JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL CRISIS AND RISK COMMUNICATION RESEARCH
ISSN: 2576-0017
2024, VOL 7, NO S10

# Dosimetry in Radiology: Bridging Medical Physics and Radiological Practices for Optimized Imaging

**Salma Hussein Hamrani Alkiyadi[1], Manal Muhammed Ahmed Alzaylai[2], Reem Khalid Zarea Alshehri[3], AhlamAbdullrhman Ali Alzayd[4], Samirah SalehYahya Hazazi[5], Abeer Abdulrahman Aladamawi[6], Shuruq Ibrahim Faraj Alhelail[7], Eman Ali Ali Bajawi[8], Maha Mahdi Mohammad Alsaghir[9]**

1. Technician - Radiological Technology, Alqunfudah General Hospital
2. Technician - Radiological Technology, Alqunfudah General Hospital
3. Specialist - Radiological Technology, Qunfudah General Hospital
4. Specialist - Radiological Technology, Qunfudah General Hospital
5. Specialist - Radiological Technology, Qunfudah General Hospital
6. Specialist Medical Physics, King Abdulaziz Hospital
7. Specialist - Radiological Technology, Qunfudah General Hospital
8. Specialist - Radiological Technology, Qunfudah General Hospital
9. Specialist - Radiological Technology, South Qunfudah General Hospital

**Abstract**
Dosimetry connects medical physics to radiological practice through the accurate measurement and optimization of radiation doses in diagnostic imaging. The advancement in dosimetry has increased the image quality while lowering the exposure to ionizing radiation, hence decreasing the risks of ionizing radiation. This paper addresses the principles of dosimetry, historical development, technological innovation, and its application in clinical settings. Optimization of patient safety strategies concerning pediatric imaging and high-modality techniques such as CT and PET/CT is further discussed. This article is written to describe how AI and dosimetrycontribute towards future radiology.
**Keywords:** dosimetry, radiation dosage, CT imaging, radiation security, AI in radiology.

## Introduction

Modern medicine has played a huge role in radiology with the aid of non-invasive diagnostic techniques in the diagnosis of most diseases. Among these most commonly applied imaging techniques include CT, fluoroscopy, and X-ray. But each one of them does pose harm by giving damage to the patient because of their ionic nature. One of the most critical challenges of clinical radiology is still radiation dosing so that minimum is utilized without the diagnostic competency of being lost. Dosimetry is a measurement and calculation of doses from ionizing radiation. Dosimetry, in addition to achieving optimized image quality, has increased the necessity for the reduction of exposure from radiation for safety in patients (Keevil, 2012).

The dosimetry innovations have been the changeover course of the years in this area of radiology technology. The devices used for advanced dosimetry, such as optically stimulated luminescence dosimeters, thermoluminescent dosimeters, and electronic personal dosimeters, make dose measurement more accurate and faster. These technologies have assisted medical physicists to accurately see the exposure of the patients to radiation and give them the smallest dose of radiation so that the required diagnostic images can be produced (Willemink& Noël, 2019). Dosimetry, thus is integral to the practice of radiation protection in clinical radiology today.

This is even more relevant in high-dose imaging modalities such as CT, where the risks associated with ionizing radiation may be higher. A much higher dose of radiation is often associated with CT scans compared to other imaging techniques. Thus, it has been an area of focus in radiology departments to optimize protocols in CT to reduce radiation exposure without compromising quality (Kalender et al., 1990). Some of the techniques used include automation of exposure control, iterative reconstruction algorithms, and low-dose imaging protocols. These are to ensure safety levels without compromising the accuracy of diagnosis.

Another important concern is dosimetry in pediatric radiology. Children are more sensitive to radiation compared to adults, and, therefore, the optimization of radiation doses in pediatric imaging is of great concern. Special considerations, such as adjusting tube current and voltage according to the age and size of the child, using child-sized phantoms, and employing dose reduction technologies, have been reported to be helpful in the minimization of radiation exposure (ICRP, 2007). These patient-specific dosimetric protocols are important in ensuring the health of young patients whose developing tissues are most vulnerable to damage from radiation.

Another contribution to dosimetry has stemmed from the growing use of artificial intelligence in radiology. AI algorithms help to assess the large datasets from diagnostic imaging, hence enabling forecasts of the optimum dose parameters for a specific patient along with identifying anomalies in the radiation exposure and recommending tailored dosing adjustments. Integrating AI in dosimetry would probably increase the safety of the patient to a great extent. It offers real-time feedback and hence prevents overdosing (Lustberg et al., 2018). There is also a rosy future for dosimetry in radiology with upcoming innovation that improves the security of diagnostic images and how efficiently these could be used.

**Methodology**

This paper provides a set of studies in relevant papers published from 1990 to 2023 on dosimetry practice, innovation, and applications in diagnostic radiology. A comprehensive search was done using PubMed and Google Scholar with keywords such as "radiology dosimetry," "radiation dose optimization," "CT dose indices," and "AI in radiology." Of 300 articles screened, 75 have qualified for the final after scrutiny based on relevance and quality. These studies are based on the relevance to dosimetry techniques, radiation safety measures, and advancements in imaging techniques.

Only peer-reviewed journal articles were considered if they elaborated on dosimetry in human clinical applications, pediatric imaging, interventional radiology, and emerging modalities of imaging like photon-counting CT. The study excluded the following: non-human studies, low-clinical relevance articles, and duplications. Among the data extracted were the dose measurement methodologies, optimization strategies, outcomes in patient safety, and technological advancement.

**Literature Review**

A critical review of the current literature will show substantial strides taken in dosimetry in radiology, particularly on the optimization of radiation exposure without a compromise on the diagnostic value. Indeed, dosimetric tools have improved due to new devices such as thermoluminescent dosimeters, optically stimulated luminescence dosimeters, and real-time electronic dosimeters that have enhanced the precision of radiation dose measurement. These tools have become indispensable in ensuring that the doses of radiation delivered during imaging procedures are safe and well within acceptable limits, thus meeting international safety standards. This has led to better monitoring and control of patient exposure to ionizing radiation during diagnostic imaging.

The literature has several key techniques that minimize radiation doses, which often apply in the use of computed tomography (CT) imaging. These include automation of exposure control, application of iterative reconstruction algorithms, and modulation of tube current. All these result in significantly reduced radiation doses while preserving the quality of images. Generally, CTDIvol and DLP standard dose metrics have become essential components in reducing variability among the centers involved in imaging and ensure uniform radiation exposure. These methods have been successful in ensuring that patients receive the lowest possible dose necessary to achieve accurate diagnostic results.

Especially for patients in pediatric radiology who are relatively sensitive to radiation, special consideration in the development of tailored dosimetry protocols has been given. Indeed, changes to image protocol dependent upon patient age, weight, and other anatomical characteristics and in addition the use of phantoms pediatric ones together with optimized equipment settings have led to reduced exposure with preserved quality of images. These aspects are important because young patients are particularly susceptible to damage from the effects of the long-term consequences of irradiation.

The new trend is hot toward radiology with artificial intelligence integrated into dosimetry. Tools with AI are being implemented for data analysis of patient profiles, predicting ideal parameters for imaging, and giving immediate feedback. This may further potentially streamline the whole dosimetry process and help improve work efficiency in time along with aspects related to radiation safety and diagnostic accuracy. Such promise, therefore, may lie further development and application of dosimetry tools driven by artificial intelligence in many clinical settings in terms of implementing personalized, optimized imaging protocols.

**Discussion**

Dosimetry is linked to the area of medical physics, thereby connected with the clinical radiological practice, meaning it is aid measuring and compute proper irradiated patients undergoing diagnostic image practices the result is that although image quality will be at the maximum level minimum radiation exposure to result in being small or reduced the associated risk ionizing radiation (Keevil, 2012). The dosimetry field has seen great revolutions with technological evolutions that enhanced the accuracy of dose measurements and integration into clinical workflows.

Medical physicists play a front-line role in the optimization of doses in radiology. Their duties include evaluation and calibration of imaging modalities, preparation of safety protocols, and quality assurance maintenance. It is in the knowledge of the fundamental principles wherein radiation interactions with physical matter are found to act on biological substances that dependence on medical physics for this radiological current practice remains based (Townsend et al., 2013). The dosimetry history dates back to the early 20th century when X-rays were discovered and realization of the possible danger from such exposures already existed. From very early crude techniques of dose estimates to more sophisticated dosimeters that can integrate computational modelling with high-resolution imaging and others, dosimetry technology has evolved in the last decades (Kalender et al., 1990).

Dosimetry quantifies absorbed, equivalent, and effective dose. Absorbed dose is defined as energy transferred to a unit mass of matter. Equivalent is another dimension that measures the absorbed ionizing radiation dose; hence the same amount of absorbed radiation may have different effects on different tissues. Effective is another dimension that integrates the different sensitivities of tissues for the action of radiation; this makes it a very broad measure for risk estimation (ICRP, 2007). Dosimetry in radiology is now more advanced with the new technologies that have been developed recently. Examples are optically stimulated luminescence dosimeters, TLDs, and electronic personal dosimeters, which further improved the dose measurements. Real-time dosimetry systems have further improved the safety of a patient since such systems provide feedback immediately in terms of radiation exposure by imaging modalities (Willemink& Noël, 2019).

Optimizing the radiation dose is the best goal in radiology. Automated exposure control, iterative reconstruction algorithms, and low-dose imaging protocols are some of the most frequently applied techniques in radiology. The foremost reason for implementing such methods is that it does not impair the quality of diagnostic images and exposes patients to the minimum amounts of ionizing radiation (Willemink et al., 2018). Computed tomography is one of the most used imaging modalities but still exposed to higher doses of radiation than other imaging modalities. CT dosimetry aims at providing the best possible quantification of dose indices like CTDI vol and DLP, which are supposed to monitor the increase in radiation exposure. The reduction of dose in dual-energy and photon-counting CT brought out further enhancement of the ability to attain a good diagnostic performance without loss (Kalender et al., 1990).

Children are more sensitive to radiation than adults, and hence pediatric dosimetry is a very significant concern. Tailored protocols such as adjusting tube current and voltage, child-sized phantoms, and advanced dose reduction techniques are necessary to minimize risks while ensuring diagnostic efficacy (ICRP, 2007). Artificial intelligence has emerged as a powerful tool in radiology, including dosimetry. This could be a possibility that the algorithms might help in AI find the best dose parameters in imaging data, identify outliers in exposure, and enable individual dose adaptation per patient. This would lead to huge promise toward improvement of safety besides diagnostic accuracy (Lustberg et al., 2018).

Modern radiology departments adopt dose monitoring systems to monitor and track patient exposure to radiation using several imaging modalities. It helps in ensuring observance of regulatory standards, support quality assurance and yield a wide range of information relevant to the optimization of doses of radiation applied (Ljungberg & Pretorius, 2016). Monte Carlo simulations feature significantly in dosimetry in modeling of radiation transport and interaction in the human body. These simulations provide comprehensive insight into the dose distributions that allow someone to evaluate and optimize the imaging protocol with precision. They are applied mainly in the complex anatomical regions and in advanced imaging techniques (Bendriem& Townsend, 1998).

The hybrid imaging modalities such as PET/CT and SPECT/CT are a combination of anatomical and functional imaging hence a challenge in dosimetric levels. Dosimetry within the systems involves serious concern of the dose from radiopharmaceutical and then the CT portion towards good outcome results (Valladares et al., 2019). In terms of principles, the dosimetry in radiology also observes justification, optimization, and dose limitation. These principles inform the development of protocols and practices to ensure that patients receive only necessary imaging procedures with the lowest possible radiation dose (ICRP, 2007).

Interventions in radiology are known to increase the dose required for longer fluoroscopy. For this case, dosimetry will be more focused on real-time monitoring and tracking the dose for purposes of reducing exposure to patients and healthcare professionals. Techniques used include pulsed fluoroscopy and shielding, which is very common (Gregoire et al., 2020). Quality assurance programs seem to be necessary for accuracy and consistency in dosimetry measurements. The calibration of dosimetry equipment regularly, validation of imaging protocols, and compliance with international standards guarantee the reliability of dose assessments (Fiorino et al., 2015).

The understanding of the radiobiological effect of ionizing radiation is essential for effective dosimetry. The biological response depends on the type of radiation, dose rate, and tissue sensitivity. Such factors determine the dose limits and optimization strategies (Bailey, 2014). The training of the radiologists and medical physicists focuses on the role of dosimetry in the clinic. It aims at improving skills in dose measurement and optimization techniques as well as safe usage of the equipment for imaging (Korteniemi et al., 2018).

Some examples of such organizations include International Commission on Radiological Protection, amongst others. It comes up with guidelines, among which dosimetry standards exist in radiology. Highly thought-out regulations that are meant to ensure maximum patient protection as well as dosimetry best practices. ICRP Publication 154, 2023. "Personalized dosimetry is tailored radiation dose plans customized to a patient's characteristics age, weight or

specific anatomical features of individual". This method applies advanced imaging and modeling technologies to achieve the best possible dosing (Willemink& Noël, 2019).
New imaging modalities such as photon-counting CT and total-body PET create new challenges and new possibilities for dosimetry. These technologies open new needs to develop entirely new approaches if the full promise of these technologies is to be realized safely to the patient (Cherry et al., 2018). Current research directions involve novel dose-reduction techniques, real-time monitoring improvements, and AI-driven methods among others. All of these future developments will lead to improvements in the safety as well as the effectiveness of diagnostic imaging (Beyer et al., 2021).
Dose optimization in radiology is a multi-disciplinary approach by radiologists, medical physicists, engineers, and technologists. Such an approach would enable the successful implementation of dose optimization strategies and encourage innovation in imaging practices (Fiorino et al., 2020). Education of patients and the public regarding risks associated with radiation and benefits of diagnostic imaging is a key aspect of dosimetry. Communication should be transparent in order to build trust and make informed decisions regarding the procedures for medical imaging (Lincoln et al., 2020).
Dosimetry in radiology provides a foundation to develop better practice in imaging and ensure patients' safety. With advanced technology, rigorous adherence to standards, and coordination among providers, progress will be observed in this sub-domain of medical physics. This is very important for healthcare services, as established by Johnson et al., 2024. But in going forward with the advancements, there should also be a commitment to the principles of radiation protection and to patient-focused care.

**Conclusion:**

Dosimetry has become a crucial component of safe and effective radiological practice, providing the missing link between medical physics and clinical imaging. Technological advances, from real-time dosimetry systems to AI-driven dose optimization tools, have transformed the field, making possible a drastic reduction in radiation exposure while maintaining diagnostic accuracy.
All these are relevant in demonstrating the context-specific nature of dosimetry practice and are relevant in pediatric imaging, interventional radiology, and hybrid modalities. Indeed, technologies in imaging evolve with examples such as photon-counting CT and total-body PET; its safe application will increasingly be dependent on innovative dosimetric strategies.
It will be dosimetry into multidisciplinary expertise and education to patients. Continued progress would thus come about as international guidelines are observed, especially when there is innovation coupled with a feeling of responsibility towards radiation protection.

**References**

Bailey, D. L. (2014). Thirty years from now: future physics contributions in nuclear medicine. *EJNMMI Physics*, 1.

Bendriem, B., & Townsend, D. W. (1998). *The Theory and Practice of 3D PET*. Springer.

Beyer, T., Bailey, D. L., Birk, U. J., Buvat, I., Catana, C., Cheng, Z., ... & Moser, E. (2021). Medical Physics and imaging–A timely perspective. *Frontiers in Physics*, 9, 634693.

Cherry, S. R., Jones, T., Karp, J. S., Qi, J., Moses, W. W., &Badawi, R. D. (2018). Total-body PET: maximizing sensitivity. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 59, 3–12.

Fiorino, C., Jeraj, R., Clark, C. H., et al. (2020). Grand challenges for medical physics in radiation oncology. *Radiotherapy and Oncology*.

Fiorino, C., Muren, L. P., Clark, C. H., et al. (2015). Expanding the scientific role of medical physics in radiotherapy: time to act. *Radiotherapy and Oncology*, 117, 401–402.

Gregoire, V., Guckenberger, M., Haustermans, K., et al. (2020). Image guidance in radiation therapy for better cure of cancer. *Molecular Oncology*, 14, 1470–1491.

ICRP Publication 154. (2023). Optimisation of Radiological Protection in Digital Radiology Techniques for Medical Imaging. *Annals of the ICRP*, 52(3), 11-145.

ICRP. (2007). The 2007 recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. *Annals of the ICRP*, 37.

Johnson, D., Li, H. H., &Kimler, B. F. (2024). Dosimetry: Was and Is an Absolute Requirement for Quality Radiation Research. *Radiation Research*, 202(2), 102-129.

Kalender, W. A., Seissler, W., Klotz, E., &Vock, P. (1990). Spiral volumetric CT with single-breath-hold technique. *Radiology*, 176, 181–183.

Keevil, S. F. (2012). Physics and medicine: a historical perspective. *Lancet*, 379, 1517–1524.

Korteniemi, N., Tsapaki, V., Trianni, A., et al. (2018). White paper: big data and deep learning in medical imaging. *EJNMP*, 56, 90–93.
Lincoln, H., Khan, R., &Cai, J. (2020). Telecommuting: A viable option for medical physicists amid the COVID-19 outbreak. *Medical Physics*.
Ljungberg, M., & Pretorius, H. (2016). SPECT/CT: an update on technological developments and clinical applications. *British Journal of Radiology*, 91, 20160402.
Lustberg, T., Van Soest, J., & Gooding, M. (2018). Clinical evaluation of atlas and deep learning-based automatic contouring for lung cancer. *Radiotherapy and Oncology*, 126, 312–317.
Townsend, D., Cheng, Z., Georg, D., Drexler, W., & Moser, E. (2013). Grand challenges in biomedical physics. *Frontiers in Physics*.
Valladares, A., Ahangari, S., Beyer, T., et al. (2019). Clinically valuable quality control for PET/MRI systems. *Frontiers in Physics*.
Willemink, M. J., & Noël, P. B. (2019). The evolution of image reconstruction for CT—from filtered back projection to artificial intelligence. *European Radiology*, 29, 2185–2195.
Willemink, M. J., Persson, M., Pourmorteza, A., et al. (2018). Photon-counting CT: technical principles. *Radiology*.