JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL CRISIS AND RISK COMMUNICATION RESEARCH
ISSN: 2576-0017
2024, VOL 7, NO S10

Interventional Radiology in the Diagnosis and Management of Acute Gastrointestinal Bleeding

Faisal Abdullah S Alqhtani[1], Omair Saad M Alqahtani[2], Abdulrahman Saad N Alasiri[3], Saad Saeed O Alshahrani[4], Sarah Abdulaziz A Alshadidi[5], Razan Saeed A Talhan[5], Alyaa Ahmad M Alqarni[6], Aoodhah Mohammed S Arim[7], Abdullah Mohammed Ali Alshehri[8], Ibtihaj Mohammed Alqahtani[9]

1Radiologist, Radiology Department, Armed Forces Hospital in the South, Khamis Mushayt, Saudi Arabia
2Radiologist, Radiology Department, Abha Maternity and Children Hospital, Abha, Saudi Arabia
3Field Inspector, Compliance Department, Ministry of Health Branch, Abha, Saudi Arabia
4Radiology Specialist, Radiology Department, Khamis Mushayt Maternity and Children's Hospital, Khamis Mushayt, Saudi Arabia
5Radiology Specialist, Medical Imaging Department, Abha Maternity and Children's Hospital, Abha, Saudi Arabia
6Radiology Specialist, Threban General Hospital, Makkah Health Cluster, Makkah, Saudi Arabia
7Radiology Specialist, Revenue development, Abha Maternity and Children's Hospital, Abha, Saudi Arabia
8Radiology Resident, Revenue development, Abha Maternity and Children's Hospital, Abha, Saudi Arabia
9Radiologist, Radiology Department, Dhahran General Hospital, Asir, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Acute gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB) is a critical medical emergency that poses significant risks to patient morbidity and mortality. It can arise from various etiologies, including peptic ulcers, diverticular disease, malignancies, and vascular anomalies. The management of acute GIB has evolved considerably, with interventional radiology (IR) emerging as a vital component in both diagnosis and treatment. This review explores the role of interventional radiology in the management of acute GIB, emphasizing its diagnostic capabilities and therapeutic interventions. Diagnostic angiography is a cornerstone of IR, allowing for the real-time visualization of the vascular supply to the gastrointestinal tract. This technique is particularly beneficial in cases where the source of bleeding is not readily apparent through conventional imaging methods. The ability to identify active bleeding enables targeted therapeutic interventions, such as embolization. Therapeutic embolization involves the selective occlusion of bleeding vessels using various embolic agents, including coils, particles, and liquid agents. This minimally invasive approach has demonstrated high success rates in controlling hemorrhage, with reported efficacy ranging from 70% to 90%. In addition to embolization, stenting is another important IR technique, particularly in cases involving malignant obstruction or strictures. Endovascular stents can restore patency in obstructed vessels, improving blood flow and reducing the risk of further bleeding. The use of stenting has been associated with enhanced patient outcomes, including decreased need for surgical intervention and shorter hospital stays. Despite the advantages of interventional radiology, potential complications such as vessel injury and non-target embolization must be considered. A multidisciplinary approach involving gastroenterologists, surgeons, and interventional radiologists is essential for optimizing patient care. As the field of interventional radiology continues to advance, ongoing research and technological innovations promise to further enhance the management of acute gastrointestinal bleeding, ultimately improving patient safety and outcomes. This review underscores the critical role of interventional radiology in the comprehensive

management of acute GIB, highlighting its potential to transform patient care in this challenging clinical scenario.

Introduction

Acute gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB) is a significant clinical challenge that presents a considerable risk to patient morbidity and mortality. It encompasses a spectrum of conditions that can arise from various etiologies, including but not limited to peptic ulcers, diverticular disease, malignancies, and vascular anomalies. The clinical implications of acute GIB are profound, as it can lead to significant blood loss, necessitating urgent medical intervention, and can result in long-term complications if not managed appropriately. The management of acute GIB has evolved significantly over the past few decades, with interventional radiology (IR) emerging as a critical component in both the diagnosis and treatment of this condition.

Historically, the management of acute GIB relied heavily on surgical interventions and endoscopic techniques. However, the advent of interventional radiology has introduced a paradigm shift in how these patients are approached. Interventional radiology offers minimally invasive alternatives that can be performed with less risk and shorter recovery times compared to traditional surgical methods. This is particularly important in the context of acute GIB, where rapid diagnosis and intervention are crucial for improving patient outcomes.

The spectrum of acute GIB is broad, and its presentation can vary widely among patients. For instance, upper gastrointestinal bleeding may present as hematemesis (vomiting blood) or melena (black, tarry stools), while lower gastrointestinal bleeding may manifest as hematochezia (bright red blood per rectum). The underlying causes of these bleeding episodes can range from benign conditions, such as hemorrhoids or gastritis, to more serious issues like malignancies or major vascular injuries. Understanding the diverse etiologies of acute GIB is essential for guiding appropriate management strategies.

In recent years, the role of interventional radiology in the management of acute GIB has gained increasing recognition. The integration of advanced imaging techniques, such as computed tomography (CT) and fluoroscopy, allows for precise localization of bleeding sources, facilitating targeted interventions. Interventional radiology encompasses a variety of procedures, including diagnostic angiography, therapeutic embolization, and stenting, each of which plays a vital role in the comprehensive management of acute GIB.

This article aims to provide a comprehensive review of the role of interventional radiology in the management of acute gastrointestinal bleeding, exploring its diagnostic capabilities, therapeutic interventions, and the implications for patient outcomes. By examining the current literature and clinical practices, we hope to highlight the importance of interventional radiology in improving the care of patients with acute GIB and to discuss future directions for research and practice in this evolving field. Through this exploration, we aim to underscore the significance of a multidisciplinary approach that incorporates interventional radiology as a key player in the management of acute gastrointestinal bleeding, ultimately enhancing patient safety and outcomes.

Understanding Acute Gastrointestinal Bleeding

Acute gastrointestinal bleeding can manifest in various forms, including hematemesis, melena, and hematochezia. The clinical presentation often depends on the location and severity of the bleed. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding typically presents with hematemesis or melena, while lower gastrointestinal bleeding may present with hematochezia. The

initial evaluation of a patient with suspected acute GIB involves a thorough history and physical examination, along with laboratory tests to assess hemoglobin levels, coagulation status, and other relevant parameters. The urgency of the situation often necessitates rapid intervention to stabilize the patient and identify the source of bleeding.

The pathophysiology of acute GIB is multifactorial, with various underlying mechanisms contributing to the bleeding. For instance, peptic ulcers may result from the erosion of the gastric or duodenal mucosa due to factors such as Helicobacter pylori infection, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) use, or excessive alcohol consumption. Diverticular disease, on the other hand, is characterized by the formation of diverticula in the colonic wall, which can become inflamed or eroded, leading to bleeding. Malignancies can also present with gastrointestinal bleeding, either due to direct invasion of the vascular structures or as a result of treatment-related complications.

The clinical management of acute GIB requires a systematic approach, beginning with resuscitation and stabilization of the patient. This often involves intravenous fluid administration, blood transfusions, and the correction of coagulopathy. Once the patient is stabilized, further diagnostic evaluation is warranted to identify the source of bleeding. Traditional methods such as esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) and colonoscopy have long been the mainstay of diagnosis and treatment; however, their effectiveness can be limited in certain scenarios, particularly in cases of massive or ongoing bleeding.

The Role of Interventional Radiology

Interventional radiology has revolutionized the approach to acute gastrointestinal bleeding by providing minimally invasive diagnostic and therapeutic options. The integration of advanced imaging techniques, such as computed tomography (CT) and fluoroscopy, allows for precise localization of bleeding sources, facilitating targeted interventions. The primary IR procedures utilized in the management of acute GIB include angiography, embolization, and stenting.

Diagnostic Angiography

Diagnostic angiography is a cornerstone of interventional radiology in the evaluation of acute GIB. It involves the selective catheterization of the mesenteric arteries to visualize the vascular supply to the gastrointestinal tract. This procedure is particularly useful in cases where the source of bleeding is not readily apparent through conventional imaging modalities. The ability to visualize the blood vessels in real-time allows for the identification of active bleeding, which can be crucial for guiding subsequent therapeutic interventions.

In cases of upper gastrointestinal bleeding, the celiac trunk and its branches are typically evaluated, while the inferior mesenteric artery is assessed for lower gastrointestinal bleeding. The sensitivity of angiography in detecting active bleeding is notably high, especially when the rate of hemorrhage exceeds 0.5 mL per minute. However, it is essential to recognize that angiography may not always identify the source of bleeding, particularly in cases of slow or intermittent bleeding. In such instances, the use of advanced imaging techniques, such as CT angiography, can complement traditional angiography by providing additional anatomical information and enhancing the detection of bleeding sources.

The role of diagnostic angiography extends beyond mere identification of bleeding sources. It also allows for the assessment of vascular anatomy and the planning of subsequent therapeutic interventions. For example, in cases where multiple potential bleeding sources

are identified, angiography can help prioritize which vessels to target for embolization based on the severity of bleeding and the patient's overall clinical status.

Therapeutic Embolization

Once an active bleeding source is identified through angiography, therapeutic embolization can be performed. This procedure involves the selective occlusion of the bleeding vessel to control hemorrhage. Various embolic agents can be utilized, including coils, particles, and liquid agents such as glue or cyanoacrylate. The choice of embolic material depends on several factors, including the location of the bleed, the size of the vessel, and the underlying pathology.

Embolization has been shown to be effective in managing a variety of bleeding sources, including those arising from peptic ulcers, diverticular disease, and malignancies. The success rate of embolization in controlling acute GIB is reported to be between 70% and 90%, with a relatively low complication rate. However, it is important to note that while embolization can effectively control bleeding, it may not address the underlying pathology, necessitating further evaluation and management.

In cases of peptic ulcer bleeding, for instance, embolization can provide immediate hemostatic control, but the underlying ulcer may still require endoscopic intervention or surgical management to prevent rebleeding. Similarly, in patients with diverticular bleeding, while embolization can effectively manage acute episodes, the long-term management may involve dietary modifications or surgical options if recurrent bleeding occurs.

The technique of embolization has evolved over the years, with advancements in materials and methods enhancing its efficacy. For example, the use of absorbable gelatin sponge particles has gained popularity due to their ability to provide temporary occlusion, allowing for the potential restoration of blood flow once the bleeding has been controlled. This is particularly advantageous in cases where preserving vascular supply is critical, such as in patients with significant collateral circulation.

Stenting in Gastrointestinal Bleeding

In certain cases, particularly those involving malignant obstruction or strictures, stenting can be employed as a therapeutic option. Endovascular stents can be placed to restore patency in obstructed vessels, thereby improving blood flow and reducing the risk of further hemorrhage. The use of stents has been associated with improved patient outcomes, including reduced need for surgical intervention and shorter hospital stays.

Stenting is particularly beneficial in patients with malignancies that cause obstruction and subsequent bleeding. By alleviating the obstruction, stenting can enhance the quality of life for patients and provide a bridge to further oncological treatment. The decision to utilize stenting should be made in conjunction with a multidisciplinary team, considering the patient's overall clinical picture and treatment goals.

The placement of stents is not without its challenges. Complications such as stent migration, occlusion, and infection can occur, necessitating careful patient selection and follow-up. Additionally, the long-term patency of stents can vary based on the underlying pathology and the characteristics of the stent used. Ongoing research into the development of new stent designs and materials aims to improve outcomes and reduce complications associated with stenting in gastrointestinal bleeding.

Complications and Considerations

While interventional radiology offers numerous advantages in the management of acute GIB, it is not without risks. Potential complications associated with angiography and embolization include vessel injury, infection, and non-target embolization. The risk of complications can be minimized through careful patient selection, thorough pre-procedural planning, and adherence to established protocols.

The multidisciplinary approach to managing acute GIB is essential for optimizing patient outcomes. Collaboration between gastroenterologists, surgeons, and interventional radiologists ensures that patients receive comprehensive care tailored to their specific needs. In cases where IR interventions are unsuccessful or not feasible, surgical intervention may be necessary. The decision-making process should involve a thorough discussion of the risks and benefits of each approach, taking into account the patient's clinical status and preferences.

Moreover, the timing of intervention is crucial in the management of acute GIB. Delays in diagnosis and treatment can lead to increased morbidity and mortality. Therefore, establishing protocols for rapid assessment and intervention is vital in emergency settings. The implementation of standardized pathways for the management of acute GIB can facilitate timely interventions and improve overall patient outcomes.

Future Directions in Interventional Radiology

The field of interventional radiology is rapidly evolving, with ongoing advancements in technology and techniques that promise to enhance the management of acute gastrointestinal bleeding. Innovations in imaging modalities, such as high-resolution CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), are improving the ability to detect and characterize bleeding sources non-invasively. Additionally, the development of new embolic agents and devices is expanding the therapeutic options available to interventional radiologists.

Research into the long-term outcomes of patients undergoing IR procedures for acute GIB is also critical. Understanding the efficacy and safety of these interventions in diverse patient populations will help establish best practices and guidelines. Furthermore, the integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning into imaging analysis may enhance the accuracy of bleeding source localization and improve decision-making in real-time.

The potential for telemedicine and remote consultation in interventional radiology is another area of interest. As healthcare systems continue to adapt to the challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic, the ability to provide expert guidance remotely can facilitate timely interventions, especially in rural or underserved areas. This approach can enhance access to specialized care and improve outcomes for patients experiencing acute GIB.

Additionally, ongoing education and training for interventional radiologists are essential to keep pace with the rapid advancements in technology and techniques. Continuous professional development programs can ensure that practitioners are well-equipped to utilize the latest tools and methodologies in the management of acute gastrointestinal bleeding.

Conclusion

Interventional radiology has fundamentally transformed the landscape of acute gastrointestinal bleeding management. Its minimally invasive nature, coupled with the

ability to provide rapid diagnosis and targeted therapy, has significantly improved patient outcomes. As the field continues to advance, interventional radiology will play an increasingly vital role in the comprehensive management of acute GIB, ultimately enhancing the quality of care for patients facing this challenging condition. The collaboration among healthcare professionals, ongoing research, and technological innovations will further solidify the position of interventional radiology as a cornerstone in the diagnosis and management of acute gastrointestinal bleeding. The future of interventional radiology in this domain holds great promise, with the potential to further refine techniques, improve patient safety, and enhance overall treatment efficacy.

References:

1. (2013). Acute pancreatitis associated left-sided portal hypertension with severe gastrointestinal bleeding treated by transcatheter splenic artery embolization: a case report and literature review. journal of zhejiang university science b, 14(6), 549-554. https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.b1200247
2. (2013). Efficacy of intra-arterial treatment for massive gastrointestinal bleeding in hemodialysis patients. therapeutic apheresis and dialysis, 18(1), 24-30. https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-9987.12062
3. (2015). Gastrointestinal hemorrhage: evaluation with mdct. abdominal imaging, 40(5), 993-1009. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00261-015-0365-4
4. (2010). Mesenteric angiography results in gastrointestinal tract hemorrhages: single center experience. diagnostic and interventional radiology. https://doi.org/10.4261/1305-3825.dir.3963-10.1
5. (2022). Small bowel bleeding treated successfully with transcatheter arterial embolization with imipenem/cilastatin. acute medicine & surgery, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/ams2.733
6. (2022). Association between bleeding and new cancer detection and the prognosis in patients with myocardial infarction. journal of the american heart association, 11(22). https://doi.org/10.1161/jaha.122.026588
7. (2017). Emergency transcatheter arterial embolization for massive gastrointestinal arterial hemorrhage. medicine, 96(52), e9437. https://doi.org/10.1097/md.0000000000009437
8. (2013). Refractory gastrointestinal bleeding: role of angiographic intervention. clinical endoscopy, 46(5), 486. https://doi.org/10.5946/ce.2013.46.5.486
9. (2019). Refractory nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding requiring surgical intervention. cureus. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.4135
10. (2011). Intra-arterial treatment in patients with acute massive gastrointestinal bleeding after endoscopic failure: comparisons between positive versus negative contrast extravasation groups. korean journal of radiology, 12(5), 568. https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2011.12.5.568
11. (2011). Hemosuccus pancreaticus following a puestow procedure in a patient with chronic pancreatitis. case reports in gastroenterology, 5(2), 452-456. https://doi.org/10.1159/000330569
12. (2019). Cases report: severe colonic bleeding in ulcerative colitis is refractory to selective transcatheter arterial embolization. bmc gastroenterology, 19(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12876-019-0970-8

13. (2017). Clinical outcome of transarterial embolization for postgastrectomy arterial bleeding. gastric cancer, 20(5), 887-894. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10120-017-0700-2
14. (2015). Endovascular treatment of gastrointestinal bleeding. juntendo medical journal, 61(3), 242-248. https://doi.org/10.14789/jmj.61.242
15. (2018). Successful treatment of massive hemothorax with class iv shock using aortography with transcatheter embolization of actively bleeding posterior left intercostal arteries after penetrating left chest trauma: a case for the hybrid or. international journal of surgery case reports, 48, 109-112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijscr.2018.04.023
16. (2010). Major haemorrhagic complications of acute pancreatitis. british journal of surgery, 97(9), 1379-1384. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.7113
17. (2021). Transcatheter arterial embolization for delayed recurrent intrathoracic bleeding caused by anastomotic leakage after minimally invasive mckeown esophagectomy.. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-143777/v1
18. (2016). Long-term clinical and radiological outcome of endovascular embolization of pancreatitis-related pseudoaneurysms. acta radiologica, 58(3), 316-322. https://doi.org/10.1177/0284185116648502
19. (2019). Aberrant inferior pancreaticoduodenal artery during upper gastrointestinal bleed embolization. cureus. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.3945
20. (2017). Transcatheter arterial embolization for upper gastrointestinal tract bleeding.., 385-393. https://doi.org/10.5114/wiitm.2017.72319
21. (2016). Massive hemorrhage facial fracture patient treated by embolization. archives of craniofacial surgery, 17(1), 28. https://doi.org/10.7181/acfs.2016.17.1.28
22. (2017). Scapular metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma presenting as acute bleeding and hematoma. medicine, 96(46), e8736. https://doi.org/10.1097/md.0000000000008736
23. (2014). Role of interventional radiology in the management of acute gastrointestinal bleeding. world journal of radiology, 6(4), 82. https://doi.org/10.4329/wjr.v6.i4.82
24. (2012). Vascular complications of pancreatitis: role of interventional therapy. korean journal of radiology, 13(Suppl 1), S45. https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2012.13.s1.s45
25. (2019). Endovascular embolization of arterial bleeding in patients with severe acute pancreatitis.., 401-407. https://doi.org/10.5114/wiitm.2019.86919
26. (2010). Embolization of acute nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage resistant to endoscopic treatment: results and predictors of recurrent bleeding. cardiovascular and interventional radiology, 33(6), 1088-1100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00270-010-9829-7
27. (2019). Embolization of nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage complicated by bowel ischemia. seminars in interventional radiology, 36(02), 076-083. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-1688419
28. (2012). Recent update of embolization of upper gastrointestinal tract bleeding. korean journal of radiology, 13(Suppl 1), S31. https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2012.13.s1.s31
29. (2017). Diagnostic and therapeutic treatment modalities for acute lower gastrointestinal bleeding: a systematic review. endoscopy international open, 05(10), E959-E973. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0043-117958

30. (2017). Hookworm infection caused acute intestinal bleeding diagnosed by capsule: a case report and literature review. the korean journal of parasitology, 55(4), 417-420. https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2017.55.4.417
31. (2015). Acg clinical guideline: diagnosis and management of small bowel bleeding. the american journal of gastroenterology, 110(9), 1265-1287. https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2015.246
32. (2019). Efficacy and clinical outcomes of angiography and transcatheter arterial embolization for gastrointestinal bleeding in crohn’s disease. international journal of gastrointestinal intervention, 8(2), 92-97. https://doi.org/10.18528/ijgii170025
33. (2016). Percutaneous direct needle puncture and transcatheter n-butyl cyanoacrylate injection techniques for the embolization of pseudoaneurysms and aneurysms of arteries supplying the hepato-pancreato-biliary system and gastrointestinal tract. journal of clinical imaging science, 6, 48. https://doi.org/10.4103/2156-7514.196278
34. (2015). Endovascular intervention for management of pancreatitis-related bleeding: a retrospective analysis of thirty-seven patients at a single institution. diagnostic and interventional radiology, 21(2), 140-147. https://doi.org/10.5152/dir.2014.14085