024).

Real-Time Risk Assessment: Autonomous biosurveillance systems powered by AI can provide near real-time, evidence-based policymaking and operational decision support, crucial for preventing the transnational spread of infectious diseases (Lapitan, 2024). AI models can simulate scenarios and predict potential future risks in real-time, enhancing the ability to identify and mitigate high-risk behaviors (Sindiramutty et al., 2024).

Innovations in PPE materials, such as nanotechnology-based fabrics for enhanced protection and comfort: Innovations in personal protective equipment (PPE) materials have been significantly advanced by the integration of nanotechnology, enhancing both protection and comfort. Nanotechnology-based fabrics have introduced novel functionalities such as antimicrobial properties, improved mechanical strength, and enhanced comfort, making them

highly suitable for various applications, including healthcare, sports, and high-temperature environments. These advancements are crucial in addressing the challenges posed by infectious diseases and harsh environmental conditions. Below are key innovations in PPE materials utilizing nanotechnology.

Enhanced Protection: Antimicrobial Properties: Polyethylene fibers coated with ZnO-Ag nanoparticles exhibit an antibacterial rate exceeding 99.9%, crucial for preventing microbial contamination and secondary pathogen transmission in medical settings (Zhai et al., 2024). Impact Resistance: Smart non-woven textiles developed through coaxial electrospinning can attenuate over 60% of impact force, providing significant protection in sportswear and other high-impact scenarios (Wu et al., 2024).

Comfort and Wearability: Moisture Management: Nomex nanofibrous membranes with PTFE networks offer excellent water repellency and moisture permeability, maintaining comfort in high-temperature environments (Yu et al., 2024). Temperature Regulation: Smart textiles with radiative cooling effects can reduce skin temperature by approximately 17°C, enhancing comfort during outdoor activities (Wu et al., 2024).

Multifunctionality and Durability: Sensor Integration: Nanotechnology enables the integration of sensors for health monitoring and environmental sensing, expanding the functionality of PPE beyond traditional uses (Idumah et al., 2024) (Sowbhagyam, 2024). Durability: Innovations such as antibacterial PE fibers demonstrate high tensile strength and wear resistance, ensuring long-lasting performance even after multiple washing cycles (Zhai et al., 2024).

Conclusion:

The hidden crisis of infectious hazards faced by operating room technicians highlights the urgent need for systemic reforms in protective measures and training. Existing PPE and safety protocols often fall short, leaving ORTs vulnerable to significant health risks. Advanced PPE designs leveraging nanotechnology, AI-driven predictive models for infection control, and continuous education programs are critical to addressing these gaps. Collaborative efforts among healthcare institutions, policymakers, and technology developers are essential to ensure safer and more sustainable surgical environments. Strengthening protections for ORTs not only safeguards their health but also enhances patient care and operational resilience.

References

- A, W. B. J., & G, G. D. (2021). *Monitoring and enforcing infection safety procedures in operating rooms*.
- Akano, O. A., Hanson, E., Nwakile, C., & Esiri, A. E. (2024). Designing comprehensive workforce safety frameworks for high-risk environments: A strategic approach. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*. <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijmer.v6i10.1657>
- Amin, B., Ali, N., Shahzeb, M., Ahmad, S., Shah, A., & Ahmad, N. (2023). Knowledge and safety practices on occupational hazards among operating room staff in Mardan Medical Complex, Mardan, Pakistan. *Anaesthesia, Pain & Intensive Care*. <https://doi.org/10.35975/apic.v27i1.2119>
- Aziz, A.-M. (2014). Supporting infection prevention in the operating room. *Journal of Operating Department Practitioners*. <https://doi.org/10.12968/JODP.2014.2.3.121>
- Babich, H. (1985). Reproductive and carcinogenic health risks to hospital personnel from chemical exposure--a literature review. *Journal of Environmental Health*.
- Berg-Dijkmeijer, M. L. van den, Frings-Dresen, M. H. W., & Sluiter, J. K. (2011). Risks and health effects in operating room personnel. *Work-a Journal of Prevention Assessment & Rehabilitation*. <https://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-2011-1181>
- Bianco, F., Incollingo, P., Grossi, U., & Gallo, G. (2020). Preventing transmission among operating room staff during COVID-19 pandemic: the role of the Aerosol Box and other personal protective equipment. *Updates in Surgery*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S13304-020-00818-2>

- Breckwoldt, J., Knecht, M., Massée, R., Flach, B., Hofmann-Huber, C., Kaap-Fröhlich, S., Witt, C. M., Aeberhard, R., & Sax, H. (2019). Operating room technician trainees teach medical students - an inter-professional peer teaching approach for infection prevention strategies in the operation room. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S13756-019-0526-2>
- Chiu, C., & Kinjo, S. (2020). *Education and Training: Staff and Facility*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-19171-9_18
- Colbert, S., & Sheehan, G. J. (1995). Human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis--implications for operating room personnel. *Irish Journal of Medical Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02968106>
- Darius, S., Meyer, F., & Böckelmann, I. (2016). [Hazard assessment and occupational safety measures in surgery : Relevant knowledge on occupational medicine]. *Chirurg*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00104-016-0205-2>
- Eichhorn, J. H. (2013). Review article: practical current issues in perioperative patient safety. *Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia-Journal Canadien D Anesthésie*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S12630-012-9852-Z>
- *Evidence-based guideline on infection prevention and control in the operation theatres for anesthetists in a resource-limited setting: systematic review/ meta-analysis.* (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1097/ms9.0000000000000689>
- Galanis, P. (2023). Healthcare Workers Shortage: a Continuous Threat for Healthcare Systems. *Health Review*. <https://doi.org/10.54042/hr2424hhsma>
- Giudice, D. L., Trimarchi, G., Fauci, V. L., Squeri, R., & Calimeri, S. (2019). Hospital infection control and behaviour of operating room staff. *Central European Journal of Public Health*. <https://doi.org/10.21101/CEJPH.A4932>
- Goldstein, M., Zivin, G., Habyarimana, J., Pop-Eleches, C., & Thirumurthy, H. (2010). *The Impact of Health Worker Absence on Health Outcomes: Evidence from Western Kenya*.
- Gour, S., & Khang, A. (2024). *AI-Driven Predictive Model*. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-3218-4.ch009>
- Govarthanam, K. K. (2012). *Development of an advanced personal protection equipment fabric for protection against slashes*.
- Gül, H. (2021). *Occupational Health and Safety in Operating Rooms*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/INTECHOPEN.97223>
- Hekmat, M., Ghaderi, H., Aval, Z. A., Mirjafari, S. A., Hekmat, M., & Tirdad, R. (2022). Do antibacterial or antiviral filters protect operating room staff by preventing COVID-19 virus spread from cardiopulmonary bypass machine during open heart surgeries? A case report. *Journal of Renal Endocrinology*. <https://doi.org/10.34172/jre.2023.20062>
- Heo, Y.-J., Nam, S., & Hyun, H.-J. (2021). The Effect of Educational Training on Surgical Site Infection Management for Operating Room Nursing Staff. *Quality Improvement in Health Care*. <https://doi.org/10.14371/qih.2021.27.2.83>
- Huang, Y. (2012). *Wearable equipment for safety early warning in limited space operating environment*.
- Hurlbert, S. N. (2014). *Improving Operating Room Safety: A Success Story*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-4369-7_31
- Idumah, C. I., Odera, R. S., & Ezeani, O. E. (2024). Exploring polymeric nanotextile devices: progress and outlook. *International Journal of Clothing Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijcst-06-2023-0087>
- Kang, J., & Kim, E. (2024). Experience with Using Personal Protective Equipment among Korean Healthcare Personnel: For the Development of Better Products. *Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ash.2024.278>
- kiani, F., Jorfi, S., Soltani, F., Ghanbari, S., Rezaee, R., & Mohammadi, M. J. (2023). Exposure to anesthetic gases in the operating rooms and assessment of non-carcinogenic risk among health care workers. *Toxicology Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2023.06.007>
- Kole, T. E. (1990). Environmental and occupational hazards of the anesthesia workplace. *AANA Journal*.

- Kolodzey, L., Grantcharov, P. D., Rivas, H., Schijven, M. P., & Grantcharov, T. P. (2017). *Wearable technology in the operating room: a systematic review*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/BJMJINNOV-2016-000133>
- Krishnan, T., Rudy, P., Viswanathan, N., Brommelsiek, M., Bachar, A., & Sutkin, G. (2022). The Impact of Facial Personal Protective Equipment on Speech Intensity. *Urogynecology*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SPV.0000000000001282>
- Lapitan, R. L. (2024). Precognition of Known And Unknown Biothreats: A Risk-Based Approach. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases*. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2023.0169>
- Laufman, H. (1978). Design, devices, and discipline in operating room infection control. *Medical Instrumentation*.
- MacLennan, A. (1975). *Educating and training technicians*.
- Mohapatra, S. K., Kumar, N., Yadav, D. R. K., Ray, S. S., & Sahu, J. (2024). *Developing a Machine Learning Model for HAI Risk Prediction Using EHR Data*. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003489443-9>
- Occupational Health and Safety in Operating Rooms. (2022). <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.97223>
- Olmos, L. M. P., & Delgado, P. E. J. (2023). Chemical and microbiological risks identified in operating rooms of Santander health institutions and their prevention strategies. *Visual Review*. <https://doi.org/10.37467/revvisual.v14.4992>
- Park, J. W., & Mehrubeoglu, M. (2022). Development of a Customized Mask Frame Using a 3D Facial Scan for an Airtight Seal for Disposable Surgical Face Masks. *Journal of Medical Devices-Transactions of The Asme*. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4056506>
- Pisu, A., Elia, N., Pompianu, L., Barchi, F., Acquaviva, A., & Carta, S. (2023). Enhancing workplace safety: A flexible approach for personal protective equipment monitoring. *Expert Systems With Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2023.122285>
- Price, P., Frey, K. B., & Junge, T. L. (2001). *Surgical Technology for the Surgical Technologist: A Positive Care Approach*.
- Ragauskas, A. J., & Zhang, L. (2024). Study on the psychological health status and influencing factors of operating room nursing staff. *Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000038780>
- Rocha, R. G., Batista, D. B. dos S., Pereira, E. R., Almeida, L. F. de, Fassarella, C. S., Tavares, J. M. A. B., & Broca, P. V. (2020). Limitações na implementação da lista de checagem de cirurgia e impactos na segurança do paciente cirúrgico. *Research, Society and Development*. <https://doi.org/10.33448/RSD-V9I9.7089>
- Rolston, J. D., Rolston, J. D., & Berger, M. S. (2018). *Improving Operating Room Safety*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-812898-5.00011-4>
- Romero-Saldaña, M. (2024). *Occupational biological risk in healthcare workers*. <https://doi.org/10.70324/ejohn.v3i1.38>
- Safety in the operating theatre. (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1093/med/9780192846877.003.0029>
- Sanchez, M., Sergio, S., Rodríguez, C., & Manuel, J. (2020). Smart Protective Protection Equipment for an accessible work environment and occupational hazard prevention. *International Conference on Cloud Computing*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CONFLUENCE47617.2020.9058188>
- Scapaticci, M., Bartolini, A., & Rin, G. D. (2020). *The role of laboratory medicine in managing biological risk: proposal for a simple and easy-to-follow protocol for occupational accidents at risk of bloodborne infection*.
- Schwarze, C. P., Rumowicz, E. S., & Colletti, R. M. (1986). *Operating room clothing system*.
- Simpson, K. R., Lyndon, A., & Ruhl, C. (2016). Consequences of Inadequate Staffing Include Missed Care, Potential Failure to Rescue, and Job Stress and Dissatisfaction. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, & Neonatal Nursing*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JOGN.2016.02.011>
- Sindiramutty, S. R., Jhanjhi, N. Z., Akbar, R., Soomro, T. R., & Ghazanfar, M. A. (2024). Risk Assessment and Mitigation With Generative AI Models. *Advances in Digital Crime, Forensics, and Cyber Terrorism Book Series*. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8944-7.ch002>

- Sowbhagyam, D. V. (2024). *Smart Fabric Textiles Using Nanomaterials: A Contemporary Overview*. <https://doi.org/10.47392/irjaeh.2024.0033>
- Sparks, E. A., Wehbe-Janek, H., Johnson, R. L., Smythe, W. R., & Papaconstantinou, H. T. (2013). Surgical Safety Checklist Compliance: A Job Done Poorly! *Journal of The American College of Surgeons*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAMCOLLSURG.2013.07.393>
- Thakur, G. K., Khan, Z. A., Anush, H., & Thakur, A. (2024). *AI-Driven Predictive Models for Early Disease Detection and Prevention*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ickecs61492.2024.10616851>
- Threats to operating room personnel's occupational safety and health: a qualitative study. (2022). *Anaesthesia, Pain & Intensive Care*. <https://doi.org/10.35975/apic.v26i3.1912>
- Triassi, M., & Pennino, F. (2018). Infectious risk for healthcare workers: evaluation and prevention. *Annali Di Igiene: Medicina Preventiva e Di Comunità*. <https://doi.org/10.7416/AI.2018.2234>
- Vahednasiri, A. (2024). Simulation-Based Clinical Education in The Operating Room: A Review Study. *Educational Research in Medical Sciences Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.5812/ermsj-137775>
- Wu, P., Gu, J., Liu, X., Ren, Y., Mi, X., Zhan, W., Zhang, X., Wang, H., Ji, X., Zhao, Y., & Liang, J. (2024). A Robust Core-Shell Nanofabric with Personal Protection, Health Monitoring and Physical Comfort for Smart Sportswear. *Advanced Materials*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202411131>
- Xie, X., & Chang, Z. (2019). Intelligent Wearable Occupational Health Safety Assurance System of Power Operation. *Journal of Medical Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10916-018-1122-3>
- Yu, X., Wang, L., Kan, C., Wang, X., Wu, Y., Wen, L., & Zhou, Q. (2024). Thermostable, water-repellent, moisture-permeable Nomex nanofibrous membranes with a connected PTFE network for personal protective fabrics. *Colloid and Interface Science Communications*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colcom.2024.100782>
- Yu-son, G. (2015). Research on the Power Operation Safety Monitoring Platform Based on Wearable Technology. *Electric Power Information and Communication Technology*.
- Zhai, Y., Su, X., Jia, C., Dai, H., Luo, D., Xu, Z., Li, J., Wang, J., Xiang, H., & Zhu, M. (2024). *Scalable, High-Strength, Wear-Resistant, Antibacterial Polyethylene Micro-Nano Fiber Protective Materials*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4697335>
- Zhang, H., Fink, G., Fink, G., & Cohen, J. (2021). The impact of health worker absenteeism on patient health care seeking behavior, testing and treatment: A longitudinal analysis in Uganda. *PLOS ONE*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0256437>