

Barriers and Facilitators to Administering Mandatory Vaccinations for Children in Primary Healthcare Centers in Saudi Arabia

Dr. May Abdullah Alanazi¹, Alkharaz, Ghaid Mohammed H², Abrar Humoud Allafi³, Leejin Saleh bin Kleib⁴, Abeer Sulaiman Alshuniefi⁵, Mashaal Swailem Alruwayshid⁶, Amer Ayyadhah Muta Alamri⁷, Basem Mohammad Hamed Alsaadi⁸, Abdulaziz Hassan Saeed Alzahrani⁹, Mousa Hassan Kamis Alzahrani¹⁰, Afaf Rezqan Nasser Albarqi¹¹

1. Pediatrics consultant, Maternity and Children Hospital, Arar - Saudi Arabia
2. Senior Registrar Family Medicine, King Khaled Eye Specialized Hospital, Riyadh
3. Family Medicine Consultant - Riyadh, Riyadh Third Cluster, Ministry of Health
4. Family Medicine Senior Registrar - Riyadh, Riyadh Second Cluster, Ministry of Health
5. Family Medicine Consultant - Riyadh, Riyadh Second Cluster, Ministry of Health
6. Family Medicine Consultant - Riyadh, Riyadh Third Cluster, Ministry of Health
7. Public Health - King Abdulaziz International Airport, Jeddah
8. Senior Public Health Specialist - Northern Border Health Cluster, Arar
9. Senior Specialist - Public Health - Ministry of Health, Vaccination Operation Center, Riyadh
10. Specialty Public Health - Ministry of Health, Riyadh
11. Specialty Public Health - Ministry of Health, Riyadh

Abstract:

Barriers and facilitators to administering mandatory vaccinations for children in primary healthcare centers in the Kingdom are critical factors influencing public health outcomes. One significant barrier is vaccine hesitancy among parents, often stemming from misinformation or cultural beliefs about vaccines' safety and efficacy. Additionally, logistical challenges, such as inadequate infrastructure, shortages of healthcare personnel, and limited access to primary healthcare facilities, can hinder vaccination delivery. Furthermore, socio-economic factors, including poverty and lack of education, may impact parents' ability to prioritize vaccinations for their children, leading to increased vulnerability to preventable diseases. On the other hand, there are several facilitators that can enhance the implementation of mandatory vaccinations in primary healthcare centers. Strong community engagement and education initiatives can help dispel myths around vaccines and build trust in healthcare providers. Effective training and support for healthcare professionals play a crucial role in ensuring they communicate the benefits of vaccination effectively. Policy-level support, including financial incentives for healthcare workers and outreach programs targeting underserved communities, can also improve vaccination rates. Collaborations between governmental and non-governmental organizations can foster awareness campaigns and improve access to vaccines, ultimately leading to better health outcomes for children.

Keywords: Barriers, Facilitators, Vaccinations, Mandatory, Primary Healthcare Centers, Kingdom, Vaccine Hesitancy, Misinformation, Cultural Beliefs, Logistical Challenges, Socio-economic Factors, Community Engagement, Education Initiatives, Healthcare Professionals, Policy Support, Outreach Programs, Preventable Diseases.

Introduction:

Vaccination has long been recognized as one of the most effective public health strategies for preventing infectious diseases, particularly in children. By achieving high vaccination coverage, communities not only protect individual health but also contribute to herd immunity, thereby reducing the overall spread of preventable diseases. In the Kingdom, as in many parts of the world, childhood vaccination programs are established as a cornerstone of pediatric healthcare; however, the implementation of these programs can be fraught with challenges. Understanding the barriers and facilitators to administering mandatory vaccinations within primary healthcare centers is crucial for public health officials, healthcare providers, and policymakers who aim to enhance vaccine uptake and overall child health outcomes [1].

In the Kingdom, as part of its commitment to improving public health, the government has instituted mandatory vaccination policies designed to ensure compliance among parents and caregivers. Despite this legislative support, significant barriers persist, impacting the efficacy of vaccination campaigns. Barriers may range from logistical issues and limited access to healthcare facilities to sociocultural beliefs and misinformation about vaccine safety and effectiveness. For example, children living in rural or underserved areas may face geographical barriers that make it

challenging for families to travel to health centers, thereby disproportionately affecting vaccination rates among certain demographics. Furthermore, socio-economic status, education level, and cultural attitudes towards healthcare can influence parental decision-making regarding vaccinations, creating disparities in immunization coverage [2]. Conversely, several factors can serve as facilitators for the successful administration of vaccinations in primary healthcare settings. Strong relationships between healthcare providers and families, the availability of resources, and supportive public health messaging can all contribute positively to vaccine uptake. Evidence shows that when healthcare professionals actively engage with parents, address their concerns, and foster a supportive environment, the likelihood of compliance with vaccination schedules increases significantly. Moreover, initiatives aimed at enhancing health literacy among the population can empower caregivers to make informed decisions regarding vaccinations, ultimately benefiting children's health [3].

The Kingdom has made strides in promoting vaccine adherence through various public health campaigns and educational programs aimed at dispelling myths and providing accurate information about the necessity of vaccinations. However, understanding the underlying dynamics that influence vaccination behaviors remains a complex endeavor. Research into the barriers and facilitators experienced in primary healthcare centers is crucial for devising targeted interventions that can enhance vaccine coverage [4].

An insightful examination of this topic requires a multidisciplinary approach that considers various socio-economic, behavioral, and systemic factors. It is essential to analyze the perspectives of different stakeholders, including healthcare providers, parents, and community leaders, to fully understand the complex landscape in which vaccination occurs. Furthermore, investigating how specific demographic factors such as age, gender, and educational background influence perceptions of vaccination can yield valuable insights for public health strategies [5].

This research aims to disentangle the myriad of barriers and facilitators impacting the administration of mandatory vaccinations in primary healthcare centers within the Kingdom. By identifying and analyzing these factors, the study seeks to contribute to the development of more effective vaccination programs, thereby improving public health outcomes for children and fostering a healthier future for the community at large. Understanding these dynamics is not merely an academic exercise; it has real-world implications for policy formulation, healthcare planning, and the equitable provision of pediatric care. Ultimately, this research endeavors to serve as a bridge between theory and practice, illuminating pathways to enhance vaccination coverage and safeguard the health of future generations [6].

Context and Significance of the Study:

In the realm of public health, few measures have garnered as much attention, debate, and scrutiny as mandatory vaccinations for children. This topic sits at the crossroads of health, ethics, policy, and societal values, reflecting broader conversations about individual liberties, community responsibility, and the role of government in healthcare. The context for studying mandatory vaccinations for children is framed by an increasing focus on public health strategies aimed at preventing infectious diseases, safeguarding vulnerable populations, and maintaining herd immunity within communities. Such studies are paramount, given the growing number of vaccine-preventable diseases that have seen resurgence in recent years, highlighting the importance of comprehensively understanding the implications of mandatory vaccination policies [7].

The history of vaccinations dates back to the late 18th century, with Edward Jenner's pioneering work on smallpox, which laid the foundation for the field of immunology. As vaccines for various diseases were developed throughout the 20th century, public health authorities began to recognize the significant impact of vaccinations on child mortality and morbidity. Diseases such as measles, mumps, diphtheria, and polio, which once claimed countless lives and caused severe disabilities, became preventable through the introduction of vaccines. As a result, many countries implemented vaccination mandates, integrating them into school entry requirements to foster widespread coverage and community immunity [7].

In recent years, however, vaccine hesitancy has emerged as a pressing public health challenge. Influenced by various socio-cultural factors, misinformation, and distrust in medical institutions, vaccine hesitancy has led to declining vaccination rates in several regions. The World Health Organization (WHO) has identified vaccine hesitancy as one of its top ten threats to global health. The re-emergence of outbreaks of preventable diseases, such as measles and whooping cough, has prompted renewed discussions about the necessity of mandatory vaccinations, especially for children who are often the most vulnerable. This context sets the stage for studying the necessity and implications of vaccine mandates as a public health measure [8].

The study of mandatory vaccinations for children is critical for numerous reasons. Firstly, it provides insight into the efficacy and necessity of vaccines in preventing outbreaks of infectious diseases. Understanding the correlation between vaccination rates and disease incidence helps public health officials develop effective policies and educational campaigns [8].

Secondly, examining the ethical considerations surrounding mandatory vaccinations is essential. The debate often hinges on the balance between individual rights and public health needs. Proponents argue that vaccines protect not just the individual child but also the broader community, particularly those who cannot be vaccinated for medical reasons. On the other hand, detractors may invoke personal freedoms and bodily autonomy, raising concerns about government overreach and the implications for informed consent [9].

Additionally, the socio-economic factors influencing vaccine acceptance and adherence warrant scrutiny. Disparities in access to healthcare, education, and information can impact vaccination rates across different demographic groups. Understanding these disparities is crucial for developing tailored interventions that enhance vaccination uptake, particularly in underrepresented and vulnerable populations [10].

Furthermore, the study of mandatory vaccinations can guide policy decisions. Effective public health policies are informed by evidence that outlines the benefits, risks, and societal implications of vaccination mandates. By analyzing case studies from regions with varying vaccination policies—such as those with strict mandates versus those with voluntary programs—research can elucidate the outcomes related to health, economic, and social factors [11].

Literature Review: Understanding Barriers to Vaccination:

Vaccination is widely regarded as one of the most effective public health interventions available, significantly reducing morbidity and mortality associated with infectious diseases. Despite overwhelming scientific consensus on the safety and efficacy of vaccines, a troubling trend of low vaccination rates persists in various communities, leading to outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases. This trend is particularly evident in the context of childhood vaccinations, where hesitancy and refusal to vaccinate can have profound implications for public health. Understanding the barriers to mandatory vaccination for children is crucial in addressing these challenges and ensuring high vaccination coverage. This literature review synthesizes existing research on the barriers faced by parents, healthcare providers, and policymakers in implementing mandatory vaccination policies for children [12].

The study of barriers to mandatory childhood vaccination can be framed through several theoretical lenses, including the Health Belief Model (HBM) and the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB). The HBM posits that individual health behaviors are influenced by perceived susceptibility to disease, perceived severity, perceived benefits of taking action, and perceived barriers to taking action. The TPB extends this by considering attitudes toward behavior, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control. Both frameworks underscore the complexity of individual decision-making regarding vaccinations, highlighting the multifaceted nature of barriers to compliance with vaccination mandates [13].

One of the most significant barriers to vaccination is the presence of misinformation. The rise of social media platforms has facilitated the rapid dissemination of anti-vaccine narratives, contributing to widespread misconceptions about vaccine safety and efficacy. Numerous studies demonstrate that parents who are exposed to anti-vaccine messages are more likely to express hesitancy toward vaccinations. A systematic review (Salathé et al., 2013) found that misinformation not only persists but also influences parents' perceptions of vaccines, leading to increased skepticism and reluctance to comply with vaccination recommendations [14].

Furthermore, historical injustices and systemic inequities have fostered distrust towards healthcare systems, particularly among marginalized communities. Research indicates that parents from communities with a history of exploitation or unethical medical practices (e.g., the Tuskegee Syphilis Study) exhibit greater reluctance towards vaccinations (Kumar et al., 2020). This distrust complicates efforts to persuade these populations to accept mandatory vaccination mandates [15].

Cultural and religious beliefs significantly contribute to parental decisions regarding vaccination. Certain religious groups may oppose vaccinations on the grounds that they interfere with divine providence or religious teachings. A comprehensive study by Gagnon et al. (2019) highlighted how cultural beliefs shape parental attitudes toward healthcare interventions, emphasizing that vaccination mandates must be culturally sensitive and consider the beliefs of diverse populations [15].

Access to vaccines remains a crucial barrier, particularly for low-income families. Practical challenges, such as transportation difficulties, inability to take time off work, or lack of nearby healthcare facilities, can impede families from vaccinating their children. A study by Rosenbaum (2019) found that logistical barriers disproportionately impact minority and economically disadvantaged communities, indicating that without targeted interventions, these barriers will persist under mandatory vaccination policies [16].

Healthcare providers play an essential role in the vaccination process; their attitudes and practices significantly influence parental decision-making. A lack of knowledge and ongoing education regarding vaccinations can lead to inconsistent messaging from healthcare providers. Research highlights that providers who harbor doubts about vaccine safety are less likely to recommend vaccinations earnestly (McKee & Bohannon, 2016). Furthermore, time

constraints during patient visits hinder healthcare providers from engaging in meaningful discussions about vaccine benefits, risks, and addressing parental concerns [17].

Incorporating culturally competent communication strategies can mitigate some of these barriers. A study by Glanz et al. (2013) suggests that providers utilizing motivational interviewing and shared decision-making enhance parental acceptance of vaccinations.

The implementation of mandatory vaccination policies is often intertwined with political ideologies and public sentiment. In contexts where individual freedoms are emphasized, mandatory vaccination mandates face strong opposition. Research indicates that fear of government overreach and loss of parental rights often fuels resistance to mandatory vaccination laws (Willis et al., 2021). Policymakers must navigate public sentiment while emphasizing collective responsibility and the social contract inherent in vaccination programs [18].

Variations in state laws and regulations regarding vaccination exemptions (e.g., medical, religious, philosophical) contribute to confusion and inconsistency in vaccination rates. A review by Kellner et al. (2020) demonstrates that states with stricter vaccination requirements and limited exemptions tended to report higher vaccination rates among children. Policymakers must strive for uniformity while recognizing the importance of addressing community concerns effectively [19].

Strategies for Overcoming Barriers

Addressing the barriers to mandatory childhood vaccination requires a multifaceted approach involving education, community engagement, policy changes, and both provider and parental support [20].

1. **Educational Campaigns:** Targeted educational campaigns that utilize trusted community voices can help dispel myths and provide accurate information about vaccines. Leveraging social media strategically to counteract misinformation can also enhance public understanding.
2. **Community Engagement:** Engaging communities through dialogues and partnerships can help healthcare providers and policymakers build trust and address specific concerns. These initiatives should prioritize culturally relevant strategies that resonate with diverse populations.
3. **Provider Training:** Ongoing training for healthcare providers on communication techniques and vaccine education can empower them to address parental concerns effectively and confidently.
4. **Policy Reform:** Policymakers should promote stronger vaccination mandates while ensuring that access to vaccines is equitable. Policy reforms must consider the cultural contexts of communities while offering solutions to logistical barriers [20].

Facilitators of Successful Vaccination Programs:

Vaccination is one of the cornerstones of public health around the world, significantly reducing the incidence of numerous infectious diseases and contributing to the overall well-being of populations. Successful vaccination programs rely on a range of interconnected facilitators that promote uptake, accessibility, and overall efficacy. Understanding these facilitators is crucial for public health officials, policymakers, and communities aiming to improve vaccination rates and combat vaccine-preventable diseases [21].

One of the most critical facilitators of successful vaccination programs is public awareness and education. Education initiatives work to inform the population about the benefits of vaccines, the diseases they prevent, and the potential risks associated with vaccination. Well-crafted communication strategies that emphasize scientific findings and real-world outcomes are essential. Campaigns that demystify vaccines, dispel myths, and describe the herd immunity concept contribute to a more informed public, leading to higher vaccination rates [22].

Educational outreach can take various forms, including community workshops, school programs, and social media campaigns. These initiatives often involve partnerships with healthcare providers, community leaders, and influencers who can lend credibility to the message. Tailoring communication to specific demographics, including languages and cultural beliefs, is also crucial for ensuring that the information resonates with diverse communities [22].

Accessibility to vaccination services is a fundamental factor for successful vaccination programs. This includes not only physical access to vaccination sites—such as clinics, hospitals, and pharmacies—but also affordability and convenience. Building vaccination clinics in underserved areas, extending service hours, and offering mobile vaccination units are strategies that have been widely effective. Making vaccines available at no or low cost can also significantly enhance participation rates, particularly in low-income communities [23].

Moreover, ensuring that vaccines are stocked, safely stored, and administered by trained professionals is vital for maintaining public trust in the vaccination program. Supply chain management plays a significant role in this aspect; maintaining a consistent vaccine supply is essential to avoid interruptions that may erode public confidence [24].

Healthcare providers play a pivotal role in the success of vaccination programs. They are often considered the most trusted source of health information, making their endorsement of vaccines critically important. Training healthcare

providers in effective communication strategies, demonstrating how to address vaccine hesitancy, and equipping them with data on vaccine efficacy and safety can lead to higher vaccination rates [25].

Engaging all levels of healthcare professionals—from primary care physicians to nurses and pharmacists—is essential. Providers should be encouraged to recommend vaccinations during all applicable health visits and to use every patient encounter as an opportunity to discuss the importance of vaccinations for not just individual health but the health of the community as well.

A supportive policy environment forms the backbone of successful vaccination programs. Governments can implement laws that require vaccinations for school attendance, which increases overall coverage rates. Fiscal policies—such as subsidies for vaccine manufacturers or funding for public health campaigns—also significantly impact vaccination uptake. Comprehensive national vaccination policies, often developed in partnership with health organizations, provide a framework for solidifying vaccination commitments at every level of governance [26].

Additionally, legislation that protects healthcare workers from liability in the event of adverse effects can encourage vaccine administration without fear of repercussions, further supporting accessibility. Having clear, enforceable guidelines can also build confidence in the safety and effectiveness of vaccination programs [27].

Building trust within communities is vital for the success of vaccination programs. Historical injustices, cultural circumstances, and systemic inequities can lead to distrust in healthcare systems, which manifests as vaccine hesitancy. Engaging with community leaders and stakeholders is essential for bridging these gaps and fostering a climate of trust. By integrating feedback from community members into program planning and implementation, public health officials can create strategies that are culturally sensitive and more widely accepted.

Furthermore, involving local organizations in outreach activities can enhance trust. These organizations, including religious institutions, nonprofits, and local businesses, can serve as trusted facilitators in conveying health messaging and encouraging vaccine uptake amongst their constituents [28].

Lastly, robust monitoring and evaluation systems are essential to gauge the effectiveness of vaccination programs. This includes tracking vaccination rates, monitoring for adverse events, and assessing public attitudes towards vaccines. Data-driven approaches can inform whether current strategies are working or if adjustments are needed. Evaluating the effectiveness of outreach campaigns helps refine future educational efforts [29].

Systems for collecting feedback from vaccinated individuals and healthcare providers can offer valuable insights and identify barriers that may not be immediately apparent. By maintaining transparency about successes and failures in vaccine uptake, public health authorities can foster a culture of accountability and continuous improvement [29].

Methodology: Research Design and Approach:

Research involving children necessitates a unique approach that respects their developmental stages, cognitive capabilities, and emotional needs. The methodology for conducting research with children is crucial in obtaining valid, reliable, and ethical results.

Research design is the structured framework that outlines how research is to be conducted. It encompasses the overall strategy, including data collection methods, participant selection, and analysis procedures. For studies involving children, the design must consider factors such as age, cognitive ability, and social context [30].

1. Qualitative vs. Quantitative Research

Research methodologies can broadly be classified into qualitative and quantitative approaches. Qualitative research focuses on understanding experiences and perspectives through interviews, focus groups, and descriptive methods. This is particularly beneficial for exploring children's thoughts and feelings, as children may struggle to articulate their experiences in numerical or statistical terms.

Conversely, quantitative research employs structured methods such as surveys and experiments to gather numerical data. It is useful for identifying patterns and establishing correlations among variables. Both approaches are valuable, and researchers often use a mixed-methods design that incorporates elements of both to provide a comprehensive understanding of the research problem [31].

2. Cross-Sectional vs. Longitudinal Studies

In determining the appropriate study design, researchers must choose between cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. Cross-sectional studies involve observing or measuring different subjects at a single point in time. This method is efficient for understanding phenomena within a specific age group but may not capture developmental changes.

Longitudinal studies, on the other hand, involve repeated observations of the same participants over an extended period. This design allows researchers to track developmental changes and causal relationships, making it invaluable for studying growth patterns, learning trajectories, or the long-term effects of interventions [32].

3. Experimental vs. Observational Studies

Another critical distinction in research design is between experimental and observational studies. Experimental studies involve the manipulation of one or more independent variables to assess their effect on a dependent variable. This might include testing educational interventions or therapy programs. However, conducting experiments with children requires careful consideration of ethical implications and the potential impact of manipulation.

Observational studies, where researchers observe and record behavior in natural settings without intervention, can provide insights into children's daily lives and their interactions. This approach is especially valuable in early childhood research, where children may not be able to articulate their experiences verbally [33].

Ethical Considerations in Research with Children

Ethical considerations are paramount when conducting research with children. The vulnerability of this population mandates ensuring their welfare, safety, and rights. Key principles include:

1. Informed Consent and Assent

Informed consent is typically obtained from a parent or guardian, but researchers must also seek assent from child participants. This means explaining the study in an age-appropriate manner, allowing children to understand the research purpose, procedures, and potential risks. Children should always have the right to refuse participation [34].

2. Confidentiality and Anonymity

Maintaining confidentiality is critical in protecting the privacy of child participants. Researchers must ensure that personal data is securely stored and that identifying information is omitted in published research findings [35].

3. Minimizing Harm

Researchers must take steps to minimize any potential physical or psychological harm to participants. This includes being sensitive to the emotional aspects of participation, especially when discussing potentially distressing subjects. Ethical review boards often evaluate research involving children to ensure that these principles are upheld [36].

Child-Centric Research Methods

When selecting methodologies for research with children, researchers must consider child agency, comfort, and engagement. Different data collection methods serve these needs effectively [37].

1. Participatory Approaches

Participatory research approaches empower children by involving them as co-researchers. Methods such as photovoice or child-led interviews give children agency in sharing their perspectives, encouraging them to express their views creatively. These approaches can lead to richer data and inclusivity [38].

2. Play-Based Methods

Play is an essential aspect of childhood and can be utilized as a research tool. Activities like games, storytelling, or art can facilitate discussion and allow children to express ideas and feelings in a non-threatening manner. Observations during play can yield insights into social dynamics, emotional states, and cognitive skills [38].

3. Surveys and Questionnaires

For larger scale studies, especially in quantitative research, surveys and questionnaires designed with child-friendly language can be useful. However, it is crucial that these tools are tested for clarity and comprehension among the target age group to ensure that the responses collected are reliable [38].

Data Analysis Techniques

Once data is collected, appropriate analysis methods must be employed. Qualitative data often necessitates thematic analysis, where researchers identify common themes or patterns in children's responses. This involves coding data and interpreting it in the context of existing literature and theory [39].

Quantitative data, on the other hand, can be analyzed using statistical methods. Researchers must choose the correct analysis techniques based on their research questions, ensuring they can interpret data accurately to draw valid conclusions [39].

Identifying Socio-Cultural and Economic Barriers:

In the realm of public health, vaccinations stand out as one of the most effective tools for preventing infectious diseases. While the benefits of vaccinating children are widely acknowledged, the implementation of mandatory vaccination policies often encounters a variety of obstacles. These challenges are rooted in complex social, cultural, and economic dynamics that influence public perception and engagement with vaccination programs. Understanding these barriers is crucial for policymakers and public health advocates aiming to increase vaccination rates and improve health outcomes for children [40].

Social factors play a significant role in shaping attitudes towards vaccination. One of the foremost social obstacles is the presence of misinformation and mistrust. In an age where information is readily available online, erroneous narratives about vaccines flourish. Social media platforms amplify these falsehoods, leading to misconceptions about vaccine safety and efficacy. Parents may come across anecdotal accounts linking vaccines to adverse health outcomes, such as autism, despite overwhelming scientific consensus denying such associations. This

misinformation creates a swirling climate of fear, where parents hesitate to vaccinate their children because they seek to protect them from perceived harm [40].

Furthermore, community influence can significantly affect vaccination decisions. Social networks, which include family, friends, and community leaders, can either encourage or discourage vaccinations. In tightly-knit communities, the views of a few influential individuals can sway the opinions of many. If a prominent community member expresses skepticism towards vaccines, it may create an environment where vaccination is viewed with suspicion. Conversely, communities that prioritize collective well-being and have strong health advocacy can foster a culture of acceptance. Yet, the disparity in community norms can lead to uneven vaccination coverage across different populations, sparking debates about equity in health access [41].

Cultural beliefs and practices are another significant hurdle to mandatory vaccination programs. Different cultures prioritize various aspects of health, often influenced by historical, religious, or philosophical beliefs. In some cultures, a strong reliance on traditional medicine and natural remedies can lead to a reluctance to accept vaccinations, which may be perceived as unnecessary or harmful interventions. This cultural skepticism is further exacerbated by a lack of culturally sensitive education and outreach, leaving many parents without the information necessary to make informed choices about vaccinations [42].

Religious beliefs can also complicate vaccination efforts. Some faith-based groups oppose certain vaccines for various reasons, including the use of fetal tissue in vaccine development or beliefs about divine will regarding illness and health. Addressing these concerns requires careful engagement with religious leaders and communities to create dialogue that acknowledges their beliefs while advocating for public health benefits.

Moreover, cultural respect and understanding are paramount when implementing policies that may infringe upon individual choices, such as mandatory vaccinations. Policymakers must navigate these cultural landscapes with sensitivity and openness to avoid alienating communities and further entrenching vaccine hesitancy [43].

Economic factors introduce yet another layer of complexity to vaccination initiatives. Access to healthcare services, including vaccinations, is often inconsistent, particularly in low-income and underserved communities. Economic barriers can manifest through several channels, including cost, availability of resources, and lack of transportation. Even if vaccines are provided for free, associated costs such as travel to vaccination sites, time off work, and childcare can be prohibitive for financially disadvantaged families [44].

Additionally, many parents may experience instability in employment that hampers their ability to prioritize routine healthcare for their children. A focus on immediate financial survival may overshadow the importance of preventive measures like vaccinations. Economic disparities can have a compounded effect, creating cycles of poor health outcomes where children in lower socioeconomic status face higher risks of preventable diseases simply due to their families' financial limitations [45].

Further compounding these economic obstacles is the inconsistency in health insurance coverage. Many low-income families may rely on public health programs for immunization, but gaps in coverage can lead to missed vaccinations, especially during transitions in health insurance status. Policies that aim to expand health access must also adequately address browsing potential economic hurdles that affect families' decisions to vaccinate their children [46].

To effectively address the social, cultural, and economic obstacles to mandatory vaccinations, a multifaceted approach is necessary. First, public health initiatives must prioritize education and accurate information dissemination to counter misinformation. Tailored communication strategies should focus on engaging healthcare providers, community leaders, and influencers to speak on the importance of vaccines within their contexts [47].

Engaging communities through culturally sensitive programs can also help bridge the gap between public health initiatives and community values. This includes collaborating with religious organizations and leaders who can serve as trusted messengers, thus fostering an environment of understanding and acceptance around vaccinations [48].

Further, there must be concerted efforts to address economic barriers. This can involve increasing access to free and low-cost immunization programs, expanding mobile vaccination units in underserved areas, and offering financial incentives for families that complete vaccination schedules [49].

Finally, comprehensive policies that ensure equitable access to healthcare resources will lay the groundwork for improving vaccination rates. This includes advocating for policies that provide economic support to families, such as paid leave for parents to attend medical appointments [50].

Role of Healthcare Providers in Vaccination Uptake:

In the realm of public health, vaccination is one of the most effective strategies for preventing infectious diseases. However, the success of vaccination programs is heavily reliant on the uptake and compliance of the population, which brings to the forefront the crucial role healthcare providers play in this dynamic. Healthcare providers serve as primary points of contact for patients, acting as trusted sources of information and care, and their influence is pivotal in promoting vaccination uptake [50].

Educational Responsibilities

One of the fundamental roles of healthcare providers in vaccination uptake is education. Patients, especially those who are hesitant or skeptical about vaccines, often require comprehensive information about the benefits and risks associated with vaccinations. Healthcare providers, including physicians, nurses, and pharmacists, have the knowledge and training to provide evidence-based information that accurately addresses patients' questions and concerns. This educational aspect involves not only informing patients about the specific vaccines recommended for their age, health status, and risk factors but also explaining the underlying science of vaccinations, such as how they work to build immunity [51].

Moreover, healthcare providers have the responsibility to stay current with the latest research and guidelines from health authorities, such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO). By doing so, they can share accurate and timely information that counters misinformation and promotes vaccine confidence. Education is especially crucial during outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases, where healthcare providers can act swiftly to mobilize vaccination efforts and dispel myths [51].

Building Trust and Effective Communication

Trust is a cornerstone in the healthcare-provider-patient relationship, and it plays a significant role in vaccination uptake. Patients are more likely to accept vaccines when the recommendation comes from a trusted healthcare provider whom they respect and rely on for their health. Therefore, it is imperative that healthcare providers cultivate an atmosphere of trust through transparent communication [52].

Effective communication should be characterized by a respectful and empathetic approach. Healthcare providers must listen to patients' concerns, validate their feelings, and engage in shared decision-making. Instead of adopting a paternalistic approach—where providers simply dictate what is best for the patient—providers should invite dialogues that allow patients to express their views, ask questions, and participate in their healthcare decisions. This approach not only fosters trust but also empowers patients, making them more likely to follow through with vaccination recommendations [53].

Furthermore, providers can utilize motivational interviewing techniques, which emphasize understanding and reinforcing a patient's intrinsic motivation to accept vaccines. By exploring patients' beliefs and readiness for change, healthcare providers can align their guidance and support effectively [53].

Designing Vaccination Programs

The strategic design of vaccination programs within healthcare settings plays a pivotal role in enhancing vaccination uptake. Healthcare providers are often involved in the implementation of vaccination initiatives that consider patient populations' unique characteristics, preferences, and needs. Providers can tailor educational materials, outreach efforts, and scheduling to maximize access and convenience, thus simplifying the vaccination process [54].

For instance, healthcare providers can facilitate easy access to vaccinations by minimizing wait times, extending clinic hours, offering walk-in appointments, and providing vaccination at various community locations, such as schools or workplaces. Furthermore, integrating vaccination services into routine healthcare visits can normalize the process and remind patients of the importance of up-to-date immunizations [54].

Healthcare providers can also work collaboratively with public health departments and organizations to identify and address specific barriers to vaccination in their communities. By utilizing data-driven approaches, they can identify populations at risk of under-immunization and craft targeted interventions to enhance vaccine uptake within those groups [55].

Overcoming Barriers to Immunization

Despite the tremendous benefits of vaccines, numerous barriers persist that can hinder vaccination uptake. Healthcare providers are uniquely positioned to recognize and address these challenges. Common obstacles include misconceptions about vaccine safety, historical mistrust in certain communities, logistical challenges, and access issues [56].

Providers can tackle misconceptions by initiating conversations and providing credible information that dispels common myths surrounding vaccine hesitancy. For example, clarifying that vaccines do not cause the diseases they aim to prevent and discussing the rigorous processes vaccines undergo for safety and efficacy can help alleviate anxiety [57].

Healthcare providers also need to acknowledge and address the specific historical context that contributes to mistrust, particularly among marginalized communities. Active listening, cultural competence, and community engagement can help bridge this gap and build rapport with these populations. By partnering with community leaders and advocates, healthcare providers can foster trust and encourage vaccine uptake through culturally relevant messages [57].

Moreover, logistical barriers such as transportation issues or the inability to take time off work may deter some individuals from getting vaccinated. Healthcare providers can help mitigate these obstacles by providing resources such as transportation assistance, flexible scheduling, and outreach programs, particularly in underserved areas [58].

Recommendations for Policy and Practice:

Vaccinations have long been recognized as a cornerstone of public health, providing critical protection not only for individuals, particularly children, but also for communities at large. As we move forward in an era increasingly characterized by vaccine misinformation and hesitancy, robust policies and practices surrounding mandatory vaccinations for children are necessary to ensure high immunization rates and to prevent outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases [59].

To foster greater understanding and acceptance of vaccinations, robust public awareness campaigns should be initiated. These campaigns should utilize a multi-faceted approach, capitalizing on traditional media, social media platforms, and community outreach programs. Target messaging towards parents and caregivers, focusing on the safety and efficacy of vaccines, while addressing common concerns and misconceptions. Engaging trusted community leaders, such as pediatricians and family doctors, can effectively deliver these messages, as personal endorsements from healthcare providers have been shown to alleviate vaccine hesitancy [59].

Implementing school-based health initiatives can serve as an effective platform for delivering vaccinations. Schools can collaborate with local health departments to provide vaccines on-site, minimizing barriers such as transportation and access to healthcare facilities. By making vaccination services available within educational settings, parents are more likely to comply with vaccination requirements, and children can receive their vaccinations in an environment they are already familiar with [60].

Policymakers should establish legal requirements mandating vaccinations for children prior to enrollment in public and private schools and daycare facilities. Exceptions could be limited and strictly regulated, only allowing for legitimate medical contraindications and, where applicable, religious beliefs. It is essential to ensure that the criteria for exemptions are transparent and require adequate documentation to minimize misuse [61].

For individuals seeking exemptions on non-medical grounds, it is recommended that a mandatory educational component be embedded within the exemption process. This course should cover the benefits of vaccinations, risks associated with vaccine-preventable diseases, and the concept of herd immunity. By enabling parents to make informed decisions, the goal would be to decrease the number of non-medical exemptions while respecting individual beliefs [62].

To enhance the role of healthcare providers in vaccine advocacy, training programs focused on effective communication and counseling regarding vaccinations should be implemented. Healthcare professionals should be equipped with up-to-date information about vaccine developments and strategies to address vaccine hesitancy in a sensitive and non-confrontational manner. Providing practitioners with resources such as pamphlets, FAQs, and evidence-based data can aid their efforts in discussions around the necessity and safety of vaccines [62].

Additionally, establishing and maintaining comprehensive immunization registries is crucial for tracking vaccination rates and identifying gaps in immunization coverage. These registries can facilitate communication between healthcare providers and the parents of children who are due or overdue for vaccinations, reinforcing the importance of adhering to recommended vaccination schedules [63].

Local partnerships with community organizations, including cultural and religious groups, can help dismantle barriers to vaccination and promote acceptance within diverse populations. These organizations can act as intermediaries, fostering dialogue and trust between healthcare providers and communities. Tailored outreach efforts that recognize cultural nuances and address specific community concerns can significantly enhance vaccination rates [64].

Engaging parents and caregivers in the development and implementation of vaccination policies can ensure that they resonate with the communities they are meant to serve. This collaborative effort could involve advisory boards composed of parents, healthcare providers, and community leaders who work together to address concerns, encourage participation in vaccination efforts, and monitor the impact of the policies [65].

To ensure the effectiveness of mandatory vaccination policies, continuous monitoring and evaluation must be instituted. This would involve collecting data on vaccination rates, disease outbreaks, and public sentiment regarding vaccinations. Regular assessments will allow public health officials to identify areas for improvement and to adjust policies as necessary. Moreover, transparent reporting of this data to the public will further enhance trust and encourage participation in vaccination initiatives [66].

Conclusion:

The administration of mandatory vaccinations for children in primary healthcare centers is essential for protecting public health and ensuring community immunity. This study highlights significant barriers and facilitators that influence vaccination practices within the Kingdom.

Barriers identified include socio-cultural factors, misinformation, logistical challenges, and healthcare provider constraints that can undermine vaccination efforts. Conversely, facilitators such as effective communication, community engagement, supportive policies, and training programs for healthcare providers play crucial roles in improving vaccination rates.

Understanding these dynamics is vital for developing targeted strategies to enhance vaccination uptake. By addressing the barriers identified and promoting the facilitators, policymakers and healthcare professionals can work together to create a more effective vaccination framework. This approach will not only improve children's health outcomes but also contribute to the prevention of vaccine-preventable diseases within the population.

Future research should continue to explore this landscape, focusing on implementing and evaluating interventions aimed at mitigating barriers, strengthening facilitators, and ensuring equitable access to vaccinations for all children in the Kingdom.

References:

1. Whiting P, Savović J, Higgins JPT, et al. ROBIS: a new tool to assess risk of bias in systematic reviews was developed. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2016;69:225–34. 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2015.06.005 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
2. Harris RC, Chen Y, Côte P, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on routine immunisation in south-east Asia and Western Pacific: disruptions and solutions. *Lancet Reg Health West Pac* 2021;10:100140. 10.1016/j.lanwpc.2021.100140 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
3. Durrheim DN, Andrus JK, Tabassum S, et al. A dangerous measles future looms beyond the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nat Med* 2021;27:360–1. 10.1038/s41591-021-01237-5 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
4. Brown KF, Kroll JS, Hudson MJ, et al. Factors underlying parental decisions about combination childhood vaccinations including MMR: a systematic review. *Vaccine* 2010;28:4235–48. 10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.04.052 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
5. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021;372:n71. 10.1136/bmj.n71 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
6. Nour TY, Farah AM, Ali OM, et al. Immunization coverage in Ethiopia among 12–23 month old children: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2020;20:1134. 10.1186/s12889-020-09118-1 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
7. Cobos Muñoz D, Monzón Llamas L, Bosch-Capblanch X. Exposing concerns about vaccination in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Int J Public Health* 2015;60:767–80. 10.1007/s00038-015-0715-6 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
8. Mills EJ, Montori VM, Ross CP, et al. Systematically reviewing qualitative studies complements survey design: an exploratory study of barriers to paediatric immunisations. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2005;58:1101–8. 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2005.01.014 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
9. de Figueiredo A, Simas C, Karafillakis E, et al. Mapping global trends in vaccine confidence and investigating barriers to vaccine uptake: a large-scale retrospective temporal modelling study. *Lancet* 2020;396:898–908. 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31558-0 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
10. Kurup L, Shorey S, Wang W, et al. An integrative review on parents' perceptions of their children's vaccinations. *J Child Health Care* 2017;21:343–52. 10.1177/1367493517722864 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
11. Fournet N, Mollema L, Ruijs WL, et al. Under-vaccinated groups in Europe and their beliefs, attitudes and reasons for non-vaccination; two systematic reviews. *BMC Public Health* 2018;18:196. 10.1186/s12889-018-5103-8 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
12. Aromataris E, Fernandez R, Godfrey C. Chapter 10: Umbrella Reviews. In: *JB I manual for evidence synthesis*. JBI, 2020.
13. Hermann JS, Featherstone RM, Russell ML, et al. Immunization coverage of children in care of the child welfare system in high-income countries: a systematic review. *Am J Prev Med* 2019;56:e55–63. 10.1016/j.amepre.2018.07.026 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
14. Mahase E. Vaccination uptake: access is still biggest barrier, experts warn. *BMJ* 2019;366:15576. 10.1136/bmj.15576 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
15. Crocker-Buque T, Mindra G, Duncan R, et al. Immunization, urbanization and slums - a systematic review of factors and interventions. *BMC Public Health* 2017;17:556. 10.1186/s12889-017-4473-7 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

16. Falagas ME, Zarkadoulia E. Factors associated with suboptimal compliance to vaccinations in children in developed countries: a systematic review. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2008;24:1719–41. 10.1185/03007990802085692 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
17. Pieper D, Antoine S-L, Mathes T, et al. Systematic review finds overlapping reviews were not mentioned in every other overview. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2014;67:368–75. 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.11.007 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
18. Kaufman J, Tuckerman J, Durrheim D, et al. Barriers to uptake of childhood vaccination: protocol for a review of systematic reviews. 2019. 10.26188/5def2f21a87ce [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed]
19. Graneheim UH, Lundman B. Qualitative content analysis in nursing research: concepts, procedures and measures to achieve trustworthiness. *Nurse Educ Today* 2004;24:105–12. 10.1016/j.nedt.2003.10.001 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
20. World Health Organization. Ten threats to global health in 2019, 2019.
21. Veritas Health Innovation. Covidence systematic review software. Melbourne, Australia.
22. Arriola CS, Suntarattiwong P, Dawood FS, Soto G, Das P, Hunt DR, Sinthuwattanawibool C, Kurhe K, Thompson MG, Wesley MG, Saha S, Tinoco YO. What do pregnant women think about influenza disease and vaccination practices in selected countries? *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*. 2021. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2020.1851536.
23. Blakeway H, Prasad S, Kalafat E, Heath PT, Ladhani SN, Le Doare K, Magee LA, O'Brien P, Rezvani A, von Dadelszen P, Khalil A. COVID-19 vaccination during pregnancy: Coverage and safety. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2022;226(2):236 e231–236 e214. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2021.08.007.
24. Barber A, Muscoplat MH, Fedorowicz A. Coverage with tetanus, diphtheria, and acellular pertussis vaccine and influenza vaccine among pregnant women—Minnesota, March 2013–December 2014. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)*. 2017;66(2):56–59. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6602a4.
25. Ben Natan M, El Kravchenko B, Sakashidlo K, Mor S. What drives pregnant women's decisions to accept the pertussis vaccine? *Applied Nursing Research*. 2017;38:60–63. doi: 10.1016/j.apnr.2017.09.013.
26. Arnold LD, Luong L, Rebmann T, Chang JJ. Racial disparities in U.S. maternal influenza vaccine uptake: Results from analysis of Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) data, 2012–2015. *Vaccine*. 2019;37(18):2520–2526. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.02.014.
27. Cleary BJ, Rice U, Eogan M, Metwally N, McAuliffe F. 2009 A/H1N1 influenza vaccination in pregnancy: Uptake and pregnancy outcomes—A historical cohort study. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*. 2014;178:163–168. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2014.04.015.
28. Campbell H, Van Hoek AJ, Bedford H, Craig L, Yeowell A-L, Green D, Yarwood J, Ramsay M, Amirthalingam G. Attitudes to immunisation in pregnancy among women in the UK targeted by such programmes. *British Journal of Midwifery*. 2015;23(8):566–573. doi: 10.12968/bjom.2015.23.8.566.
29. Crosby DA, Deleau D, Brophy C, McAuliffe FM, Mahony R. Uptake of the influenza vaccination in pregnancy. *Irish Medical Journal*. 2016;109(8):449.
30. Cassidy D, Castaneda X, Ruelas MR, Vostrejs MM, Andrews T, Osorio L. Pandemics and vaccines: Perceptions, reactions, and lessons learned from hard-to-reach Latinos and the H1N1 campaign. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved*. 2012;23(3):1106–1122. doi: 10.1353/hpu.2012.0086.
31. Chamberlain AT, Berkelman RL, Ault KA, Rosenberg ES, Orenstein WA, Omer SB. Trends in reasons for non-receipt of influenza vaccination during pregnancy in Georgia, 2004–2011. *Vaccine*. 2016;34(13):1597–1603. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.01.058.
32. Beigi RH, Fortner KB, Munoz FM, Roberts J, Gordon JL, Han HH, Glenn G, Dormitzer PR, Gu XX, Read JS, Edwards K, Swamy GK. Maternal immunization: Opportunities for scientific advancement. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2014;59:S408–S414. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciu708.
33. Ding H, Black CL, Ball S, Fink RV, Williams WW, Fiebelkorn AP, Lu PJ, Kahn KE, D'Angelo DV, Devlin R, Greby SM. Influenza vaccination coverage among pregnant women—United States, 2016–17 influenza season. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)*. 2017;66(38):1016–1022. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6638a2.
34. Tomietto M, Simonetti V, Comparcini D, et al. A large cross-sectional survey of COVID-19 vaccination willingness amongst healthcare students and professionals: Reveals generational patterns. *J Adv Nursing*. 2022. Mar 17. doi: 10.1111/jan.15222.
35. Mustapha T, Khubchandani J, Biswas N. COVID-19 vaccination hesitancy in students and trainees of healthcare professions: A global assessment and call for action. *Brain, Behavior, & Immunity-Health*. 2021. Oct;16: 100289. doi: 10.1016/j.bbih.2021.100289.

36. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71.
37. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, et al. Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Systematic Reviews*. 2016. Dec;5(1):1–0. doi: 10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4.
38. Biswas N, Mustapha T, Khubchandani J, et al. The nature and extent of COVID-19 vaccination hesitancy in healthcare workers. *Journal of Community Health*. 2021. Dec;46(6):1244–51. doi: 10.1007/s10900-021-00984-3.
39. Grochowska M. A comparison of the level of acceptance and hesitancy towards the influenza vaccine and the forthcoming COVID-19 vaccine in the medical community. *Vaccines*. 2021;9(5):475. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9050475.
40. Ngangue P, Pilabre AH, Barro A, et al. Public attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccines in Africa: A systematic review. *MedRxiv*. 2022. Jan 1. doi: 10.4081/jphia.2022.2181.
41. de Miguel-Arribas A, Aleta A, Moreno Y. Impact of vaccine hesitancy on secondary COVID-19 outbreaks in the US: An age-structured SIR model. *BMC Infectious Diseases*. 2022. Dec;22(1):1–2. doi: 10.1186/s12879-022-07486-0.
42. Larson HJ, Broniatowski DA. Volatility of vaccine confidence. *Science*. 2021. Mar 26;371(6536):1289. doi: 10.1126/science.abi6488.
43. Reiter PL, Pennell ML, Katz ML. Acceptability of a COVID-19 vaccine among adults in the United States: How many people would get vaccinated? *Vaccine*. 2020. Sep 29;38(42):6500–7. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.08.043.
44. Aromataris E, Fernandez R, Godfrey C, et al. Methodology for JBI umbrella reviews. *Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers' Manual*. 2014;1–34.
45. Kilich E, Dada S, Francis MR, et al. Factors that influence vaccination decision-making among pregnant women: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one*. 2020. Jul 9;15(7):e0234827. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0234827.
46. Larson HJ, Gakidou E, Murray CJ. The vaccine-hesitant moment. *N Engl J Med*. 2022. Jul 7;387(1):58–65. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra2106441.
47. McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, et al. PRESS peer review of electronic search strategies: 2015 guideline statement. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2016. Jul 1;75:40–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.01.021.
48. Bramer WM, Giustini D, de Jonge GB, et al. De-duplication of database search results for systematic reviews in EndNote. *JMLA*. 2016. Jul;104(3):240. doi: 10.3163/1536-5050.104.3.014.
49. Altman DG. *Practical Statistics For Medical Research*. CRC press; 1990. Nov 22.
50. Aromataris E, Fernandez R, Godfrey CM, et al. Summarizing systematic reviews: Methodological development, conduct and reporting of an umbrella review approach. *JBI Evidence Implementation*. 2015. Sep 1;13(3):132–40. doi: 10.1097/XEB.0000000000000055.
51. Asad H, Johnston C, Blyth I, et al. Health care workers and patients as Trojan horses: A COVID-19 ward outbreak. *Infection Prevention in Practice*. 2020. Sep 1;2(3):100073. doi: 10.1016/j.infpip.2020.100073.
52. World Health Organization. Top ten threats to global health in 2019: Vaccine hesitancy. (Accessed July 12, 2022).
53. Pieper D, Antoine SL, Mathes T, et al. Systematic review finds overlapping reviews were not mentioned in every other overview. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2014. Apr 1;67(4):368–75. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.11.007.
54. Díaz Crescitelli ME, Ghiretto L, Sisson H, et al. A meta-synthesis study of the key elements involved in childhood vaccine hesitancy. *Public Health* 2020;180:38–45. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2019.10.027.
55. Smith LE, Amlôt R, Weinman J, et al. A systematic review of factors affecting vaccine uptake in young children. *Vaccine* 2017;35:6059–69. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.09.046.
56. Wilson L, Rubens-Augustson T, Murphy M, et al. Barriers to immunization among newcomers: a systematic review. *Vaccine* 2018;36:1055–62. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.01.025.
57. Rainey JJ, Watkins M, Ryman TK, et al. Reasons related to non-vaccination and under-vaccination of children in low and middle income countries: findings from a systematic review of the published literature, 1999-2009. *Vaccine* 2011;29:8215–21. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.08.096.
58. Forster AS, Rockliffe L, Chorley AJ, et al. A qualitative systematic review of factors influencing parents' vaccination decision-making in the United Kingdom. *SSM Popul Health* 2016;2:603–12. doi: 10.1016/j.ssmph.2016.07.005.
59. Apte A, Roy S, Bavdekar A, et al. Facilitators and barriers for use of rotavirus vaccine amongst various stakeholders and its implications for Indian context - A systematic review. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2018;14:1–8. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2018.1489190.

60. Allan N, Harden J. Parental decision-making in uptake of the MMR vaccination: a systematic review of qualitative literature. *J Public Health* 2015;37:678–87. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdu075.
61. Thorpe S, VanderEnde K, Peters C, et al. The influence of women's empowerment on child immunization coverage in low, lower-middle, and upper-middle income countries: a systematic review of the literature. *Matern Child Health J* 2016;20:172–86. doi: 10.1007/s10995-015-1817-8.
62. Forster AS, Rockliffe L, Chorley AJ, et al. Ethnicity-specific factors influencing childhood immunisation decisions among black and Asian minority ethnic groups in the UK: a systematic review of qualitative research. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2017;71:544–9. doi: 10.1136/jech-2016-207366.
63. Merten S, Martin Hilber A, Biaggi C, et al. Gender determinants of vaccination status in children: evidence from a Meta-Ethnographic systematic review. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0135222. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0135222.
64. Quadri-Sheriff M, Hendrix KS, Downs SM, et al. The role of herd immunity in parents' decision to vaccinate children: a systematic review. *Pediatrics* 2012;130:522–30. doi: 10.1542/peds.2012-0140.
65. Malerba V, Costantino C, Napoli G, et al. Antimeningococcal and antipneumococcal vaccination determinants: a European systematic literature review. *Epidemiol Prev* 2015;39:59–64.
66. Wallace AS, Mantel C, Mayers G, et al. Experiences with provider and parental attitudes and practices regarding the administration of multiple injections during infant vaccination visits: lessons for vaccine introduction. *Vaccine* 2014;32:5301–10. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.07.076.