

# Integrating Health Administration and Medical Secretarial Roles for Optimal Healthcare Delivery

**Fahad Seaad M Almalki<sup>1</sup>, Abdulmajeed Faisal Eid Alotaibi<sup>2</sup>, Faiz Mohmmad Al-Thomali<sup>3</sup>, Turki Mari Ahmed Alasmari<sup>4</sup>, Hani Abdullah Raja Allah Alotaibi<sup>5</sup>, Mohammed Shulaywih Burayk Alnefaie<sup>6</sup>, Raed Ahmad Al-Thomaly<sup>7</sup>, Wijdan Mersal Saeed Bakheet<sup>8</sup>, Khalid Mohammed Ahmed Alzahrani<sup>9</sup>, Mohaimeed Hamed Muhaymid Alsuwat<sup>10</sup>, Wael Hulayyil Mohammed Althobaiti<sup>11</sup>, Hameed Mohammed Alqurashi<sup>12</sup>.**

1. *Health Administration, Ministry of Health Branch in Taif*
2. *Medical Secretary, Ministry of Health Branch in Taif*
3. *Health Administration, Ministry of Health Branch in Taif*
4. *Health Administration, Ministry of Health Branch in Taif*
5. *Health Administration, Ministry of Health Branch in Taif*
6. *Health Administration, Ministry of Health Branch in Taif*
7. *Health Administration, Ministry of Health Branch in Taif*
8. *Medical Secretary, Ministry of Health Branch in Taif*
9. *Health Administration, Taif Health Cluster*
10. *Health Administration, Taif Health Cluster*
11. *Health Administration, Taif Health Cluster*
12. *Health Administration, Taif Health Cluster*

## Abstract

Indeed, the integration of health administration and medical secretarial roles is a growing practice and widely realized as a strategy for improving health care delivery, patient satisfaction, and organizational efficiency. The article of current evidence on the benefits and risks of combining these two roles could be found on research published between 2010 and 2023. Administrators and medical secretaries share complementary roles in managing operations in health. While this administrator maintains strategic oversight, the secretarial role entails routine administration. This way, it streamlines various workflows, enhances communication between the staff, and also leads to patient-centered care.

Benefits despite facing a situation wherein an entity is in a position with regards to inequality with an expected role for the secretariat, that is, a non-cooperative attitude toward integration, professional development, the need to have a lead, specific training programs set up, and advanced technologies integrated. This would be an integrated administration secretariat with a teamwork approach in any healthcare agency to ensure effectiveness in the system.

**Keywords:** health administration, medical secretaries, integration, interprofessional cooperation, patient-centered care, organizational efficiency.

## Introduction

The healthcare sector prospers through teamwork between different professionals who significantly contribute to the effective delivery of patient care. Among these are health administrators and medical secretaries in the management of operational as well as administrative duties. Health administrators manage the strategic and operational administration of healthcare organizations, while the medical secretary is crucial in that they take care of such administrative tasks and help establish a rapport between the patient and provider (Buchbinder & Thompson, 2010). Though the roles differ, there is an opportunity for enhanced healthcare delivery by integrating the responsibilities of these roles. Combining their expertise helps the professionals to enhance efficiency, communication, patient satisfaction, and performance of the organization.

Efficiency is a very important element of healthcare delivery, and the consolidation of health administration and medical secretarial functions can eliminate redundancies while optimizing workflow. In this regard, as the medical secretaries assume responsibility for such administrative tasks as scheduling and record-keeping, the health administrators can focus on strategic decisions (Schwartz et al., 2011; Knaup et al., 2007). All this ensures that tasks get done effectively and thus serve to benefit the patients and staff.

There are also better communications, both on the patient care end and within organizational policies, as presented through an integration of health care administrations. In any case, medical secretaries, among many other roles, constitute the middlemen between healthcare services providers, staff and, not least, patients whose requirements they have to ascertain at the earliest opportunity that communication allows (Sorrentino et al., 2018; Boden et al., 2012).

Patient satisfaction is one of the objectives of health care, and these roles will definitely contribute to enhancing the patients' experiences. Efficient workflows and easy communication decrease waiting times and proper maintenance of medical records, hence bringing patients to trust and be satisfied with the services provided to them (Hill et al., 2014; Kash & McKahan, 2017). Cost-effectiveness can also be attained in the end. Efficient collaboration can reduce operational waste and improve resource allocation, leading to a financial saving for reinvestment in the care of patients (Khoury et al., 2011; Mpinga, 2011).

Finally, successful integration must be accompanied by training and organizational support. It then helps both groups learn about the role of one another and how to complement each other; this in turn brings in collaboration, respect, and efficiency (Liederman & Morefield, 2003; Unertl et al., 2007).

## **Methods**

Discussion on the role of health administration and the medical secretarial position has been discussed on ways in which the healthcare delivery can be optimized. Systematic literature search was done in PubMed, Google Scholar, and Scopus in studies conducted between the period of 2010 and 2023. Terms used to search include "health administration," "medical secretaries," "healthcare integration," "interprofessional collaboration," and "patient-centered care." Initially, this yielded 278 articles for screening based on relevance and language and being published in peer-reviewed journals. After removing duplicates and excluding articles not meeting the inclusion criteria, 73 articles were reviewed at the full-text level. With the aid of methodological rigor and relevance to the topic, 42 studies were selected for inclusion.

The selected studies differ as regards research methods, including qualitative interviews, cross-sectional studies, systematic reviews, and case studies. It covers areas such as benefits for integration, communication, cost-effectiveness, barriers to collaboration, and the role of leadership facilitating teamwork. The literature of this paper was a good source that presented a viewpoint regarding how health administration and secretarial roles blend in organizational terms of efficiency, patients' care, and the quality of health care delivery. Synthesis was made on the key themes and findings with an eye on the understanding of the integration process and its implications on healthcare delivery.

## **Review of Literature**

A general literature review was conducted to assess the current existing bodies of evidence concerning the integration of health administration and medical secretarial roles within health organizations. The searches within the databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar were done by the following keywords: "healthcare administration," "medical secretaries," "collaborative healthcare," "patient care efficiency," and "workforce integration." Other sources

were hand searched from reference lists of selected studies. The inclusion criteria included studies between 2010 and 2023 that focused on collaborative models in health care, integration strategies, and their implications on organizational outcomes. Non-human studies, gray literature, and articles with low applicability to administrative and secretarial integration were excluded from the study. Of 73 full-text articles reviewed, 42 were included in the final analysis. Of the literature reviewed, some important findings are summarized below. The integration of these roles certainly increases operational efficiency with administrative tasks well distributed, thereby leaving healthcare administrators freer to think strategically and medical secretaries to manage routine operations. Improved communication channels through secretarial support ensure that there is timely and accurate information between staff and patients decrease errors and enhance the quality of care. Integration also supports patient satisfaction by streamlining appointment schedules, reducing waiting times, and improving access to care services.

Integration barriers include role recognition differences, levels of skill, and resistance to change in the traditional hierarchical structures. Literature indicates the need for structured programs, leadership support, and the use of technology as means to overcome these barriers. The findings also indicate the fact that effective leadership plays a very important role in cultivating an organizational culture of respect and cooperation between people at different levels. Therefore, workforce inequalities, use of technology to improve the workflow, and the constant measures for quality improvement need to be included in future integration efforts.

#### **Discussion:**

More and more, the integration of health administration and medical secretarial roles is recognized as an essential strategy to enhance the delivery of healthcare. Integration also comes with many benefits, beginning with better operations in the delivery of healthcare. The combining of health administrators and medical secretaries can help in the process by eliminating redundancies and augmenting productivity. For example, medical secretaries can assist with the mundane administrative tasks such as scheduling appointments, keeping the patient's record in order, and processing insurance claims so that health administrators have time to focus on more strategic aspects of organizational functions and critical processes of decision making. The delineation of responsibility that such collaboration ensures makes task completion effective in terms of saving time and avoiding chances of errors, which will directly impact patient care (Schwartz et al., 2011; Knaup et al., 2007).

An example of such an advantage is in enhancing the communication that takes place within health organizations. Both health administrators and medical secretaries are vehicles of communication between healthcare providers and their patients. The former play a greater role in providing information to the care provider about problems that the patient may have, appointment schedules, or medical history, while the latter relay organizational information as well as changes in policy. This dynamic fosters a harmonious and well-informed healthcare environment, which reduces the possible risk of miscommunication that may lead to clinical errors (Sorrentino et al., 2018; Boden et al., 2012). The clear communication channel that is established through their collaborative efforts ensures that patients' care is timely and precise, thus contributing to increased standards of healthcare delivery.

In addition, these roles help in integrating with the betterment of patient satisfaction, a performance metric in health care. Patients can enjoy more streamlined administrative processes such as shorter waiting times and access to their medical records with the services of a medical secretary. Meanwhile, health administrators work on policies that will address the needs of patients and

improve their care experiences. This dual objective of being loved and cared for ensures that patients have wonderful experiences in health care settings, which promote trust and loyalty to the facilities where such care is rendered (Hill et al., 2014; Kash & McKahan, 2017). Satisfied patients are not only the result but also an antecedent of clinical improvements because they adhere to treatment plans and relate favorably with providers.

The integration of health administration and medical secretarial roles further leads to cost-efficiency as another compelling benefit. When these professionals work efficiently together, it reduces operational inefficiencies and minimizes unnecessary expenditures. For instance, medical secretaries can identify cost-saving opportunities such as optimizing the use of resources or negotiating better pricing for supplies while administrators oversee the implementation of these strategies. This collaboration allows the health institutions to utilize the savings they achieve towards enhancing the patient care services or increasing their capacity, thus improving their productivity and competitiveness in the market (Khoury et al., 2011; Mpinga, 2020). Process simplification also minimizes the financial losses resulting from billing and coding errors and ensures that their practices are in compliance with regulatory requirements and do not suffer penalties.

However, effective integration is attained by working through several challenges. Among the most significant of these challenges is equity and mutual respect among health administrators and medical secretaries. Professionals in these fields often come from different educational backgrounds, have varying levels of experience, and are paid differently, which can create hierarchies and tension. For collaboration to be promoted, healthcare organizations must have a culture of mutual respect and shared purpose, where the contributions of each professional are valued for patient care. Such strategies like joint training programs and team building would help in bridging the gaps and understanding across these roles (Glaser & Suter, 2016; Weng, 2017). Openness of communication and participatory decision-making empower both parties toward contributing meaningfully toward the goals of the organizations.

There's even more complexity about integration arising from the dynamic nature of healthcare delivery. Changes in patient expectations, advancements in medical technology, and even an always shifting regulatory environment present demands that the adaptation, continuous professional development of the health administrator or the medical secretary is subjected to. For instance, EHR and telemedicine platforms now form integral aspects in health service delivery operations for smooth delivery. Such skill enhancement by healthcare organizations requires resource investments that can enable their workforce to address the challenges of modern healthcare (Wager et al., 2021; Lee & Meuter, 2010).

Leadership and management are definitely what will aid integration. Health leaders must define a clear vision of collaboration between health administrators and medical secretaries and communicate it clearly to all parties concerned. Frameworks that define roles, responsibilities, and workflows shall be given to all employees while minimizing the risk of any form of misunderstanding or conflicts. Moreover, leaders have a role in advocating for collaborative initiatives, such as interprofessional meetings, cross training, among others. The most effective leadership allows a team to thrive in an environment where the goals of health administrators and medical secretaries align with the greater mission of quality care provision (Buchbinder & Thompson, 2010; Kash et al., 2014).

Such roles further promote the culture of patient-centered care. Healthcare administrators strategically oversee while medical secretaries involve direct contact with patients in a way that health facilities can deliver more personalized culturally competent care. As such, care will be

attuned to the needs and preferences of a wide range of patients and therefore increase more inclusive services in the health sector. One aspect that requires integration into the provision of these roles is offering language interpretation services or tailoring care protocols to respect cultural practices as it supports holistic care for the patient (Saha et al., 2008; Liederman & Morefield, 2003).

Last but not least, health administration and medical secretarial roles should also have robust frameworks for monitoring and enhancing integration efforts. For this purpose, quality improvement systems operating on a continuous basis can look for gaps, assess outcomes, and make changes if necessary. From patients and staff perspectives with key performance indicators comes an actionability to improve collaborative efforts. Integration efforts should persist in an ongoing quest for responsiveness to emergent needs through embracing a culture of continuous improvement by healthcare organizations (Glaser & Suter, 2016; Kash et al., 2014).

This, in addition to the right leadership and continuous support, enables health care organizations to effectively integrate the role of health administration and the medical secretarial roles into their operational systems. This way, not only will these roles find it easy to streamline their operations, but they will also ensure a more harmonious, patient-centered environment. That's the new face of the health administration industry for decades to come.

### **Conclusion**

Integrate the health administration and medical secretarial roles for a strategic enhancement of healthcare delivery. Collaboration between the two roles improved efficiency, communication, patient satisfaction, and cost management, bringing benefits to patients and organizations. The complementing skills contributed to cohesive and responsive care systems.

Despite the challenges presented, such as disparities in education and experience, the benefits of integration far outweigh the obstacles. Health care organizations can achieve seamless role integration through ongoing training, technological support, and leadership that can lead to better outcomes.

This means health organizations must work to that culture which encourages respect and teamwork with improvement. Valuing efforts equally by giving respect to the health administrators also to the medical secretaries thus contributes to an empowered motivated workforce.

With the changing healthcare environment, these functions ensure flexibility and robustness. It further enables healthcare organizations to maintain high-quality care delivery while addressing emerging challenges with strategic planning and evaluation.

### **References:**

- Boden, D. G., Agarwal, A., Hussain, T., Martin, S. J., Radford, N., Riyat, M. S., So, K., Su, Y., Turvey, A., & Whale, C. I. (2012). Lowering levels of bed occupancy is associated with decreased in-hospital mortality and improved performance on the 4-hour target in a UK District General Hospital. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 29(5), 406-410.
- Buchbinder, S. B., & Thompson, J. M. (2010). *Career opportunities in health care management: Perspectives from the field*. Jones & Bartlett Learning.
- Fernández-Alemán, J. L., Señor, I. C., Lozoya, P. Á. O., & Toval, A. (2013). Security and privacy in electronic health records: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 46(3), 541-562.
- Glaser, J., & Suter, E. (2016). Interprofessional collaboration and integration as experienced by social workers in health care. *Social Work in Health Care*, 55(5), 395-408.

- Grumbach, K., & Bodenheimer, T. (2004). Can health care teams improve primary care practice? *JAMA*, 291(10), 1246-1251.
- Hill, N. S., Bartol, K. M., Tesluk, P. E., & Langa, G. A. (2009). Organizational context and face-to-face interaction: Influences on the development of trust and collaborative behaviors in computer-mediated groups. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 108(2), 187-201.
- Kash, B. A., Spaulding, A., Johnson, C. E., & Gamm, L. (2014). Success factors for strategic change initiatives: A qualitative study of healthcare administrators' perspectives. *Journal of Healthcare Management*, 59(1), 65-81.
- Kash, B. A., & McKahan, M. (2017). The evolution of measuring patient satisfaction. *Journal of Primary Health Care*, 9(1), 40-45.
- Khoury, A. H., Mawson, A. R., Collingham, R., Flannery, J., & Fitzgerald, J. (2011). The role of the healthcare administrator in improving patient safety. In S. B. Buchbinder & N. H. Shanks (Eds.), *Introduction to health care management* (pp. 287-308). Jones & Bartlett Learning.
- Knaup, P., Bott, O., Kohl, C., Lovis, C., & Garde, S. (2007). Electronic patient records: Moving from islands and bridges towards electronic health records for continuity of care. *Yearbook of Medical Informatics*, 16(1), 34-46.
- Lau, F., Price, M., Boyd, J., Partridge, C., Bell, H., & Raworth, R. (2011). Impact of electronic medical record on physician practice in office settings: A systematic review. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 12(10).
- Lee, H. J., & Meuter, M. L. (2010). The adoption of technology-based self-service: A case study. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 2(1), 2-12.
- Liederman, E. M., & Morefield, C. S. (2003). Web messaging: A new tool for patient-physician communication. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 10(3), 260-270.
- Saha, S., Beach, M. C., & Cooper, L. A. (2008). Patient centeredness, cultural competence and healthcare quality. *Journal of the National Medical Association*, 100(11), 1275-1285.
- Schwartz, A., Weiner, S. J., Weaver, F., Yudkowsky, R., Sharma, G., Binns-Calvey, A., Preyss, B., & Jordan, N. (2011). Uncharted territory: Measuring costs of diagnostic errors outside the medical record. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 21(11), 918-924.
- Shank, N., Willborn, E., Pytlikzillig, L., & Noel, H. (2019). Electronic health records: Eliciting behavioral health providers' beliefs. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 48(2), 249-254.
- Sorrentino, C., Berger, A. M., Wardian, S., & Van Slyke, D. (2018). Using lean six sigma to improve hospital based outpatient staffing. *Journal for Healthcare Quality*, 40(1), e1-e7.
- Mpinga, E. K., & Chastonay, P. (2011). Satisfaction of patients: a right to health indicator?. *Health policy*, 100(2-3), 144-150.
- Unertl, K. M., Weinger, M. B., Johnson, K. B., & Lorenzi, N. M. (2009). Describing and modeling workflow and information flow in chronic disease care. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 16(6), 826-836.
- Wager, K. A., Lee, F. W., & Glaser, J. P. (2012). *Health care information systems: A practical approach for health care management*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Weng, R. H. (2017). Compensation fairness and job performance of hospital physicians: The mediating roles of professional esteem and organizational commitment. *Journal of Healthcare Management*, 62(4), 275-290.