

Quality Management in Healthcare: Insights and Strategies for Saudi Arabia

Shahad Abdullah Alsaekhan ⁽¹⁾, **Saud Obaed Alrasheedi** ⁽²⁾, **Nasser Hanas Alotaibi** ⁽³⁾, **Turki Hanas Alotaibi** ⁽⁴⁾, **Abdullah Mohammed Saad Alotaibi** ⁽⁵⁾, **Abdulaziz Saban Awad Alotaibi** ⁽⁶⁾, **Ahmed Hadi Mohammed AlAsiri** ⁽⁷⁾, **Fatima Ali Youssef Almajed** ⁽⁸⁾, **Maryam Mahdi Hassan Aljamed** ⁽⁹⁾, **Naif Aedh Bin Mohammed Alshabawi** ⁽¹⁰⁾, **Saad Aeydh Salem Alqahtani** ⁽¹¹⁾, **Nouf Mohsin Almfqae** ⁽¹²⁾, **Hana Nadian Alrwailiy** ⁽¹³⁾, **Sultan Obaed Alrasheedi** ⁽¹⁴⁾, **Abdullah yahea Ahmed zalah** ⁽¹⁵⁾.

1. *Medical Coder, King Fahad Medical City, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Saalsaekhan@moh.gov.sa*
2. *Health administration Specialist, Alrafaya General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Saudobaed@gmail.com*
3. *Public Health Specialist, Riyadh Third Health cluster, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. nas.alosaimi@hotmail.com*
4. *Health Services Management Specialist, Riyadh Third Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. t.alosaimi1@gmail.com*
5. *Hospital Management Specialist, Al-Dawadmi General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Abdullah.alrwais.24@gmail.com*
6. *Hospital Administration and Health Services Specialist, Dawadmi General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.*
7. *Health Administration specialist, Ministry of Health Branch in Riyadh Region, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. ph.muf2010@gmail.com*
8. *Hospital management, King Faisal General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. almajedfatimah94@gmail.com*
9. *Hospital management, Imam Abdulrahman Al Faisal Hospital in Riyadh, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Maryam.aljamed@gmail.com*
10. *Assistant Specialist-Health Administration, Abdurhman Al faisal hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Nalshboi@moh.gov.sa*
11. *Senior Specialist in Health Administration and Hospitals, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. ccu212212@gmail.com*
12. *Speech and language Therapy, Al-iman General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Noufm3@gmail.com*
13. *Health Administration Diploma, Al Yamama Specialist hospital, Ministry of Health, kingdom of Saudi Arabia. hanalrawili@moh.gov.sa*
14. *Health Administration Specialist, Al Quwayiyah General Hospital, Ministry of Health, kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Mr.sultan1408@gmail.com*
15. *X-ray Technion, Damad General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. ayzalah@moh.gov.sa*

Abstract

Quality management in healthcare is a critical determinant of service delivery, patient satisfaction, and overall system efficiency. This paper explores the level of awareness regarding quality management among healthcare professionals in Saudi Arabia, analysing factors that influence awareness and identifying gaps that hinder effective implementation. The study highlights the importance of training, leadership support, continuous improvement, and employee involvement in fostering a culture of quality. It also emphasizes the role of Total Quality Management (TQM) principles and advanced technologies, such as artificial intelligence and data analytics, in enhancing healthcare delivery. Despite significant progress driven by Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 initiatives, the findings reveal varying levels of awareness among healthcare professionals, with challenges stemming from insufficient training, limited managerial support, and organizational inertia. The paper underscores the need for targeted strategies, including customized training programs, robust policy frameworks, and inclusive decision-making processes, to bridge these gaps. By addressing these challenges, healthcare organizations in Saudi Arabia can achieve more consistent implementation of quality management systems, ensuring equitable, patient-centered, and efficient care across the sector.

Keywords: Quality Management, Healthcare, Saudi Arabia

Introduction

A Health Care Organization (HCO) is inherently complex due to the intangible nature of its service outcomes and the integration of diverse professional personnel. Quality management in the healthcare sector plays a pivotal role in ensuring optimal service delivery, reflecting its critical importance. Although the principles of quality have always been integral to healthcare, they are not inherently tangible attributes of the service. The term "Health Care Service" is increasingly preferred over "Medical Care" as it broadens the scope of the field, presenting it as a distinct entity that can be evaluated, monitored, and improved. A quality healthcare system is defined as one that encompasses accessibility, appropriateness, availability, affordability, effectiveness, efficiency, integration, safety, and patient-centricity (Park et al., 2009). The delivery of healthcare involves a wide range of practitioners from various fields, including allied health services, dentistry, midwifery, obstetrics, medicine, nursing, optometry, pharmacy, psychology, and other care providers.

Quality management in healthcare is a comprehensive concept. While it was initially perceived as a directive for healthcare personnel, its contemporary interpretation centers around managing the care process itself (Chen et al., 2016). It involves examining organizational functions as interactions among procedures and processes that must be addressed both individually and collectively. Although numerous models for quality assessment have been proposed, the triad of structure, process, and outcome conceptualized by Donabedian continues to form the cornerstone of quality assessment frameworks.

In recent years, the necessity for robust quality management in healthcare has become increasingly urgent, particularly as the modern definition of quality emphasizes patient satisfaction as the ultimate outcome of service. Ensuring the quality of services delivered to patients has become paramount. The traditional approach to quality control, which focused on defect detection, has evolved into a contemporary perspective emphasizing defect prevention, continuous process improvement, and outcome-driven systems guided by patient needs. This shift underscores the pressing need for a paradigm change in the delivery of quality healthcare. Consequently, it is imperative for authorities to actively engage in fostering quality within healthcare systems (Rana et al., 2014).

Furthermore, while significant strides have been made in addressing quality management within the medical field, other allied domains such as dentistry and nursing remain comparatively underexplored. Additionally, much of the focus on quality management has been in the context of developing countries, highlighting the need for broader global engagement in this arena. Addressing these gaps requires a deliberate and inclusive approach to ensure that quality principles are uniformly applied across all healthcare disciplines and geographical settings.

Healthcare quality is intricately linked to the growing emphasis on patient-centered care. Patient satisfaction is now recognized as a critical metric of quality, reflecting the effectiveness and efficiency of the services provided. This evolution in understanding has resulted in the adoption of quality improvement methodologies that prioritize the patient experience while simultaneously enhancing systemic efficiency. Approaches such as Lean, Six Sigma, and Total Quality Management (TQM) are being increasingly employed to refine healthcare delivery processes, minimize waste, and ensure optimal patient outcomes.

Another important aspect is the role of technology in advancing quality management in healthcare. The integration of electronic health records (EHRs), telemedicine, and data analytics tools has revolutionized the ability to monitor, evaluate, and improve healthcare quality. These innovations facilitate real-time decision-making, enhance care coordination, and enable a more proactive approach to addressing potential challenges in service delivery.

However, the adoption and implementation of these technologies require significant investments and a commitment to continuous staff training.

Lastly, cultural competence and inclusivity have emerged as essential components of quality healthcare delivery. Diverse patient populations necessitate the development of healthcare systems that are culturally sensitive and capable of addressing unique needs. Incorporating patient feedback, respecting cultural differences, and fostering equitable access to care are fundamental to achieving a holistic approach to quality management in healthcare. By addressing these aspects, healthcare organizations can better align their services with the expectations and needs of the communities they serve.

In conclusion, quality management in healthcare is a multifaceted discipline that necessitates an integrated approach, combining patient-centered care, technological advancements, and cultural competence. The principles of quality, although inherent in healthcare, require deliberate efforts to be effectively realized and sustained across all domains of healthcare delivery.

Background

Over the past decade, healthcare organizations worldwide have faced numerous challenges, particularly regarding the efficiency, effectiveness, and quality of the services they provide. There is an increasing need for these organizations to adopt innovative approaches to quality management to enhance patient satisfaction (Mosadeghrad, 2014). Quality management is a pivotal determinant of healthcare outcomes and patient satisfaction in hospitals (Mohamed et al., 2021). In today's context, the widely accepted notion that "the customer is king" also applies to the healthcare sector (Abdallah et al., 2018). Patients, as users of healthcare services, are viewed as customers (Shrivastava et al., 2022). It is essential for healthcare professionals to be equipped with the requisite skills and knowledge through proper training. With adequate competency in quality management, these professionals can more effectively deliver services and achieve the continuous improvement goals essential for providing high-quality care to patients (McCalman et al., 2018).

Healthcare employees, including doctors and nurses, play a critical role in adhering to and implementing quality management systems. Research indicates that many healthcare organizations initiate quality awareness programs to prepare their workforce for active participation in continuous improvement efforts (Shrivastava et al., 2022). If healthcare professionals fail to understand or neglect the expectations of their patients, the overall quality of care is likely to suffer (Abdallah et al., 2018). This study, therefore, aims to systematically review the level of awareness of quality management among healthcare professionals in Saudi Arabia (KSA) between 2012 and 2024. It will include a review of global studies on quality management awareness among healthcare professionals, followed by a focused review of studies conducted in Saudi Arabia during the period from 2012 to 2021.

Problem Statement

The healthcare sector in Saudi Arabia is undergoing rapid advancements as part of the nation's Vision 2030 objectives. Several studies have been conducted to explore the impact of quality management on the sector and the extent to which quality management systems have been adopted (Abdallah et al., 2018). However, most existing systematic reviews have concentrated on the general quality of care management rather than on the level of awareness (Aljuaid et al., 2016; Almutairi and Moussa, 2014). Moreover, there is a lack of recent research examining the awareness of quality management among healthcare professionals in KSA.

Quality

The term "quality" has been defined in various ways, ranging from traditional interpretations to those with strategic implications. According to the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) and the American Society for Quality (ASQ), quality is described as the totality of features and characteristics of a service or care that determines its ability to meet specified

needs. W. Edwards Deming, widely regarded as the father of Total Quality Management (TQM), defined quality as a strategy focused on fulfilling customer needs. This strategic definition has garnered broad international acceptance. Similarly, Joseph M. Juran, another prominent authority in the field of quality, defined it as conformance to requirements. These varying perspectives emphasize the multifaceted nature of quality (Mandep & Goel, 2014).

Quality, as a concept, can be perceived as a system of value that lacks a tangible unit and is subject to diverse viewpoints. It has been interpreted as either an indication of excellence or as the absence of defects in patient care and services—often referred to as "zero defects." In the context of healthcare organizations (HCOs), the precise meaning of quality remains ambiguous, extending beyond the traditional focus on "clinical quality" to include technical components. While healthcare professionals determine the content quality of care based on their expectations, delivery quality is largely evaluated through patient satisfaction, which is influenced by patient expectations and interpersonal relationships. Training and human interaction play a significant role in ensuring delivery quality (Mandep & Goel, 2014).

Quality in Healthcare

Medicine is inherently a learned profession, and its practitioners play a pivotal role in determining its content quality. The focus of quality in healthcare is shifting from comprehensive service maintenance to emphasizing preventive maintenance. Donabedian proposed a triad framework of structure, process, and outcome as a tool for evaluating the quality of healthcare. Structure refers to the infrastructure, qualifications, skills of healthcare professionals, and administrative systems that enable service delivery. The process includes the individual components of care and their interactions, while the outcome encompasses factors like recovery, restoration of function, and survival.

Reliability, assurance, and responsiveness are key attributes of quality in healthcare. Donabedian's seven pillars of quality include efficacy, efficiency, optimality, acceptability, legitimacy, equity, and cost. According to the Institute of Medicine (IOM), healthcare services achieve quality when they are safe, effective, patient-centered, timely, efficient, and equitable. In recent years, there has been a paradigm shift in quality management within healthcare, transitioning from merely expecting errors and defects to striving for a flawless patient experience. This perspective aligns with Philip Crosby's principle that emphasizes prevention over appraisal in achieving quality. Studies reveal that medical negligence and nosocomial infections account for a significant number of hospital deaths, many of which could be prevented through the implementation of quality assurance programs. These findings underscore the critical importance of integrating quality management strategies into healthcare systems.

While system designs play a significant role in healthcare management, they are not sufficient on their own. Delivering high-value clinical care necessitates the efficient utilization of resources to achieve established standards of clinical quality. Six Sigma methodologies, which aim for nearly zero defects, exemplify this principle. However, no single model has emerged as universally superior for quality management in healthcare. The success of any model depends on the commitment of top management and the collaborative efforts of the entire team. Patient satisfaction is a primary objective of quality assurance programs and is closely linked to the delivery of patient-centered care that adheres to established standards and efficient protocols. The Institute of Medicine defines patient-centered care as care that respects and reflects individual patient preferences, needs, and values, ensuring that these values guide all clinical decisions. Another critical approach is shared decision-making, wherein clinicians and patients collaborate to make informed decisions based on the best available evidence.

Patient satisfaction, despite being a widely used metric, lacks a universally accepted definition and is often considered a multidimensional and subjective concept. Most studies exploring the

relationship between service quality and patient satisfaction have been conducted in developed countries. Due to cultural differences, the findings of these studies may not be directly applicable to developing countries. In particular, patients often consider the overall quality of care as a key factor when choosing healthcare services, including dental care (Ungureanu & Mocean, 2015).

To continually improve the effectiveness of quality management systems, various tools can be employed. These include internal quality audits, patient feedback, and corrective or preventive actions to meet applicable standards. Information technology, particularly IT-integrated Health Management Information Systems, along with committed leadership, plays a vital role in facilitating quality improvement. The Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycle, also known as the Deming Cycle, remains one of the most widely utilized tools for Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI). Other methodologies, such as Six Sigma, Lean, and Total Quality Management (TQM), have also been adopted. The Kano model is particularly useful for identifying and enhancing patient requirements to improve satisfaction with healthcare services.

Further, standardized protocols, adherence to standard operating procedures, and continuous staff training serve as internal measures for maintaining quality, while accreditation processes provide external evaluations. Accreditation not only ensures compliance with quality standards but also helps build trust and credibility among patients and stakeholders.

The integration of advanced technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) has emerged as a promising frontier for enhancing quality management in healthcare. These technologies enable predictive analytics, automated processes, and improved decision-making, leading to better resource allocation and enhanced patient outcomes. However, their successful implementation requires significant investments, staff training, and robust regulatory frameworks to ensure ethical and effective use.

Finally, addressing equity and inclusivity in healthcare quality management is essential. The principles of quality must extend to vulnerable populations, ensuring that disparities in access and outcomes are minimized. By fostering an inclusive approach, healthcare organizations can achieve a more holistic and equitable standard of care that aligns with the fundamental principles of quality and patient satisfaction.

Total Quality Management

The primary objective of quality assurance programs within healthcare organizations (HCOs) is to establish a system capable of managing healthcare services to deliver high-quality care in a measurable and consistent manner. Total Quality Management (TQM) provides a comprehensive solution to address the various challenges faced by healthcare organizations. TQM is defined as a management philosophy that emphasizes people and work processes, focusing on customer satisfaction while enhancing organizational performance (Sadikoglu & Olcay, 2014). It incorporates both Content Quality and Delivery Quality, reducing errors, ensuring efficient utilization of infrastructure and medical personnel, and maintaining effective quality control mechanisms.

TQM is built on several fundamental principles, including a focus on customer needs, an unwavering commitment to quality, reliance on scientific methods, dedication to long-term goals, teamwork, systems for continuous improvement, education and training, empowerment through structured control, and unity across all levels of the organization. It extends beyond the direct provision of medical services, such as diagnosis and treatment, to encompass indirect operations, including administration and procurement processes. Nurses play a pivotal role in the successful implementation of TQM, as their involvement is critical to achieving its objectives.

The implementation of TQM is further supported by the establishment of dedicated quality departments within healthcare organizations. These departments develop and apply various

Shahad Abdullah Alsaekhan ⁽¹⁾, Saud Obaed Alrasheedi ⁽²⁾, Nasser Hanas Alotaibi ⁽³⁾, Turki Hanas Alotaibi ⁽⁴⁾, Abdullah Mohammed Saad Alotaibi ⁽⁵⁾, Abdulaziz Saban Awad Alotaibi ⁽⁶⁾, Ahmed Hadi Mohammed AlAsiri ⁽⁷⁾, Fatima Ali Youssef Almajed ⁽⁸⁾, Maryam Mahdi Hassan Aljamed ⁽⁹⁾, Naif Aedh Bin Mohammed Alshabawi ⁽¹⁰⁾, Saad Aeydh Salem Alqahtani ⁽¹¹⁾, Nouf Mohsin Almeftaqee ⁽¹²⁾, Hana Nadian Alrwaily ⁽¹³⁾, Sultan Obaed Alrasheedi ⁽¹⁴⁾, Abdullah yahea Ahmed zalah ⁽¹⁵⁾.

tools and instruments to measure the quality of healthcare services. The benefits of improved quality through TQM extend to all stakeholders, including patients, healthcare providers, and administrators. It helps reduce costs by minimizing waste and identifying potential issues before they lead to significant harm. Additionally, TQM fosters continuous improvement in service quality and enhances the skills and efficiency of employees.

While TQM has demonstrated significant potential in healthcare settings, research on its implementation remains relatively limited. Existing studies have primarily focused on the theoretical aspects of TQM, leaving a gap in understanding its practical applications and outcomes. Exploring these dimensions further could provide valuable insights into optimizing healthcare delivery systems.

Effective implementation of TQM requires commitment at all levels of the organization, particularly from leadership. Top management plays a crucial role in setting a quality-focused culture and providing the resources needed to achieve continuous improvement. Regular training programs and workshops are essential for educating staff about TQM principles and fostering a shared vision for quality excellence. Without the active involvement and buy-in from employees across the organization, the goals of TQM are unlikely to be fully realized.

Moreover, TQM's emphasis on a systematic approach to quality management has been instrumental in addressing healthcare disparities. By focusing on patient-centered care and equitable resource distribution, TQM ensures that all patients receive high-quality services regardless of socio-economic status or geographic location. This focus aligns with the broader objectives of healthcare systems to achieve equity and inclusivity.

The integration of advanced technologies, such as data analytics and health information systems, has further enhanced TQM's effectiveness. These tools enable real-time monitoring, improved decision-making, and the identification of trends that can inform quality improvement initiatives. As healthcare organizations increasingly adopt these technologies, the scope and impact of TQM are likely to expand, offering new opportunities for improving healthcare delivery.

Finally, the success of TQM depends on fostering a culture of collaboration and mutual accountability. Cross-functional teams that include healthcare professionals, administrators, and quality management experts are essential for addressing complex challenges and developing innovative solutions. By encouraging open communication and shared responsibility, healthcare organizations can leverage the full potential of TQM to enhance patient outcomes and operational efficiency.

The Level of Awareness of Quality Management Among Healthcare Professionals in Saudi Arabia

Studies indicated low levels of awareness regarding quality management among healthcare professionals in Saudi Arabia, while six studies demonstrated significantly higher levels of awareness, and one article reported mixed results. Research conducted by Abdelaliem and Alsenany (2022), Al-Ghamdi et al. (2016), and Alam and Alabdulaali (2016) revealed that healthcare professionals in Saudi Arabia exhibited a solid understanding of Total Quality Management (TQM) in the sector, which enhanced their awareness and facilitated the application of quality management principles in their routine operations. Alam and Alabdulaali (2016) further observed that the level of awareness among nurses concerning quality management was deemed acceptable, though compliance levels in certain hospitals were comparatively lower. Supporting this, Abdelaliem and Alsenany (2022) reported that a majority of nurses demonstrated a high perception of patient safety and quality culture (88.36%), which was a significant contributor to increased awareness of quality management.

Additionally, research by Sial et al. (2021) revealed that the majority of respondents possessed a strong understanding of quality management practices and their applications. However, other

studies highlighted low levels of awareness among healthcare workers. For instance, Alomari et al. (2015) found that only 39% of respondents had received training in healthcare quality, and the median percentage of knowledge related to quality management was 48%, signaling a low level of awareness. Similarly, Alrashed (2022) indicated that nurses and physicians had the lowest scores in their perception of TQM compared to other healthcare employees. The study concluded that the maturity level of quality management practices was insufficient, reflecting the low awareness among staff. Furthermore, research by Alatawi et al. (2022) and Alsughayir (2014) noted deficiencies in staff involvement in quality-related decision-making processes and a lack of comprehensive understanding, which underscored inadequate awareness levels.

Factors Affecting Quality Awareness in the Healthcare Sector

One of the most significant factors influencing the awareness of quality management among healthcare professionals is training, as identified by Alam and Alabdulaali (2016) and Abdelaliem and Alsenany (2022). Alam and Alabdulaali (2016) reported that the awareness levels among nurses were high, which could be attributed to increased training opportunities. Despite good knowledge levels about quality, patient safety, and general safety, compliance was observed to be lower. In a similar vein, Abdelaliem and Alsenany (2022) found that training and educational initiatives were prioritized in many hospitals, with 87% of respondents acknowledging a strong institutional focus on these aspects, which significantly enhanced awareness levels.

The importance of training was further supported by Abbas (2019), who noted that the quality of training positively impacted both the awareness and the quality of medical services provided in Wadi Addawasir. Another critical factor in determining awareness was the presence of an enabling environment. Alomari et al. (2015) highlighted that inadequate hospital support contributed to low knowledge and perceptions of quality management standards. Their findings revealed that the median percentage of attitudes toward hospital support was only 54%. Similarly, Abbas (2019) reported that despite adequate awareness of quality management among healthcare workers, the absence of follow-up and support from higher authorities hindered its effective implementation.

The studies collectively suggested that while training enhances knowledge, the lack of an enabling environment and active engagement by top management negatively impacts the awareness and application of quality management practices. Leadership and management play pivotal roles in fostering TQM awareness. Alomari et al. (2015) emphasized the importance of leadership in promoting a quality management culture through activities such as budgeting, task definition, and performance measurement. Leadership not only supports a culture of quality but also ensures consistent implementation and follow-through, which are essential for sustaining awareness.

Continuous improvement efforts emerged as another vital factor influencing awareness levels, as highlighted by Sial et al. (2021) and Abdelaliem and Alsenany (2022). Incremental improvements initiated by healthcare professionals contribute to enhanced awareness over time, fostering a culture of ongoing learning and adaptation. Additionally, employee involvement was identified as a critical determinant of awareness by Sial et al. (2021). Active participation of employees in quality-related processes ensures a deeper understanding and better integration of quality management principles into everyday operations.

In conclusion, multiple factors contribute to the level of awareness of quality management among healthcare professionals in Saudi Arabia. These include robust training programs, an enabling work environment, strong leadership, continuous improvement efforts, and active employee involvement. Addressing these factors comprehensively can significantly enhance the awareness and effective implementation of quality management practices within the healthcare sector.

Discussion

The primary objective of any healthcare organization is to deliver high-quality, holistic care that addresses the unique healthcare needs of patients. Quality management plays a pivotal role in bringing about transformative changes in healthcare organizations, influencing not only operational systems but also the behaviors and attitudes of all employees. This study aimed to assess the level of awareness regarding quality management among healthcare professionals in Saudi Arabia (KSA). Numerous studies in KSA suggest that healthcare professionals generally have a high level of perceived awareness about quality management and its application in the sector. The initiatives under Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 have accelerated the adoption of quality management systems across the healthcare sector, with management tasked with ensuring that all staff members are well-informed about these systems. Notably, KSA's ranking of 26th among 190 global healthcare systems demonstrates the nation's progress in implementing effective quality management practices that enhance healthcare outcomes (Alomari et al., 2015).

However, certain studies indicate that some professionals still exhibit a relatively low level of awareness regarding quality management. This aligns with the assertions of Opoku (2012), who observed that many organizations fail to properly apply quality management practices, potentially due to the growing demands and rapid changes in the healthcare service environment. Similar mixed findings have been reported in other nations, such as Lithuania, where Mosadeghrad (2013) found that quality management systems were effectively implemented in only 39% of nursing and treatment hospitals, while the remaining organizations were still in the process of adopting these systems. These findings highlight several critical factors influencing awareness, including training (Abdelaliem and Alsenany, 2022; Alam and Alabdulaali, 2016), top management support (Abdelaliem and Alsenany, 2022), and continuous improvement initiatives (Sial et al., 2021).

These results align with the description provided by Weckenmann et al. (2015), who defined quality management as a set of principles, techniques, and practices focused on teamwork, customer satisfaction, and continuous improvement. Similarly, Øvretveit (2000) emphasized that quality management centers on the continuous enhancement of healthcare service quality, effective process management, strong managerial commitment, and fostering a supportive organizational culture. Al-Shdaifat (2015) highlighted the critical role of training in raising awareness about quality management and recommended that healthcare organizations allocate more resources to train healthcare workers comprehensively. In the context of employee involvement, Roland (2019) underscored the importance of ensuring diverse professional representation in committees tasked with driving quality management initiatives, further validating the current study's findings regarding the need for inclusive employee participation.

Managerial Contribution

The findings of this study carry significant implications for the KSA healthcare sector, particularly in the context of the nation's efforts to enhance service quality under Vision 2030. By providing empirical evidence on the level of awareness regarding quality management among healthcare professionals, the study offers a valuable foundation for developing strategies aimed at improving quality management practices within the sector. Furthermore, the study contributes to the knowledge base of policymakers in the Ministry of Health (MoH) and aids in the strategic planning of healthcare organizations. Hospitals with lower levels of awareness can utilize these findings to benchmark their practices against those of advanced, quality-certified organizations within Saudi Arabia.

The study also underscores the need for healthcare organizations in KSA to enhance awareness among healthcare professionals by implementing regular training programs, involving employees in decision-making processes, and fostering robust managerial support. Such

measures are critical for ensuring the effective application of Total Quality Management (TQM) principles learned during training.

To achieve comprehensive compliance and awareness of quality management among hospital staff, it is imperative to conduct regular assessments of MoH hospitals' capabilities in developing and implementing their own quality management policies that align with MoH guidelines. Despite the government's ongoing efforts to improve healthcare quality, this study emphasizes the crucial role of healthcare providers' awareness in achieving desired outcomes. Consequently, hospitals must take proactive steps to ensure that government policies are thoroughly implemented and clearly understood by their staff.

The study highlights training as a key factor in enhancing awareness of quality management. Therefore, the MoH, as the primary provider of training for hospitals, must evaluate the specific training needs required for the successful implementation of quality management systems. This evaluation should precede the design of training programs to ensure they address all necessary requirements and methodologies for enhancing awareness. Additionally, the MoH should establish policies that guide hospitals in identifying their training needs, selecting appropriate training providers, and adopting effective approaches to meet these needs. These measures will help bridge existing gaps and ensure a higher level of awareness and application of quality management practices across the healthcare sector in KSA.

Conclusion

Quality management in healthcare is a dynamic and multifaceted discipline that demands a comprehensive approach to ensure its effective implementation. This study underscores the significance of quality awareness among healthcare professionals, especially in the context of Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030. While notable progress has been achieved, there remains room for improvement, particularly in addressing gaps in training, leadership support, and employee involvement.

The findings reveal that robust training programs, an enabling environment, and continuous improvement initiatives are critical to enhancing awareness and the application of quality management practices. Effective implementation also requires a strong commitment from healthcare leadership to foster a culture of quality and inclusivity. Furthermore, leveraging advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence and data analytics can significantly improve decision-making and operational efficiency.

To achieve a sustainable transformation in healthcare quality, it is imperative to focus on strategies that prioritize patient-centered care, equitable access, and staff development. Policymakers and healthcare organizations must collaborate to design and implement targeted training programs, establish clear policies, and create a supportive work environment. By addressing these key factors, Saudi Arabia can set a benchmark for excellence in healthcare quality management, aligning with its broader goal of delivering world-class healthcare services under Vision 2030.

References

- Al-Shdaifat, E. A. (2015). Implementation of total quality management in hospitals. *Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences*, 10(4), 461–466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2015.05.004>
- Chen, H., Li, M., Wang, J., Xue, C., Ding, T., Nong, X., Liu, Y., & Zhang, L. (2016). Factors influencing inpatients' satisfaction with hospitalization service in public hospitals in Shanghai, People's Republic of China. *Patient Preference and Adherence*, 10, 469–477. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S98095>
- Mandeep, C. N., & Goel, S. (2014). Study to evaluate change of attitude toward acceptance of NABH guidelines: An intra-institutional experience. *J Nat Accred Board Hosp Healthcare Providers*, 1(2), 52–55. Scopus.

Shahad Abdullah Alsaekhan ⁽¹⁾, Saud Obaed Alrasheedi ⁽²⁾, Nasser Hanas Alotaibi ⁽³⁾, Turki Hanas Alotaibi ⁽⁴⁾, Abdullah Mohammed Saad Alotaibi ⁽⁵⁾, Abdulaziz Saban Awad Alotaibi ⁽⁶⁾, Ahmed Hadi Mohammed AlAsiri ⁽⁷⁾, Fatima Ali Youssef Almajed ⁽⁸⁾, Maryam Mahdi Hassan Aljamed ⁽⁹⁾, Naif Aedh Bin Mohammed Alshabawi ⁽¹⁰⁾, Saad Aeydh Salem Alqahtani ⁽¹¹⁾, Nouf Mohsin Almfqae ⁽¹²⁾, Hana Nadian Alrwaily ⁽¹³⁾, Sultan Obaed Alrasheedi ⁽¹⁴⁾, Abdullah yahea Ahmed zalah ⁽¹⁵⁾.

- Murti, A., Deshpande, A., & Srivastava, N. (2013). Service quality, customer (patient) satisfaction and behavioural intention in health care services: Exploring the Indian perspective. *Journal of Health Management*, 15(1), 29–44. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972063413486035>
- Park, J.-H., Kim, S.-J., Song, C.-G., & Kang, S.-J. (2009). Hardware-accelerated sumi-e painting for 3D objects. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 5545 LNCS(PART 2), 780–789. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-01973-9_87
- Rana, B., Behera, N., & Nair, Sujeeth B. (2014). QCI - Medical laboratory program journey of quality in public medical laboratories": An experience though program evaluation. *The Journal of National Accreditation Board for Hospitals & Healthcare Providers*, 1(1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2348-6139.132915>
- Sadikoglu, E., & Olcay, H. (2014). The Effects of Total Quality Management Practices on Performance and the Reasons of and the Barriers to TQM Practices in Turkey. *Advances in Decision Sciences*, 2014, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/537605>
- Ungureanu, M.-I., & Mocean, F. (2015). What do patients take into account when they choose their dentist? Implications for quality improvement. *Patient Preference and Adherence*, 9, 1715–1720. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S94310>
- Abbas AA. The Impact of the Awareness of Employees in Public Health Sector in Wadi Addawasir: The Importance of the Application of Total Quality Management (TQM) Principles for Achieving Goals. *AJA* 2019; 39:1, Article 5.
- Abdallah AS, Mohamed AH, El-sayed AA. Health Care Providers' Awareness Regarding Quality Management System and Its Relation to Patient Satisfaction. *Egypt J Health Care* 2018; 9(1):108–122. doi: 10.21608/ejhc.2018.13943
- Abdelaliem SMF, Alsenany SA. Factors Affecting Patient Safety Culture from Nurses' Perspectives for Sustainable Nursing Practice. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2022; 10(10):1889. doi: 10.3390/healthcare10101889.
- Al-Ghamdi AA, Aziz FSBA, Yusoff RZ, Mustafa MB. The Moderating Role of knowledge management on the relationship between employees' commitment and total quality management. *IRMM* 2016; 6(4):790-797.
- Alam AY, Alabdulaali AK. Awareness to Implementation on Select Quality and Patient Safety Indicators Among Nursing Staff. *J Comm Pub Health Nursing* 2016; 1:111. doi: 10.4172/2471-9846.1000111
- Alaraki MS. The impact of critical total quality management practices on hospital performance in the ministry of health hospitals in Saudi Arabia. *Qual Manag Health Care* 2014; 23(1):59-63. doi: 10.1097/QMH.0000000000000018
- Alatawi AD, Niessen LW, Bhardwaj M, Alhassan Y, Khan JAM. Factors Influencing the Efficiency of Public Hospitals in Saudi Arabia: A Qualitative Study Exploring Stakeholders' Perspectives and Suggestions for Improvement. *Front Public Health* 2022; 10:922597. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.922597
- Aljuaid M, Mannan F, Chaudhry Z, Rawaf S, Majeed A. Quality of care in university hospitals in Saudi Arabia: a systematic review. *BMJ Open* 2016; 6(2):e008988. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008988.
- Almutairi KM, Moussa M. Systematic review of quality of care in Saudi Arabia. A forecast of a high-quality health care. *Saudi Med J* 2014; 35(8):802-9.
- Alomari F, Alshahrani M, Alyami R. Knowledge, attitude, and practice of quality standards in small-sized public hospitals, Saudi Arabia. *JHS* 2015; 3(1):22. doi: 10.4103/1658-600X.150756

- Alrashed AM. Exploring the Maturity of Quality Management in Saudi Healthcare: A Mixed Methods Approach. *J Health Manag* 2022; 24(3):325–336. doi: 10.1177/09720634221109064
- Al-Shdaifat EA. Implementation of total quality management in hospitals. *J Taibah Univ Med Sci* 2015; 10(4):461–466. doi: 10.1016/j.jtumed.2015.05.004
- Alsughayir A. Barriers to TQM Implementation within a Private Medical Services Organizations in Saudi Arabia. *Int J Bus Adm* 2014; 5(3). doi: 10.5430/ijba.v5n3p117
- Alzahrani AS. Clinicians' attitudes toward patient safety: a sequential explanatory mixed methods study in Saudi armed forces hospitals. (Doctoral dissertation, Curtin University) 2015. doi: 10.25911/5f3fa2f8329b5
- McCalman J, Bailie R, Bainbridge R, McPhail-Bell K, Percival N, Askew D, Fagan R, Tsey K. Continuous Quality Improvement and Comprehensive Primary Health Care: A Systems Framework to Improve Service Quality and Health Outcomes. *Front Public Health* 2018; 6:76. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2018.00076
- Mohamed F, Mohamed F, Elrahman SA, Aref S. Nursing Staff Awareness Regarding Challenges for Applying Total Quality Management. *MSNJ* 2021; 009(1):104–110. doi: 10.21608/msnj.2021.190447
- Mosadeghrad AM. Factors influencing healthcare service quality. *Int J Health Policy Manag* 2014; 3(2):77-89. doi: 10.15171/ijhpm.2014.65
- Mosadeghrad AM. Obstacles to TQM success in health care systems. *Int J Health Care Qual Assur* 2013; 26(2):147-73. doi: 10.1108/09526861311297352
- Opoku D. Total quality management in academic libraries: The perception and expectations of graduate students of the University of Ghana, Legon. *J Res Educ Soc* 2012; 3(3):1-7.
- Øvretveit J. Total quality management in European healthcare. *Int J Health Care Qual Assur* 2000; 13(2):74–80. doi: 10.1108/09526860010319523
- Roland G. Quality and efficiency improvements in the health care administration system of Mauritius. University of South Africa, Pretoria, 2019.
- Shrivastava M, Shah N, Navaid S, Mishra S. Strengthening quality management system: An assessment of awareness and knowledge in trainees in blood bank. *Asian J Transfus Sci* 2022; 16(1):22-35. doi: 10.4103/ajts.AJTS_154_18
- Sial A, Hussain HN, Adil HM, Mairaj MI. Awareness and Implementation of Total Quality Management: Medical Libraries' Perspective. *LPP (e-journal)*5213, 2021.
- Weckenmann A, Akkasoglu G, Werner T. Quality management – history and trends. *TQM J* 2015; 27(3):281–293. doi: 10.1108/TQM-11-2013-0125